
Applications

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

CORROSION RESISTANT THERMAL BARRIER COATING MATERIALS FOR INDUSTRIAL GAS TURBINE APPLICATIONS

Michael D. Hill and Davin P. Phelps.
Trans-Tech Inc.
Adamstown, MD 21710 USA

Douglas E. Wolfe.
Assist Professor, Materials Science and Engineering Department
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park, Pa 16802 USA

ABSTRACT

Thermal Barrier Coatings are ceramic materials that are deposited on metal turbine blades in aircraft engines or industrial gas turbines which allow these engines to operate at higher temperatures. These coatings protect the underlying metal superalloy from creep, oxidation and/or localized melting by serving as an insulating barrier to protect the metal from the hot gases in the engine core. While for aircraft engines, pure refined fuels are used, it is desirable for industrial gas turbine applications that expensive refining operations be minimized. However, acidic impurities such as sulfur and vanadium are common in these "dirty" fuels and will attack the thermal barrier coating causing reduced coating lifetimes and in the worse case catastrophic failure due to spallation of the coating. The industry standard coating material is stabilized zirconia with seven weight percent yttria stabilized zirconia being the most common. When used in industrial gas turbines, the vanadium oxide impurities react with the tetragonal zirconia phase causing undesirable phase transformations. Among these transformations is that from tetragonal to monoclinic zirconia. This transformation is accompanied by a volume expansion which serves to tear apart the coating reducing the coating lifetime. Indium oxide is an alternative stabilizing agent which does not react readily with vanadium oxide. Unfortunately, indium oxide is very volatile and does not readily stabilize zirconia, making it difficult to incorporate the indium into the coating. However, by pre-reacting the indium oxide with samarium oxide or gadolinium oxide to form a stable perovskite ($GdInO_3$ or $SmInO_3$) the indium oxide volatilization is prevented allowing the indium oxide incorporation into the coating. Comparison of EDX data from evaporated coatings containing solely indium oxide and those containing $GdInO_3$ are presented and show that the indium is present in greater quantities in those coatings containing the additional stabilizer. Corrosion tests by reaction with vanadium pentoxide were performed to determine the reaction sequence and to optimize the chemical composition of the coating material. Lastly, select x-ray diffraction phase analysis will be presented.


INTRODUCTION

Thermal Barrier Coatings are ceramic materials that are deposited on metal turbine blades in aircraft engines or industrial gas turbines which allow these engines to operate at higher temperatures. These coatings protect the underlying metal superalloy from creep, oxidation and/or localized melting by serving as an insulating barrier to protect the metal from the hot gases in the engine core.

Several impurities common in fuels have been identified and associated with corrosion in EB-PVD coatings. These impurities include sodium, sulfur, phosphorus and especially vanadium. These impurities react with conventional YSZ turbine blade coatings, severely limiting the coating lifetime. Therefore, it is of great interest to develop alternative materials that react less readily with fuel contaminants and therefore increase the operating lifetime of the coating.


Standard 8YSZ EB-PVD coatings contain 8-weight percent yttria and crystallize in the metastable t' phase that is derived from a martensitic distortion of the “stabilized” cubic fluorite structure of zirconia. This rapidly cooled t' structure is the most desirable of all of the possible polymorphs in the yttria-zirconia system for TBC applications. Jones¹ described several mechanisms of chemical attack on 8YSZ coatings. These include chemical reaction, mineralization, bond coat corrosion and physical damage due to molten salt penetration. Of the four, only the first two mechanisms will be featured in this discussion.

Acidic species such as SO_3 and V_2O_5 have been shown to react with the yttria stabilizing the t' phase, destabilizing the Y_2O_3 - ZrO_2 by extraction of the Y_2O_3 . Of these, V_2O_5 has been determined to be the worst offender. Hamilton² and Susnitsky³ have studied the reaction mechanism in detail. The reaction:



is especially deleterious to the TBC integrity. The vanadium has been shown to leach the yttria out of the zirconia leaving the yttria deficient monoclinic phase of zirconia remaining. The large volume expansion (7%) caused by this transformation leads to the TBC spalling therefore exposing the bond coat to further chemical attack.

Mineralization, on the other hand, describes a catalytic process by which a metastable phase (in this case, the t' phase) is broken into its stable phase assemblages by a catalyst or mineralizer. For example, ceria stabilized zirconia was investigated as a corrosion resistant coating due to the fact that ceria does not react with vanadium pentoxide.



However, vanadium does act as a mineralizer, destabilizing the t' phase without reacting to form the vanadate.

Alternate stabilizers for zirconia: A large number of cationic species act to stabilize the cubic and t phases of zirconia. Therefore, one strategy toward finding corrosion resistant coatings was to find a stabilizer that is resistant to chemical attack by vanadium pentoxide. As mentioned above, ceria was investigated but found to be subject to a mineralization reaction⁴. Previous work at NRL¹ focused on studying acidic stabilizers to zirconia since basic stabilizers such as MgO and Y_2O_3 were especially susceptible to chemical attack by acidic vanadium pentoxide. Scandia (Sc_2O_3) and india (In_2O_3) in particular were examined in detail (Jones et. al.⁵ Sheu et. al.⁶). Of these, india was found to be the most resistant to chemical attack by vanadium pentoxide.

India stabilized Zirconia as a TBC coating: Although india stabilized zirconia shows promise due to its relative inertness in vanadia containing atmospheres, there are still significant drawbacks in its use as a TBC material. First, india volatilizes at a lower temperature than zirconia. This results in significant challenges for applying plasma sprayed TBC's¹. Although india stabilized zirconia coatings have been made in the t' phase (Sheu⁶), concerns about the volatility of indium oxide raise questions

about the ability of indium stabilized zirconia to form a homogeneous coatings.

In ₂ O sublimates at 600 °C	10 ⁻⁴ torr at 650°C
In ₂ O ₃ sublimates at 850 °C	10 ⁻⁴ torr at 850 °C

Jones, Reidy and Mess⁵ were able to co-stabilize zirconia with yttrium oxide and indium oxide using a sol gel process. However, no attempt was made to provide ingot feedstock of this composition for EB-PVD testing. Furthermore, the high cost (> \$300/kg) of In₂O₃ has also been a barrier for further research and development efforts.

Therefore, a logical approach was to incorporate the indium oxide into the ingot in a form that would make the indium oxide less volatile, therefore minimizing incidents of spitting, pressure fluctuations, and increase coating homogeneity while still providing enhanced corrosion resistant coating solely consisting of the t' phase. The strategy was to pre-react the indium oxide with a lanthanide oxide which forms either the LnInO₃ perovskite (La, Nd or Sm) or the hexagonal LnInO₃ (Gd or Dy). If the ingot contains zirconia and the LnInO₃ or just partially stabilized zirconia without free indium oxide, it was believed that a more homogeneous corrosion resistant coating could be deposited by electron beam physical vapor deposition (EB-PVD).

Advantages of Indate pre-cursor:

- 1) Perovskite indates (LnInO₃) are refractory compounds. The electropositive lanthanide ion (also stabilizers of the t' phase) stabilizes the In³⁺ state. It is the reduction to In¹⁺ that leads to the volatilization of In.
- 2) Multiple stabilizing ions reduce thermal conductivity. The work of R. Miller⁷ showed that TBC thermal conductivity decreases when numerous ions of different ionic sizes, valence and ionic weights are simultaneously incorporated into the zirconia as stabilizing agents. These are often referred to as oxide dopant clusters.

Lanthanide Selection: There are numerous factors that will determine the selection of the lanthanide ion accompanying the indium oxide.

- 1) Range of metastable t' phase field. Ideally one would like the largest range possible. Sasaki⁸ found the t' phase between 15 and 20-mol % In₂O₃ when quenched from temperatures above 1500°C. Ideally this phase region would accompany the In mol% alone as well as the entire range up to the (Ln + In) mole percentage.
- 2) Melting temperature of LnInO₃ compound. The more refractory the compound, the better is the performance
- 3) Acidity/basicity of lanthanide ion. If La is used, this is likely to be strongly attacked by vanadium because of its basicity. As we progress through the heavier lanthanides (left to right on periodic table), the basicity decreases.
- 4) Ionic size and weight. Y is of the ideal atomic size for decreasing the monoclinic-tetragonal transformation temperature in ZrO₂. (Sasaki⁸1993). As we move to smaller ions or larger ions this change in the transformation temperature is decreased. In addition, the greater the difference in ionic size and ionic weight between the In³⁺ and the Ln³⁺ ions, the lower the thermal conductivity (Miller⁷2004).

Phase Diagram Information: Only one ternary phase diagram exists containing any Ln_2O_3 - In_2O_3 - ZrO_2 ternary systems. That one is for $\text{Ln}=\text{Pr}$ and it was produced by Bates⁹ et.al in 1989. The compatibility relationships expressed in this diagram suggest that PrInO_3 perovskite would react with zirconia to form the $\text{Pr}_2\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_7$ pyrochlore and free indium oxide, the exact situation one should avoid. In addition, it has been shown¹⁰ that the larger lanthanide ions (La-Gd) in zirconate pyrochlores react with the thermally grown oxide to form undesirable lanthanide aluminate phases. Therefore, the authors investigated Ln ions that formed stable binary oxides of the perovskite structure with In_2O_3 but did not form the pyrochlore structure or formed the pyrochlore structure sluggishly. Like the formation of the indate perovskites, the stability of the pyrochlore phase decreases as we proceed from the light to heavy lanthanides. The lanthanides of greatest interest are therefore Sm, Gd and Dy.

Sm_2O_3	Forms $\text{Sm}_2\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_7$ pyrochlore Stable to 1800°C (Yokakawa ¹¹ 1992)	Forms SmInO_3 perovskite (Schneider, Roth and Waring ¹² 1961)
Gd_2O_3	Forms $\text{Gd}_2\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_7$ pyrochlore Stable to 1575°C (Yokakawa ¹¹ 1992)	Forms hexagonal GdInO_3 (Schneider, Roth and Waring ¹² 1961)
Dy_2O_3	Does not form $\text{Dy}_2\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_7$ pyrochlore (Pascual and Duran ¹³ 1980)	Forms hexagonal DyInO_3 Stable to 1600 C (Schneider, Roth and Waring ¹² 1961)

Lanthanides heavier than Dy do not form either the pyrochlore¹³ or binary indate phases¹². The samarium series is of interest because the indate perovskite forms and since Sm is the most electropositive ion of the lanthanide series (to prevent In^{1+} formation and volatilization); however, Sm also forms the most stable pyrochlore which is undesirable. Conversely, the dysprosium series is of interest because it does not form the pyrochlore zirconate or the perovskite structure. The hexagonal compound that does form is unstable above 1600°C. Therefore the challenge is to find a compound indium oxide precursor that will prevent indium volatilization but will not react with zirconia to form a pyrochlore and thus liberate free (and volatile) In_2O_3 .

In 2007, Mohan et. al.¹⁴ reported that in addition to forming the zircon YVO_4 phase that YSZ will react with vanadate salts below 747°C to form the zirconium pyrovanadate (ZrV_2O_7) phase. The role this phase plays in the mechanical properties of YSZ coatings containing vanadium warrants further study.

EXPERIMENTAL

LnInO_3 materials were synthesized by blending yttrium, samarium, gadolinium or dysprosium oxides (loss on ignition determined at 1300°C for all starting oxides) with indium oxide in a ball-mill with yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ) media at 55% solids loading without dispersants for 4h. The slurry was pan dried and calcined at 1300°C for 8h. X-ray diffraction was used to evaluate the phase purity of the material by comparing with the appropriate JCPDS cards. If the reaction was incomplete, the milling and calcinations were repeated. The fully-reacted lanthanide indate compositions were then ball-milled with YSZ media until the median particle size was 2 microns or less.

Table I. - Physical and Chemical Properties of the Fired Ingot Material

Ingot Material	Fired Density	Phase Content	Evaporation Quality
6 mole% SmInO ₃	4.81 g/cc	t-ZrO ₂ , m-ZrO ₂ + LnInO ₃	Poor - Spitting
6 mole% GdInO ₃	4.85 g/cc	t-ZrO ₂ , m-ZrO ₂ + LnInO ₃	Poor - Spitting
6 mole% DyInO ₃	4.80 g/cc	t-ZrO ₂ , m-ZrO ₂ + LnInO ₃	Extremely Poor
6 mole% SmInO ₃ +3 mole% Y ₂ O ₃	4.59 g/cc	t-ZrO ₂ , m-ZrO ₂ + LnInO ₃	Poor - Spitting
6 mole% GdInO ₃ +3 mole% Y ₂ O ₃	4.63 g/cc	t-ZrO ₂ , m-ZrO ₂ + LnInO ₃	Poor - Spitting

The indiate precursors were then blended with zirconia to the desired composition and formed by cold isostatic pressing into the EB-PVD ingots. The materials were heat treated between 1430 °C and 1530°C for 10h to achieve a theoretical density between 60 and 70%. Table I shows the fired densities, the phase content and the evapoaration quality of the ingot material as a function of the chemical composition. XRD revealed the fluorite structure along with residual monoclinic zirconia and the indiate perovskites as listed in Table I.

The ingots were evaporated onto platinum aluminide coated MAR-M-247 nickel based alloy one inch diameter buttons in an industrial prototype EB-PVD coating system at Penn State University. XRD and SEM microstructures were prepared for each coating, with selectEDX presented for semi-quantitative coating chemistry analysis.

Corrosion reactivity tests were performed by reacting the coated coupons with a thin coating of vanadium pentoxide and heated to temperatures between 400 – 650°C for 4 – 6 hours. X-ray diffraction was performed on the pre-reacted and as-reacted coating to identify any phases forming due to the reaction with vanadium pentoxide.


RESULTS

1) Evaporation: In general, the ingots evaporated poorly in the industrial scale EB-PVD coating unit. The material showed “spitting” and extensive cracking during evaporation. The spitting is most likely due to the difference in the vapor pressure between zirconium oxide and indium oxide containing phases in the ingot, but can also be the result of localized differences in ingot densities and degree of connected porosity. Cracking can also occur if the ingot density is too high or the ingot does not have sufficient thermal shock resistance. Despite the difficulties during ingot evaporation, coatings were obtained for each material studied. However, it should be noted that some “spits” or coating defects were observed on the surface of the coated coupons. Lastly, yttrium oxide was added into the composition as an evaporation aid during powder formulation and ingot fabrication, but it did not appear to substantially improve ingot evaporability.

2) Coating Properties: XRD revealed that all of the coatings were single phase with the desired t’ structure. The coating microstructure as observed by scanning electron microscopy revealed a

columnar microstructure typical of those applied by the EB-PVD process. Figure 1 shows an SEM micrograph of the 6 mol% GdInO₃ stabilized zirconia coating surface morphology. In addition, EDX was performed on the coating surface to determine semi quantitative compositional information regarding traces of rare earth and indium oxide compositions. These results are listed in Table II.

The first measure of success was to obtain a coating which contained the acidic stabilizer In₂O₃. Table II compares the ease of evaporability and the relative amount of indium within the coating for the various compositions studied. The two compositions containing samarium indate showed the highest amounts of residual indium followed by the sample containing both gadolinium and indium oxide. The ingot starting with 6 mole % indium oxide showed moderate amounts of indium remaining in the EDX trace although considerably less than either samarium containing composition despite starting with double the amount of indium oxide in the ingot.



ESEM images showing the surface morphology of ZrO₂/Y/GdInO₃ deposited on a platinum aluminide bond coated MAR-M-247 button. Sample # S050923-1H

10/4/2005

Figure 1: SEM image of surface morphology of the EB-PVD coating obtained by evaporation of the 6 mol% GdInO₃-3 mol% Y₂O₃doped zirconia ingot composition. The coatings were applied on a platinum aluminide coated nickel base alloy. The top images show a lower magnification than the bottom images

3.) Reactivity Tests: Table III shows the results of the vanadium pentoxide reactivity tests. X-ray diffraction was performed on the various coatings before and after the reactivity tests in order to determine whether the coatings reactive with vanadium oxide. If any reactions occurred, the phases were identified. The sample containing samarium indate showed only the tetragonal prime phase until

500°C at 16h. Traces of the LnVO₄ phase with the zircon structure were observed in the samples containing the gadolinium and dysprosium indate at 400°C at 4 hours. Upon further testing at 500°C when exposed to vanadium oxide, traces of monoclinic zirconia and the ZrV₂O₇ phase appeared for DyInO₃ containing samples. With the exception of the coating that contained 6mol% GdInO₃, the t' phase completely disappeared at 650°C suggesting that these coatings reacted with the vanadium oxide to destabilize the yttria stabilized zirconia. The 6mol% GdInO₃ composition showed the most promising results with regards to resistance against vanadium oxide attack.

Table II: A comparison of properties for ingots of various compositions studied. Ease of evaporation, EDX In₂O₃ content, SEM microstructure and the phase content.

Composition	Ease of EB-PVD Evaporation (TD: theoretical density.)	Amount of In in coating	Microstructure	Coating Phase
6 mol% In ₂ O ₃	Poor (62 % TD ingot)	some	TBD	t'
6 mol% SmInO ₃	Poor (60 and 70% TD ingots)	most	Columnar	t'
6 mol% SmInO ₃ 3 mol% Y ₂ O ₃	Poor (60% TD ingot)	most	TBD	t'
6 mol% GdInO ₃	Poor (60 and 70% TD ingots)	little	Columnar – not homogenous	t'
6 mol% GdInO ₃ 3 mol% Y ₂ O ₃	Poor (60% TD ingot)	most	TBD	t'
6 mol% DyInO ₃	Poor (70% TD ingot)	some	Poorly formed columns	t'

DISCUSSION

All of the indium containing compositions were difficult to evaporate as an ingot. This makes it unlikely that these materials would be useful for EB-PVD applications. EB-PVD is typically used for aircraft engine coatings. This application would typically use clean fuels devoid of acidic corrosive impurities. The material may be more useful as a plasma sprayed powder, which is a more typical TBC form for the industrial gas turbine industry with increased probability of being exposed to vanadium.

The samarium containing compounds showed the most residual indium in the coating as determined by EDX. Sm is the most electropositive of the lanthanide co-stabilizers and is less likely to form pyrochlorides than the lighter lanthanides like La or Nd. The Sm and Gd containing materials

formed the typical columnar microstructure while the Dy containing sample showed poorly formed columns. It is not clear whether this was the result of processing difficulties caused by the ingot composition or phase stability.

The reactivity test showed that of all of the lanthanide co-stabilizers, the SmInO₃ containing composition showed the highest onset temperature before LnInO₃ formation. This is at least partly a result of the higher indium content in the evaporated coating. Along with the formation of the LnInO₃ and the expected monoclinic zirconia, the ZrV₂O₇ phase appeared as well. There is no evidence of any influence of the stabilizing agent on the formation of this phase. It is uncertain whether this phase has a role on the mechanical durability or lifetime of the TBC. In the Gd and Dy containing coatings (which showed less incorporation by EDX) the onset temperature for the appearance of LnInO₃ was the same as that for the formation of the ZrV₂O₇ phase. The appearance of the zircon structure vanadate either at lower temperatures than or concurrently with the monoclinic zirconia suggests that mineralization reactions are not taking place.

Table III. Table listing the reaction temperatures and phases observed when exposed to vanadium oxide at elevated temperatures.

Composition	Reaction with vanadia at 400C/6h	Reaction with vanadia at 400C/16h	Reaction with vanadia at 500 C/8h	Reaction with vanadia at 500 C/16h	Reaction with vanadia at 600 C/8h	Reaction with vanadia at 600 C/16h	Reaction with vanadia at 650 C/16h
6 mol% SmInO ₃	t'	t'	t'	t' + SmVO ₄ + trace ZrV ₂ O ₇	t' + SmVO ₄ + mono (ZrO ₂) + ZrV ₂ O ₇	t' + SmVO ₄ + mono (ZrO ₂) + ZrV ₂ O ₇	SmVO ₄ + mono (ZrO ₂) + ZrV ₂ O ₇
6 mol% GdInO ₃	t'	t' + trace GdVO ₄	t' + trace GdVO ₄	t' + trace GdVO ₄	t' + GdVO ₄ + mono (ZrO ₂) + ZrV ₂ O ₇	t' + GdVO ₄ + mono (ZrO ₂) + ZrV ₂ O ₇	t' + GdVO ₄ + mono (ZrO ₂) + ZrV ₂ O ₇
6 mol% DyInO ₃	t' + trace DyVO ₄	t' + trace DyVO ₄	t' + trace DyVO ₄	t' + DyVO ₄ + trace mono (ZrO ₂)	t' + DyVO ₄ + mono (ZrO ₂) + ZrV ₂ O ₇	t' + DyVO ₄ + mono (ZrO ₂) + ZrV ₂ O ₇	DyVO ₄ + mono (ZrO ₂) + ZrV ₂ O ₇

CONCLUSIONS

It has been shown that indium oxide is an alternative stabilizing agent to yttria which does not react readily with vanadium oxide. A processing technique has been developed to incorporate increased amounts of indium oxide by using rare earth oxides by pre-reacting the indium oxide with samarium oxide or gadolinium oxide to form a stable perovskite (GdInO_3 or SmInO_3). This resulted in reduced volatilization of the indium oxide and thus increased volume fractions of indium oxide being incorporated into the coating. Comparison of EDX data from evaporated coatings to the coatings produced after electron beam evaporation containing solely indium oxide and those containing GdInO_3 showed increased indium content present in greater quantities for those coatings containing the additional stabilizer. The primary findings of the presented work are summarized below:

- 1) That the addition of a lanthanide co-stabilizer (i.e., Sm) will assist indium incorporation into a EB-PVD thermal barrier coating. EDX revealed a greater indium concentration in the 3 mol% coating as SmInO_3 than with 6 mol% In_2O_3 .
- 2) The indiate materials investigated in this effort do not appear to be ideal for EB-PVD coatings. This material combination is more likely to be better suited for plasma spraying.
- 3) Samples containing samarium indiate showed the most resistance to reaction with vanadium pentoxide
- 4) The appearance of the LnVO_4 phase at temperatures below or concurrently with the monoclinic zirconia contra-indicates a mineralization reaction.

Continued efforts are suggested to further optimize the LnInO_3 content, to explore hot corrosion tests mimicking service conditions and to understand the role of the ZrV_2O_7 phase. In addition, additional efforts to prepare and field test plasma sprayed coatings of the indium co-stabilized zirconia will be investigated. The materials described within are subject to a pending US patent.

REFERENCES

- 1) R.L. Jones **J Thermal Spray Technology** 6 [1] 1997 pp77-84
- 2) Hamilton and Nagelberg **JACerS** 67 [10] 1984 pp 686-690
- 3) Susnitzky, Hertl and Carter **JACerS** 71 [11] 1988 pp 992-1004
- 4) Jones and Mess **JACerS** 75 [7] 1992 pp1818-21
- 5) Jones, Reidy and Mess **JACerS** 76 [10] 1993 pp2660-2662
- 6) Sheu, Xu and Tien **JACerS** 76 [8] 1993 pp2027-2032
- 7) Miller, **Int. J. of Applied Ceramic Tech** 1 [2] 2004
- 8) Sasaki, Bohac and Gaukler **JACerS** 76[3] 1993 pp 689-698
- 9) Bates, Weber and Gatkin **Proc. – Electrochem. Soc. [Proc. Int. Symp. Solid Oxide Fuel Cells, 1st] 1989 pp 141-156**
- 10) C.G. Levi **Current Opinion in Solid State and Materials Science** 8 2004 pp77-91
- 11) Yokakawa, Sakai, Kaweda and Dokiya **Sci. Technol. Zirconia V [Int. Conf. 5th] 1993 pp 59-68**
- 12) Schneider, Roth and Waring **J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stds. Section A** 65 [4] 1961 pp345-374
- 13) Pascual and Duran **J. Mater.Sci.** 15 [7] 1980 pp1701-1708
- 14) Mohan, Yuan, Patterson, Desai and Sohn **JACerS** In Press

