Overview
The Internet bubble bursts, and the world keeps turning. Terrorists attack the World Trade Center, and the world keeps turning. The global economy reels in the throes of a major recession, and the world keeps turning. Despite their unpredictable—and sometimes despicable—natures, humans are nothing if not innovators and perpetual optimists. In the face of doubt, ambiguity, and even terror, we continue to strive to build a better world. We are fortunate to be so resilient.

Even as our hopes for an easy peace and new economy prosperity in the twenty-first century were dashed within months of its arrival, we continue our pursuit. Part of that quest is based on the technological breakthroughs that seemed to appear with breathtaking speed in the 1990s. Standing on the shoulders of the innovators of the time, a new generation of visionaries has leaped ahead. Of all the vast array of novelties introduced in the past few years, none is more important than the creation of the global communications and information infrastructure that has now burrowed into nearly every city, village, hamlet, and encampment around the world. Fiber-optic cable spans oceans and continents. Low-earth-orbit satellites provide streaming images, data, and voice to the most remote locations. No place on earth, or in near-earth, is now beyond the reach of the information and data nervous system that was constructed
over the past few decades. This is revolutionary, and this nearly universal telecommunications infrastructure is a major part of what gives life to the business innovation called business process outsourcing.

**BPO Defined**

Business process outsourcing (BPO) is defined simply as the movement of business processes from inside the organization to an external service provider. With the global telecommunications infrastructure now well established and consistently reliable, BPO initiatives often include shifting work to international providers. Five BPO international hot spots have emerged, although firms from many other countries specialize in various business processes and exporting services:

1. **India.** Engineering and technical
2. **China.** Manufacturing and technical
3. **Mexico.** Manufacturing
4. **United States.** Analysis and creative
5. **Philippines.** Administrative

Each of these countries has complex economies that span the range of business activities, but from a BPO perspective, they have comparative advantages in the specific functions cited.

**A Strategy To Eliminate Noncore Functions**

Because of the job shift that accompanies the quest to employ the highest-value talent, BPO has been both hailed and vilified. Business executives and owners praise it as a way to eliminate business processes that are not part of their organization’s core competence. Back-office functions, such as payroll and benefits administration, customer service, call center, and technical support, are just a few of the processes that organizations of all sizes have been able to outsource to others who specialize in those
areas. Removing these functions from their internal operations enables organizations to reduce payroll and other overhead. In an era when executives have been admonished by business commentators and analysts to focus on core competencies, BPO offers an opportunity to achieve that goal in a dramatic new way.

Like appliance manufacturers that moved production from the Midwest to Mexican _maquiladoras_ or apparel firms that moved production to the Far East, businesses of all types and sizes are now shifting back-office jobs to international locations such as China, India, and the Philippines, where labor is inexpensive and highly skilled. In the past several years, companies have turned to these regions for increasingly sophisticated tasks such as financial analysis, software design, tax preparation, and even the creation of content-rich products (e.g., newsletters, PowerPoint presentations, and sales kits).

**BPO Not Confined To Routine Jobs**

With the increasing education levels around the world, BPO is no longer confined to routine manufacturing jobs or boiler-room telemarketing centers. Today’s outsourcing involves complex work that requires extensive preparation and training. For example, Indian radiologists now analyze computed tomography (CT) scans and chest X-rays for American patients out of an office park in Bangalore. In the United States, radiologists are among the highest-paid medical specialists, often earning more than $300,000 per year to evaluate magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), CT scans, and X-rays. In Bangalore, radiologists work for less than half that. Not far from the radiology lab in Bangalore, Ernst & Young has 200 accountants processing U.S. tax returns. Starting pay for an American accountant ranges from $40,000 to $50,000; in Bangalore accountants are paid less than half that.¹
Outsourcing of Service Jobs to Escalate

In the next 15 years, Forrester Research predicts that 3.3 million service jobs will move to countries such as India, Russia, China, and the Philippines (Exhibit 1.1). That is the equivalent of 7.5 percent of all jobs in the United States right now.²

Estimates from leading research firms more than support this trend. The Gartner Group, a Stamford, Connecticut-based research firm, predicts that:

- One in ten jobs at specialty information technology (IT) firms in the United States will move abroad by 2005, along with one in 20 IT jobs in general businesses—a loss of about 560,000 positions.

- BPO will reach $178 billion in revenues worldwide by 2005, representing a compound annual growth rate of 9.2 percent for the five-year forecast period.³
Additionally, market research firm IDC predicts that finance and accounting outsourcing will grow to nearly $65 billion by 2006, up from $36 billion in 2001. Two thirds of U.S. banks already outsource one or more functions.4

BPO has caught on as well with the venture capital community. In 2002, venture capital firms in North America poured nearly $3 billion into BPO firms and nearly $1 billion more by June 2003. Some BPO providers enjoy operating profit margins as high as 40 to 50 percent. Even though margins are expected to level out to between 20 and 25 percent as the market matures, these returns are greater than those being experienced in nearly any other industry.5

**Concerns Over Job Losses**

Despite this, BPO is not without its critics. There is no doubt that the history of outsourcing in manufacturing has been black-marked by the many Americans who lost their jobs and cannot find new ones in the traditional manufacturing sector. Today, everything from electronics to home furnishings is being produced by low-cost labor in places such as Shanghai and Monterrey. The prediction that free-trade agreements such as the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) would create a “giant sucking sound” as jobs moved to low-wage labor environments has rung true for many U.S. workers. Factories across the country, including many staples of America’s industrial past, have gone silent—apparently for good.

Although such wrenching change is painful and unsettling, the resilience of the American worker to find new ways to create value in a global economy shows few limits. As the nineteenth century’s Agrarian Age came to an end and workers moved from farms to factories, they adapted and built some of the greatest cities in the world. At the end of the twentieth century, the Industrial Age gave way to the Information Age, and many workers moved out of factory jobs into information-rich
occupations and built some of the greatest technologies in the world. There is every reason to believe that BPO will help create a more tightly integrated business world that will lead to a more tightly integrated cultural and economic world. BPO has the potential to create new prosperity for workers everywhere through participation in a BPO-based business superculture that spans the globe.

Does BPO Increase the U.S. Unemployment Rate?

The Labor Department, in its numerous surveys of employers and employees, has never tried to calculate the number of jobs that are shifted overseas as a result of BPO. But the offshoring of work has become so noticeable that experts in the private sector are trying to quantify it. Initial estimates are that at least 15 percent of the 2.81 million jobs lost in America since the recession began have reappeared overseas. Productivity improvements at home account for the great bulk of the job loss. But the estimates suggest that work sent offshore has raised the U.S. unemployment rate by four tenths of a percentage point or more.

Among economists and researchers, one high-end job-loss estimate comes from Mark Zandi, chief economist at Economy.com, who calculates that 995,000 jobs have been lost overseas since the recession began in March 2001. That is 35 percent of the total decline in employment since then. Most of the loss is in manufacturing, but about 15 percent is among college-trained professionals.

BPO: A Sociotechnical Innovation

Many executives and managers shy away from BPO because they wrongly believe it to be a technical innovation—one better left for the chief information officer (CIO) or other technology administrators. In part, this belief results from the IT origins of BPO. Many early adopters of outsourcing were those who needed software development expertise or who sought technical expertise to staff help desks and call centers. During the 1990s, the labor pool for such talent in the United States was tight, prompting many leading companies to search abroad for the personnel they needed. These organizations turned to international labor markets, where they were able to identify and hire highly skilled technical workers who were far cheaper than their U.S.-based counterparts. Today, the talent shortage in the United States has abated, but the cost savings to be gained by using outsourced talent remains.

BPO Transcends IT Origins

BPO has evolved far from these IT-specific roots and now encompasses nearly every business process. To be sure, the implementation of a BPO initiative will always involve a technology component, but for that matter so does implementation of a benefits administration office at the local beer distributor. The point is, nearly every modern business innovation comprises both a technical and a social component. Decision making, strategy setting, service delivery, and virtually every other business activity are now sociotechnical in nature, involving humans interfacing with technical systems. BPO is like that.

Fundamentally, then, BPO is a sociotechnical business innovation that provides a rich new source of competitive advantage. By sociotechnical we mean that BPO requires skillful management of people and technology (hardware and software). The manager who initiates a BPO strategy must find effective ways to introduce people to technology and
vice versa. If left solely in the hands of technical specialists, a BPO initiative is likely to fail for lack of paying attention to the soft issues of human relationships, change management, and organizational culture. If left solely in the hands of nontechnical managers, it is likely to fail for unrealistic expectations about the potential and limitations of the enabling technologies.

**Human Factors and Technology Issues**

BPO is one of those interdisciplinary workplace innovations that demands a diverse set of skills to be successful. Initiating and implementing a BPO project requires a focus on several human factors, both within the organization initiating the project and within the outsourcing vendor. These factors cannot be ignored and must be handled correctly in order for the project to succeed. Human factors include:

- Developing various teams to manage the BPO initiative throughout its life cycle
- Reassuring staff of their role in the company
- Training people on the new way of doing business
- Dealing with job loss and/or reassignment
- Keeping morale high throughout the change process
- Encouraging people to participate in decision making
- Understanding cultural differences between the organization and BPO partner

The initiation and implementation of a BPO project also requires attention to technology issues such as:

- Compatibility of systems between the BPO buyer and vendor
- Data and system security
- Backup and recovery procedures in the case of system failure
Data interface challenges and strategies
• Software and database compatibility challenges
• Data and knowledge management

These various issues will be discussed at some length in Chapter 6.

Driving Factors

Scholars who study how complex systems change over time are familiar with two types of change:

1. Evolutionary, which are changes a system is likely to produce based on its current design and goals
2. Emergent, which are system features or capabilities that would not have been predicted in advance based on the understood design and goals of the system.

BPO is revolutionary because it is such an emergent phenomenon and because there is no evidence that anyone set out to design the potential for organizations to use BPO. It grew from a set of driving factors, illustrated in Exhibit 1.2, that have unintentionally converged at this particular time to enable the shifting of work to its lowest-cost/highest-quality provider regardless of the provider’s physical location. BPO is a business innovation that leverages these driving factors and applies them to practical business problems.

A discussion of each of these drivers follows.

Educational Attainment

The United States remains the global leader in higher education, but the rest of the world is catching up quickly. As more and more Ph.D.-qualified faculty return to their home countries with degrees from Harvard, MIT, Stanford, and other prestigious schools, they are helping to
transform higher education worldwide. At the K–12 level, it has long been noted that the United States lags other countries, especially in technical areas such as math and science as measured by standardized test scores. The gap between the United States and many foreign nations has increased over time in technical education, which now also translates into fewer U.S. students seeking degrees in technical fields. In Asia, for example, far more students are pursuing science and engineering disciplines at the collegiate level than are their counterparts in the United States (Exhibit 1.3). Clearly,
Comparison of Asian and U.S. Technical Education

Bachelor’s S&E Degrees in the United States and Selected Asian Countries and Economies by Field (1975–1988)

United States

- Social & behavioral sciences
- Natural sciences
- Engineering

Asia

- Engineering
- Social & behavioral sciences
- Natural sciences

Natural sciences include physics, chemistry, astronomy, biology, earth, atmospheric, ocean, agricultural, as well as mathematics and computer science.

Asian countries and economies include: China, India, Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan. Data for China is included after 1983.

Source: Science and Engineering Indicators—2002.
they recognize that the business world increasingly appreciates and utilizes their new abilities.

Of the nearly 590,000 foreign students enrolled in U.S. higher education in 2002, more than 20 percent came from India or China. Ironically, the United States is not only relocating its coveted technical jobs to these foreign locations, but also preparing many of the workers who fill those jobs. The following list provides some sobering statistics on technical education worldwide that indicates why so many U.S. firms are looking abroad for the talent they need to be competitive:

- In 2001, 46 percent of Chinese students graduated with engineering degrees; in the United States, that number was 5 percent.
- Europe graduates three times as many engineering students as the United States; Asia graduates five times as many.
- In 2003, less than 2 percent of U.S. high school graduates went on to pursue an engineering degree.
- In 2001, almost 60 percent of those earning Ph.D.s in electrical engineering in the United States were foreign born.
- Among the more than 1.1 million seniors in the class of 2002 who took the ACT college entrance exam, fewer than 6 percent planned to study engineering, down from 9 percent in 1992.
- Less than 15 percent of U.S. students have the math and science prerequisites to participate in the new global high-tech economy.
- In the United States, more students are getting degrees in parks and recreation management than in electrical engineering.6

It now makes sense for U.S. firms to rely on foreign providers of highly skilled labor. The logic is simple: The quality of talent is high and the cost is low. Educational attainment around the world will drive BPO innovators to seek new ways to tap that talent. There is no way to put
that genie back into the bottle. It would be foolhardy to the point of malfeasance for managers not to seek and use the best available talent that fits the organization’s budget—wherever that talent may reside.

**Broadband Internet**

In fall 2003, *The Wall Street Journal* published its annual report on telecommunications. In the front-page article, the *Journal* writer stated, “After years of hype and false starts we can finally declare it: The Age of Broadband is here.” The article reports that by the end of 2003, 21 percent of all U.S. households will have broadband Internet, and that number increases to about 50 percent by 2008. It is also expected that more than 7 million businesses will have broadband connectivity in the United States by the end of 2003.

*Broadband* refers to the growing pipeline capacity of the Internet, allowing larger chunks of information to flow with fewer congestion issues. The term is generally applied to Internet connectivity speeds that are in the range of 2 megabits/second (2 million bits/second). Leading semiconductor maker Intel has predicted that by 2010 there will be 1.5 billion computers with broadband connections. High-speed Internet access is becoming commonplace in regions where dial-up was once the only option. With broadband, workers in different countries can share data—an important factor in BPO—while consumers can surf the Web for the latest bargains.

Growth in broadband connectivity is largest in regions where deployment is still scattered: Latin America (up 63 percent to 619,000); South and Southeast Asia (up 124 percent to 1.12 million); and the Middle East and Africa (up 123 percent to 107,000). The Asia-Pacific region is the runaway regional leader, with nearly 11 million digital subscriber line (DSL) users, followed by North America with 6.5 million and western Europe with 6.3 million. Eastern Europe has the lowest level of broadband connectivity, with barely 70,000 DSL users. In relatively
mature markets, the percentage of DSL subscribers who use the service at home is much larger than in new markets and smaller economies, where businesses account for a larger percentage. In North America 22.6 percent of users are businesses, and the figure for Western Europe is 16.5 percent.\(^\text{10}\) Hong Kong tops the world in broadband connectivity, with more than 66 percent of Internet users opting for the high-speed connection.\(^\text{11}\) Exhibit 1.4 highlights broadband/DSL leaders around the world.

Broadband penetration is driven by the creative and business behaviors of users. Research from the Pew Internet & American Life Project, the results of which are shown in Exhibit 1.5, found a correlation between specific online behaviors and demand for high-speed access. Pew found that broadband users are extraordinarily active information gatherers, multimedia users, and content creators. Internet users with six...
or more years online who engage in similar activities are most likely to switch to high-speed access. In fact, Pew found that of those dial-up users who are contemplating broadband, 43 percent logged six or more years online, compared with 30 percent of those online for three years or less. Greater disparities in these behaviors are seen between less experienced dial-up users and those with broadband connections.\textsuperscript{12}

Although Western Europe lags behind North America, by 2005 the European market will match North America for size. Undeveloped telecommunications infrastructure and economic volatility continue to hamper broadband growth in Latin America.\textsuperscript{13}

**Inexpensive Data Storage**

One traditional danger of shifting work to a third party is the potential loss of organizational learning. When a process is executed internally, the organization’s employees handle the related transactions and, over time, are able to discern and adapt to specific patterns or trends. Some of these
patterns concern customer or competitor behaviors. When these trans-
actions are no longer executed internally there is a potential for this vital
learning to be lost.

But with the inexpensive, nearly infinite data storage space available
today, this obstacle has been largely overcome. As file cabinets gave way
to floppy disks, punch cards, magnetic tapes, disks, and CDs, storage has
gone from scarcity to commodity. Technical advances have driven down
costs, and a limitless cyberspace storage capacity now enables files to be
retrieved whenever and wherever possible. Individual and organizational
learning is literally a keystroke away.

This has enabled new ways of thinking about what is possible in the
structure and procedures of the workplace. In times when storage was
scarce, difficult decisions had to be made about what data to collect,
keep, and eliminate. Even more limiting, decisions had to be made about
who had access to critical information and when. In an era of storage
overcapacity, however, an embarrassment of riches awaits savvy execu-
tives if they can move beyond the scarcity mindset.

Data protection and access controls must continue to play a role in a
storage-rich environment, but they play a different role. In the storage-
poor past, data access was controlled in part because storage limitations
affected the number of copies of data that could be made. That barrier
has been lifted by digitized document storage that allows literally infinite
distribution of key documents, forms, and plans. In the past, gatekeepers,
whose approval was needed to acquire and use company information,
managed data access. That barrier has been lifted by precision software-
based systems that enable rapid access to very specific data sets based on
prearranged approval levels. These systems are constantly being upgraded
to be more user friendly and can adapt quickly to unique work processes
and systems.

With nearly infinite data storage, each transaction that occurs
remotely can be stored for independent analysis. As is discussed next,
sophisticated analytical software can then be used to mine the transac-
tional data to reveal customer or competitor patterns—preserving and
even enhancing organizational learning.

**Analytic Software**

Software is a major source of business competitiveness, as well as a major
source of headaches for anyone who has ever booted a computer. Orig-
inally invented as a tool for us to work *with*, software has increasingly
been designed to perform work *for* us. Expert systems, decision support
systems, and artificial intelligence are all software tools that perform ana-
lytic tasks. Business analysis tasks were formerly the domain of human
logicians, administrators, and executive decision makers. The advent of
analytic software capable of recreating and possibly improving on human
decision making has revolutionized the power of the desktop computer.
Whereas the ideal of the Industrial Age was to eliminate the need for
human thinking through mechanical design, the ideal of the Information
Age seems to be to improve on human thinking through software
design.

Online analytic processing (OLAP) has created a wide range of new
possibilities in workplace structure, including effects on hiring practices,
organizational design, and productivity. Although OLAP has enabled
some human resources to be eliminated, it has also placed a premium on
individuals who can use the sophisticated output and create new value
with it.

Software that provides humanlike data output has opened the door
to the possibility for data and information to seek lower-cost labor in the
same way that manufacturing has done. Computational systems that have
replaced human analysts range from trend analysis in sales and marketing
to workflow optimization on the shop floor.

Before the advent of sophisticated OLAP software, it was necessary
for highly educated people to analyze a firm’s data and information to
make it useful. In general, the more highly educated the labor, the more costly it is. As software replaces humans in an ever-widening array of business analysis functions, the roles left to people are increasingly confined to implementation tasks. The training required to implement the results of processed data is usually less extensive than that required to analyze it in the first place. Reliable data analysis software can eliminate high-cost analyst labor and replace it with relatively lower-cost implementation labor. For many business processes, the outcomes of processed data are predictable within a range. Business rules can be developed to specify the actions required within a range of possible outputs. In the case of an outlier, it is simple enough for the data implementation specialist simply to escalate the output to a few management-level analysts for additional processing.

Analysts traditionally have been the white-collar middle managers who served as the glue, gatekeepers, and information stewards in organizations of all sizes. The transition of analyst jobs from inside the organization to outsourcing partners will displace many of these middle-level roles in organizations. In fact, as the development of analytic software continues, it is likely that the swath of job shift in middle management will grow wider and reach ever-higher levels of the organization chart.

Internet Security

Internet security refers to the ability to send information and data (including voice) over the Internet without fear of leakage, espionage, or outright loss. It is critical for companies to be certain that their data integrity will be maintained despite its movement around the globe in the servers, routers, and computers that make up the World Wide Web.

In the past, many executives were reluctant to conduct any back-office business transactions over the Internet or beyond their own four walls because they felt the security risks outweighed the value proposi-
tion. However, in today’s world of ever-changing technology advancements, most executives are more computer savvy and better understand the security protocols now available. With these new technical breakthroughs, companies can now work within virtual walls with the same level of security they enjoyed within physical walls.

One of the most significant enablers of this new virtual workspace is the use of Kerberos technology, developed at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) as a cryptographic environment. This technology allows computer systems to use digital certificates for authentication within their transactions. Kerberos is just one piece of a much larger security framework now in place. Security systems today include proxy servers, passwords, authentication, firewalls, encryption layering, certificates, virtual private networks, open systems interconnection, and extranets. With these advances, two companies can partner and safely share resources in the virtual world.

In addition to the security innovations at the technical level, there have been significant changes at the policy and regulatory levels. Most organizations have enacted internal policies to protect sensitive data and information, including institution of security access to physical facilities and requirements for employees to wear identification badges. At the regulatory level, national governments have instituted laws regarding data security. For example, the Indian IT Act of 2000 addresses privacy-related issues and attempts to define hacking and computer evidence. It also strongly prescribes the implementation of digital signatures and public key infrastructure (PKI) for facilitating secure transactions. The data protection laws enacted by the United Kingdom and the European Union (EU) are considered to be benchmarks in international privacy laws.

Beyond that, several international certifications and standards mitigate security risks. Most BPO providers adhere to one or more of these standards and have received the appropriate certifications. Global and national compliance benchmarks include:
• BS 7799. First published in February 1995, BS 7799 is a comprehensive set of controls comprising best practices in information security. It is intended for use by organizations of all sizes and serves as a single reference point for identifying a range of controls needed for most situations where information systems are used in industry and commerce. It was significantly revised and improved in May 1999 and a year or so later published by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO).

• ISO 17799. This is an internationally recognized information security management standard that was first published in December 2000.

• HIPAA. The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) establishes standards for the secure electronic exchange of health data. Health care providers and insurers who transmit data electronically must comply with HIPAA security standards.

The new laws governing data protection, organizational policies, and new technologies have converged to create a highly secure—although
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Three Security Prerequisites

There is little question that Internet security has increased dramatically in recent years. But organizations entering into a BPO arrangement should nonetheless undertake three essential tasks:

1. Educate themselves on security best practices.
2. Identify their own security needs and concerns.
3. Thoroughly review all potential BPO vendors to ensure that they have the processes and capabilities in place to meet and exceed identified and anticipated security requirements.
still imperfect—communications infrastructure. Although hackproof sys-
tems have yet to be constructed, the ever-more-complex barriers erected
to prevent cyberespionage and cybercrime make them increasingly less
attractive projects for weekend hackers and an expensive undertaking for
anyone else.

**Business Specialization**

Since the days of Adam Smith, capitalist economists have touted the
benefits of specialization as a key to productive exchange among eco-
nomic agents. The famous example of the pin factory used by Smith has
stood the test of time. His eloquent analysis of the division of labor in the
production of pins and the vastly greater output that would occur if peo-
ple specialized in a part of the process can be applied to nearly any prod-
uct or service.\(^{14}\) As it turns out, in a world where business-to-business
(B2B) services have become as common to the economy as business-to-
consumer (B2C) products and services, the basic economic agent can as
readily be construed to be a business firm as it could be a person.

Business specialization has been urged for several decades. Former
General Electric CEO Jack Welch, for example, famously stated that GE
must be No. 1 or 2 in the world in a given business or it should get out
of that business. In their popular book *Competing for the Future*, C. K.
Pralahad and Gary Hamel called on businesses to focus on their “core
competency.” They urged companies to develop a portfolio of core com-
petencies around the customers they serve.\(^ {15}\)

The admonition to focus on core competence, if pursued logically,
leads to the idea that a business organization should operate as few non-
revenue-producing units as possible. In the early days of a business, when
the firm is small and everyone pitches in to do whatever is necessary for
the business to succeed, it is easy to call everything core. However, as a
business grows, and as administration and overhead grow with it, there
are many things a business does that are expensive but not directly
involved in revenue generation. Accounting, legal counsel, payroll administration, human resources, and other processes are all necessary for the business to operate, but they are not tied directly to the top line of the income statement. If a business truly focused only on its core competence, it would not operate those units that do not directly affect serving customers and generating revenue.

This executive-level mind shift could easily be overlooked as a driving factor of the BPO revolution, but it is crucial. Transformational organizational changes—paradigm shifts, if you will—often cannot occur until a sufficient number of managers and executives have changed their thinking about the form and function of their organization. Such mind shifts can occur through education and experience, but they are far more likely to be a result of competitive pressures.

As B2B operations have flourished, the potential for firms to shed more and more of their noncore activities has accelerated. For example, it is estimated that 2 to 3 million Americans are coemployed in a professional employer organization (PEO) arrangement. PEOs operate in every state, and the industry continues to grow at an average of 20 percent each year. Today, it is estimated that about 800 PEO companies are responsible for generating more than $43 billion in gross revenues. Many firms today have simply eliminated their personnel function by outsourcing their employees to a PEO.

The potential for B2B firms to exist and to provide the specific services they do is based entirely on their ability to add value to their clients’ businesses. If these firms were unable to provide high-quality, lower-cost services, they would not exist. At the same time, they would not be in business without the relatively new concept of core competence driving management thinking and behavior. Just as quality and customer service seem to be patently correct ways to organize a business today, they have not always been important factors to business managers. Ford was an early adopter of quality management in the United States,
but only because Japanese automakers had begun to erode its domestic market share. Until then, American automakers and manufacturers in general did not pay attention to quality as a major factor in their production processes.

Likewise, the idea of focusing—really focusing—on core competencies did not seem important and strategic until some organizations demonstrated that they actually are able to perform better by outsourcing their internal processes. Early BPO adopters among Fortune 100 companies include British Petroleum, IBM, American Express, AT&T, and General Electric. These pioneers were able to risk outsourcing non-core processes. In many cases they succeeded; sometimes they failed. But they blazed the BPO trail, and the lessons they learned along the way now ensure a higher probability of success for those firms that follow the leaders.

**BPO Types**

BPO has usually been discussed in terms of the international relocation of jobs and workplace functions. In reality, there are three types of BPO: (1) offshore, (2) onshore, and (3) nearshore, and they differ in both location and function served (Exhibit 1.6). Organizations are prone to use any or all of these types, depending on their needs and the BPO initiative being implemented. In some cases, firms use a combination of types to achieve their objectives.

**Offshore: Larger Challenge, Greater Reward**

Offshore BPO is the most challenging type of this relatively new approach to conducting business, but it is also the most potentially rewarding. It began with movement of factory jobs overseas and has been made both famous and infamous with stories of suddenly prosperous geographic regions mixed with stories of exploitative labor practices. Yet despite the criticism leveled at some companies that outsource...
processes and functions to international labor markets, the advantages of doing so continue to outweigh the disadvantages. By benefiting from lower wages overseas, U.S. managers can cut overall costs by 25 to 40 percent while building a more secure, more focused workforce in the United States.\textsuperscript{17}

The complexity of business functions being moved offshore continues to increase. As such, organizations using the offshore approach have developed a variety of models to ensure continuity. Some have utilized a model known as \textit{offshore insourcing}, in which the organization establishes a wholly owned subsidiary in the international market and hires local labor. An extension of this is the so-called build–operate–transfer (BOT) model. Organizations buy offshore companies specializing in a business process, operate them jointly for a year or so, and then transfer the firm to internal control (insource).
It is important to note that there is no one-size-fits-all approach to offshore BPO. With the growing list of companies outsourcing at least some business functions to offshore vendors, the range of possible approaches will grow as well. This makes it increasingly likely that the next adopter of offshore BPO will find a model suitable to its needs.

Onshore: Outsourcing to U.S.-Based Firms

It would be a mistake to see BPO as an international business phenomenon alone. Many U.S. companies are outsourcing back-office functions...
to American-based firms. A prominent example of this is payroll outsourcing, which is managed by several large U.S. companies. Automatic Data Processing (ADP) provides a range of payroll administration services, time sheets, and tax filing and reporting services. The firm has more than 40,000 employees and, as an indication perhaps of the future potential of the firm, has seen Warren Buffet steadily increasing his company’s position in its stock.

There are many reasons a firm will use BPO. The cost savings that result from moving back-office processes to low-wage environments is the reason cited most often. However, firms can also use BPO to transfer service functions to best-in-class performers to gain competitive advantage. A firm that outsources customer service functions to a firm that specializes in and provides world-class support in that area will perform at a higher level in that function than its competitors. Moving to a best-in-class provider may actually increase costs in the short run in the interest of developing competitive advantage. Under this rationale, BPO is a strategic investment that is designed to upgrade service levels at a cost, with the intent of increasing revenues through enhanced competitiveness. What matters most is the acquisition of partners that provide market-shifting capabilities for the firm doing the outsourcing.

Many U.S.-based outsourcing firms use the world-class provider strategy to acquire business. Staked to a head start over their low-cost international rivals, U.S.-based outsourcing firms must continuously innovate and seek new ways to provide value to remain in front. They are worth considering for services, even if their costs are higher and strategic advantage is the goal of an organization’s BPO initiative.

Nearshore: Outsourcing In North America

*Nearshore outsourcing* is a relatively new term that refers to the practice of outsourcing on the North American continent. International issues will arise when American firms outsource to Mexico, Canada, or Central
America, but they are likely to be less complex than those that attend outsourcing arrangements in, for example, India or China. Nearshore outsourcing allows companies to test the BPO waters without the level of risk associated with going offshore. Firms that go with a nearshore strategy are often seeking cost savings, but they are also occasionally able to find best-in-class providers of the services they need.

For example, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, an organization created by the mortgage banking industry to develop systems for mortgage tracking, is moving its customer relationship management (CRM) function from Michigan to Nova Scotia. The move is expected to save 15 percent annually on CRM costs. The company could have saved even more by outsourcing with firms in India, but it wanted to keep its CRM operations closer to home.

**A Strategic Question: To BPO or Not to BPO?**

BPO has managers around the world asking not only what it can do for them, but also what it might do to them. They are excited about the potential for BPO to help manage costs and improve their balance sheets. Under constant pressure from analysts to control head count, outsourcing back-office activities to contract laborers in remote corners of the world can provide welcome and quick relief. Whether the labor source is in India, Pakistan, China, or some other international port, the prevalence of high-speed Internet provides opportunities for real-time back-office support regardless of location.

At the same time, new questions are emerging and new challenges in organizational design and leadership are arising. Many organizational leaders remain skeptical about BPO because of the lingering aftereffects of the tech bubble burst. Their memories are still fresh with images of the “change the world” mentality of the tech bubble and its dismayingly rapid crash. The very thought of investing in new business models right
now—especially those with a technology or Internet component—is very difficult for many managers and executives.

Many leaders are also concerned about the risks of BPO. They are unsure about the information security issues associated with outsourcing back-office processes. For example, in order for a BPO vendor to assist a client in managing employee benefits, the vendor must have access to some of the organization’s most sensitive and mission-critical information. The thought of shipping this data overseas to be managed and used by individuals who are not bound by the organization’s formal and informal controls is enough to keep a manager awake at night.

**A Business Strategy—Not a Technology**

BPO is based on the fundamental proposition that organizations should focus on what they do best and outsource everything else. If a company markets and sells sporting goods, it should spend substantially all of its time doing that and as little time as possible managing its accounting, customer service, and employee benefits plans. In theory, the concept makes a great deal of sense. In practice, it still seems to invite a new set of challenges that may cost more than the problems it is supposed to solve.

It is critical to point out that BPO is not a technology or a technology system; it is a business strategy. In that regard, to BPO or not to BPO is a question nearly anyone who manages a business process must now confront. As a strategic choice, the BPO option is a live one for anyone with a budget, limited resources, and decision rights over a business unit. For some managers, the decision may even involve the continued existence of their own departments and their jobs. No one is likely to decide to eliminate his or her own job, so managers must learn to understand how BPO may fit into their overall responsibilities and develop the skills to manage the BPO transition and maintain it once it is up and running.
Taking advantage of business process outsourcing will be a challenge for managers in all types of organizations and at all levels within those organizations. As we move into an age of greater accountability among organizational leaders, boards of directors, and others with fiduciary responsibility, it is imperative for those leaders to ask whether the firm could perform better by adopting new business models like BPO. Furthermore, as firms within an industry adopt BPO, others will be forced to consider it as the traditional cost structure of their industry comes under pressure.

The Revolution Is Here

The competitive and regulatory pressures that will compel managers to take a serious look at their BPO options are only beginning to be felt in some industries. But the revolution is upon us, and its will is relentless. Competitive forces that drive each industry to seek the most effective cost-control measures are irresistible, and no management or organizational structure will be able to hold off the BPO revolution. This means that adoption of BPO is virtually inevitable. Managers must prepare for the changes that are coming by understanding the factors that go into making a sound BPO decision.

In addition to the basic choice of whether to use BPO, a host of technological, business process, and HR issues follow in the wake of an affirmative decision. The technological issues range from the type of electronic infrastructure that will be required to communicate effectively with BPO partners to the integration of new technologies with legacy systems throughout the organization. These difficult issues require the skillful assembly and management of a team of diversely talented individuals. Because BPO is fundamentally a strategic issue, managers cannot simply call on their firm’s CIO or systems administrators to decide how to achieve an outsourcing relationship. The web of relationships that
make up successful BPO initiatives will be based on an array of managerial actions and skills that are unlikely to be present in any single manager or executive.

**Summary**

Business process outsourcing is the movement of functions from inside the organization to an outside service provider. It has been widely praised as a strategy for eliminating business processes that are not part of an organization’s core competence, including back-office functions such as payroll and benefits administration, customer service, call center, and technical support. Despite its demonstrable bottom-line benefits, however, BPO has come under attack for eliminating jobs, often by moving them offshore to lower-cost, higher-value locations.

Yet the fact remains, BPO has emerged as a viable business strategy. Advances in technology, ranging from improved Internet security to inexpensive data storage, have combined with educational and business drivers to enable organizations to maximize the benefits of the BPO revolution. With multiple models offering varying degrees of challenge, and the likelihood that additional models will evolve, organizations have numerous options that can help secure their business objectives. There is no doubt that BPO is a virtual inevitability. As such, executives must determine if and how it can benefit their organizations, and how their organizations can and must prepare for the BPO revolution.

**Endnotes**


