# Chapter 1 Introduction

### **Objectives**

After completing this chapter you should be familiar with:

- The special chemical conditions often required by biomolecules.
- Importance of the use of **buffers** in the study of physical phenomena in biochemistry.
- Quantification of physical phenomena.
- Objectives of this volume.

This volume describes a range of physical techniques which are now widely used in the study both of biomolecules and of processes in which they are involved. There will be a strong emphasis throughout on *biomacromolecules* such as proteins and nucleic acids as well as on *macromolecular complexes* of which they are components (e.g. biological membranes, ribosomes, chromosomes). This is because such chemical entities are particularly crucial to the correct functioning of living cells and present specific analytical problems compared to simpler biomolecules such as monosaccharides or dipeptides. Biophysical techniques, give detailed information offering insights into the structure, dynamics and interactions of biomacromolecules.

Life scientists in general and biochemists in particular have devoted much effort during the last century to elucidation of the relationship between structure and function and to understanding how biological processes happen and are controlled. Major progress has been made using chemical and biological techniques which, for example, have contributed to the development of the science of molecular biology. However, in the last decade physical techniques which complement these other approaches have seen major development and these now promise even greater insight into the molecules and processes which allow the living cell to survive. For example, a major focus of life science research currently is the proteome as distinct from the genome. This has emphasized the need to be able to study the highly-individual structures of biomacromolecules such as proteins to understand more fully their particular contribution to the biology of the cell. For the foreseeable future, these techniques are likely to impact to a greater or lesser extent on the activities of most life scientists. This text attempts to survey the main physical techniques and to describe how they can contribute to our knowledge of biological systems and processes. We will set the scene for this by

first looking at the particular analytical problems posed by biomolecules.

### 1.1 SPECIAL CHEMICAL REQUIREMENTS OF BIOMOLECULES

The tens of thousands of biomolecules encountered in living cells may be classified into two general groups. Biomacromolecules (e.g. proteins; nucleic acids) are characterized by high molecular mass (denoted throughout this text as relative molecular mass,  $M_r$ ) and are generally unstable under extreme chemical conditions where they may lose structure or break down into their chemical building blocks. Low molecular weight molecules are smaller and more chemically robust (e.g. amino acids; nucleotides; fatty acids). Within each group there is displayed a wide range of watersolubility, chemical composition and reactivity which is determined by complex interactions between physicochemical attributes of the biomolecule and solvent. These attributes are the main focus for the techniques described in this volume and reflect the highly individual function which each molecule performs in the cell (Tables 1.1 and 1.2).

Notwithstanding the great range of form and structure, we can nonetheless recognize certain attributes as common to all biomolecules. The first and most obvious is that all of these molecules are produced in living cells *under mild chemical conditions* of temperature, pressure and pH. Biomacromolecules are built up from simpler building block molecules by covalent bonds formed usually with the elimination of water. Moreover, *biomolecules are continuously synthesized and degraded* in cells in a highly regulated manner. It follows from this that many biomolecules are especially sensitive to extremes of temperature and pH which may present a problem in their handling prior to and during

Physical Biochemistry: Principles and Applications, Second Edition David Sheehan © 2009 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

 Table 1.1. Some important physical attributes of biomolecules amenable to study by biophysical techniques

| Physical attribute | Technique                              |
|--------------------|--|
| Mass               | MS (Chapters 4 and 10)                 |
|                    | Electrophoresis (Chapters 5<br>and 10) |
|                    | Gel filtration (Chapter 2)             |
| Volume/density     | Gel filtration (Chapter 2)             |
| -                  | Centrifugation (Chapter 7)             |
|                    | Pulsed field gel electrophoresis       |
|                    | (Chapter 5)                            |
| Charge             | Ion exchange chromatography            |
| C                  | (Chapter 2)                            |
|                    | Electrophoresis/chromatofocusing       |
|                    | (Chapters 5 and 10)                    |
|                    | MS (Chapters 4 and 10)                 |
| Shape              | Chromatography (Chapter 2)             |
| •                  | Electrophoresis (Chapters 5            |
|                    | and 10)                                |
|                    | Crystallization (Chapter 6)            |
|                    | Centrifugation (Chapter 7)             |
| Energy             | Spectroscopy (Chapter 3)               |
|                    | Biocalorimetry (Chapter 8)             |

| <b>Table 1.2.</b> | Some important chemical attributes of biomolecules |
|-------------------|--|
| which may b       | e used in study by biophysical techniques          |

| Chemical attribute         | Technique                              |
|----------------------------|--|
| Composition                | MS (Chapters 4 and 10)                 |
|                            | Spectroscopy (Chapter 3)               |
| Molecular structure        | Spectroscopy (Chapter 3)               |
|                            | Crystallization (Chapter 6)            |
| Covalent bonds             | MS (Chapters 4 and 10)                 |
|                            | Bioinformatics (Chapter 9)             |
|                            | Electrophoresis (Chapters 5<br>and 10) |
| Noncovalent bonds          | Chromatography (Chapter 2)             |
|                            | Electrophoresis (Chapters 5<br>and 10) |
|                            | Spectroscopy (Chapter 3)               |
| Native/denatured structure | Chromatography (Chapter 2)             |
|                            | Electrophoresis (Chapter 5)            |
|                            | Proteomics (Chapter 10)                |
| Solubility                 | Chromatography (Chapter 2)             |
| -                          | Crystallography (Chapter 6)            |
|                            | Precipitation (Chapter 7)              |
| Complex formation          | Electrophoresis (Chapter 5)            |
|                            | MS (Chapters 4 and 10)                 |
|                            | Spectroscopy (Chapter 3)               |
|                            | Crystallography (Chapter 6)            |

any biophysical analysis. Since biomolecules result from a long process of biological evolution during which they have been selected to perform highly specific functions, a very close relationship has arisen between chemical structure and function. This means that, even at pH and temperature values under which the molecule may not be destroyed, it may function suboptimally or not at all.

These facts impose limitations on the chemical conditions to which biomolecules may be exposed during extraction, purification or analysis. In most of the techniques described in this volume, sample analytes are exposed to a specific set of chemical conditions by being dissolved in a solution of defined composition. Whilst other components in the solution may also be important in individual cases as will be discussed below (Section 1.3.2), three main variables govern the makeup of this solution which are discussed in more detail in the following section.

# **1.2 FACTORS AFFECTING ANALYTE STRUCTURE AND STABILITY**

In practice, most of the biophysical procedures described in this volume use conditions which have been optimized over many years for thousands of different samples. These robust conditions will normally maintain the sample in a defined structural form facilitating its separation and/or analysis. However, some procedures (e.g. chromatography, capillary electrophoresis, crystallization) may require case-by-case optimization of conditions. Before embarking on a detailed analysis of a biomolecule using biophysical techniques it is often useful to know something about the stability of the sample to chemical variables, especially pH, temperature and solvent polarity. This knowledge can help us to design a suitable solvent or set of chemical conditions which will maximize the stability of the analyte for the duration of the experiment and may also help us to explain unexpected results. For example, we sometimes find loss of enzyme activity during column chromatography which may be partly explained by the chemical conditions experienced by the protein during the experiment. Moreover, many of the techniques described in this volume are actually designed to be suboptimal and to take advantage of disruption of the normal functional structure of the biomolecule to facilitate separation or analysis (e.g. electrophoresis, HPLC, MS).

A good indication of the most stabilizing conditions may often be obtained from knowledge of the biological origin of the biomolecule. It is also wise to assess the structural and functional stability of the analyte over the range of experimental conditions encountered in the experiment during its likely time-span.

# We can distinguish two main types of effects as a result of variation in the chemical conditions to which biomolecules are exposed. *Structural effects* reflect often irreversible structural change in the molecule (e.g. protein/nucleic acid denaturation; hydrolysis of covalent bonds between building blocks of which biopolymers are composed). *Functional effects* are frequently more subtle and may be reversible (e.g. deprotonation of chemical groups in the biomolecule resulting in ionization; partial unfolding of proteins). A detailed treatment of these effects on the main classes of biomolecules is outside the scope of the present volume but a working knowledge of the likely effects of these conditions can be very useful in deciding conditions for separation or analytical manipulation.

### 1.2.1 pH Effects

pH is defined as the negative log of the proton concentration:

$$pH = -\log[H^+] \tag{1.1}$$

Because both the H<sup>+</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup> concentrations of pure water are  $10^{-7}$  M, this scale runs from a *maximum* of 14 (strongly alkaline) to a minimum of 0 (strongly acidic). As it is a log scale, one unit reflects a *10-fold* change in proton concentration. Most biomacromolecules are *labile* to alkaline or acid-catalyzed hydrolysis at extremes of the pH scale but are generally *stable* in the range 3–10. It is usual to analyse such biopolymers at pH values where they are structurally stable and this may differ slightly for individual biopolymers. For example, proteins normally expressed in lysosomes (pH 4) are quite acid-stable while those from cytosol (pH 7) may be unstable near pH 3. Aqueous solutions in which sample molecules are dissolved usually comprise a *buffer* to prevent changes in pH during the experiment. These are described in more detail in Section 1.3 below.

Many biomolecules are *amphoteric* in aqueous solution that is they can accept or donate protons. Some chemical groups such as inorganic phosphate or acidic amino acid side-chains (e.g. aspartate) can act as *Brønsted acids* and donate protons:

$$AH \underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{k_1}{\longleftrightarrow}} A^- + H^+ \tag{1.2}$$

Other groups such as the imidazole ring of histidine or amino groups can act as *Brønsted bases* and accept protons:

$$B^{-} + H^{+} \underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{k_{1}}{\longleftrightarrow}} BH$$
(1.3)

The position of equilibrium in these protonation/ deprotonation events may be described by an *equilibrium*  constant,  $K_a$ :

$$K_{\rm a} = \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}} = \frac{[{\rm A}^-] \cdot [{\rm H}^+]}{[{\rm A}{\rm H}]} \tag{1.4}$$

 $pK_a$  ( $-logK_a$ ) is the pH value at which 50% of the acid is protonated and 50% is deprotonated. The *Henderson–Hasselbach equation* describes variation of concentrations of A<sup>-</sup> and AH as a function of pH:

$$pH = pK_a + \frac{\log[A^-]}{[AH]}$$
(1.5)

Functional groups present as structural components of biomolecules (e.g. amino acid side-chains of proteins; phosphate groups of nucleotides) will have distinct  $K_a$  values which may differ slightly from the value found in other chemical circumstances (e.g. the  $K_a$  values of amino acid side-chains in polypeptides differ from those in the free amino acid). Some biomolecules can contain *both* acidic and basic groups within their structure (e.g. proteins) while particular chemical structures found in biomolecules may be *polyprotic*, that is capable of *multiple* ionizations (e.g. phosphate). Such biomolecules may undergo a complex pattern of ionization resulting in varying net charge on the molecule. *pH titration curves* for biomolecules allow us to identify  $pK_a$  values (Figure 1.1).

Since protonation-deprotonation effects are responsible for the charges on biomacromolecules which maintain their solubility in water, their solubility is often *lowest* at their *isoelectric point*, pI, the pH value at which the molecule has no net charge. These can also be determined by titration using methods described in Chapter 5 (Section 5.5.3; Figure 5.24).

While the pH scale reflects the situation in aqueous solution, many microenvironments encountered in living cells are quite nonpolar (*see* below). Good examples include biological membranes and water-excluding regions of proteins (e.g. some enzyme active sites). In these environments, protonation/deprotonation properties of chemical groups may deviate widely from those observed in aqueous solution. For example, catalytic residues of many enzymes frequently display  $pK_a$  values which are perturbed far from those normal for that residue in water-exposed regions of proteins.

### 1.2.2 Temperature Effects

Three main effects of temperature on biomolecules are important for the biophysical techniques described in this volume. These are effects on structure, chemical reactivity and solubility. Heat can disrupt noncovalent bonds such as

### INTRODUCTION 3



**Figure 1.1.** pH titration curves, (a) Lysine. Four protonation states are possible for lysine as shown. Three  $pK_a$  values are evident from this at pH values of 2.18, 8.95 and 10.53. The pI of lysine is 9.74. (b) Glycine. Note that only three protonation states exist for glycine compared to four for lysine.  $pK_a$  values are at pH values of 2.34 and 9.6 which differ slightly from the corresponding ionisations in (a). Glycine has a pI of 5.97

hydrogen bonds which are especially important in the structure of biomacromolecules. This can lead to denaturation of proteins and DNA or to disruption of multimolecular complexes in which they may be involved. Moreover, since covalent bonds linking building block molecules (e.g. peptide bonds; glycosidic bonds; 3', 5'-phosphodiester bonds) have generally lower bond energies than bonds within such building blocks, extensive heating can result in disintegration of the covalent structure of biomacromolecules. Thus proteins can break down into component peptides or nucleic acids into smaller polynucleotide fragments as a result of exposure to heat.

### INTRODUCTION 5

Secondly, all chemical reaction processes obey the Arrhenius relationship:

$$k = A e - E_{\rm a}/RT \tag{1.6}$$

where k is the rate constant for the process, A is a constant,  $E_a$  is the activation energy of the reaction, R is the Universal gas constant and T is absolute temperature. This relationship arises from large changes in the number of activated molecules available for reaction as a result of change in temperature. The exponential dependence on temperature means that small changes in T can result in large effects on the rate constant, k.

Thirdly, temperature usually increases the solubility of molecules in a solvent as well as the rate of diffusion through the solvent. This is because heat increases the average kinetic energy of solvent molecules. In the case of water, this is accompanied by extensive breakdown of water-water hydrogen bonds which increases the solute capacity of a given volume of water. Thus, for example 8 M urea is soluble at 30 °C while the limit of solubility is closer to 5 M at 4 °C. Kinetic energy effects are also important in situations involving biological membranes because the phospholipid bilayer of which they are composed becomes increasingly fluid at higher temperature.

Temperature is therefore usually tightly controlled during biophysical experiments. In dealing with biomacromolecules in particular, it is generally not possible to use temperatures higher than 80 °C and, in most cases, much lower temperatures are used. Moreover, samples such as proteins or nucleic acids are normally stored under refrigerated conditions to maximize their stability. This is achieved with the aid of liquid nitrogen  $(-196 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$  or with refrigerators set at -80 or -20 °C. Particular care must be taken in handling crude biological extracts since hydrolases such as proteases and nucleases present in these will be active in the range 18-37 °C and result in extensive degradation of proteins and nucleic acids. This can be avoided by maintaining low temperatures near 4 °C during manipulation of sample and by cooling buffer solutions before dissolving biological samples.

Most biomolecules are optimally active at temperatures similar to those experienced in the biological source from which they were obtained. For example, proteins from thermophilic bacteria are especially heat stable compared to corresponding proteins from mesophilic bacteria while mammalian proteins are optimally active around 37 °C.

### 1.2.3 Effects of Solvent Polarity

Polarity arises from unequal affinity of atoms bonded together for shared electrons called electronegativity. Apart from fluorine (with an electronegativity value of 4), oxygen is the most electronegative element in the periodic table (electronegativity value of 3.5) leading, for example, to oxygens of –OH groups tending to be partially negatively charged ( $\delta^-$ ) while hydrogens tend to be partially positively charged ( $\delta^+$ ). Water (which is itself polar) interacts *ionically* with polar functional groups such as –OH by hydrogen bonding (Figure 1.2). Organic molecules composed mainly of carbon and hydrogen, however, tend to be nonpolar as these atoms have similar electronegativities (2.5 and 2.1, respectively). In general, polar biomolecules dissolve readily in polar solvents such as water while those which are nonpolar dissolve in nonpolar solvents (e.g. trichloromethane).

Biomolecules lacking strongly electronegative elements such as oxygen and nitrogen and consisting mainly of carbon and hydrogen tend to be principally nonpolar (e.g. fatty acids; sterols; integral membrane proteins). Conversely, those containing oxygen, sulfur and nitrogen tend to be mainly polar (e.g. monosaccharides; nucleotides). Biomacromolecules often contain distinct structural regions some of which may be polar while others may be nonpolar.

Since water is the main biological solvent, most biomolecules (or parts of biomolecules) have been selected by evolution to interact with it in particular ways either by attraction or repulsion. Polar regions strongly attracted to water are called hydrophilic while nonpolar regions which are repulsed by water are called hydrophobic. In the living cell, biomolecules adopt a structure determined to a large degree by the extent to which they are hydrophobic/hydrophilic. For example, biological membranes are made up of phospholipid bilayers which spontaneously form when phospholipid molecules are dissolved in water. The polar heads of phospholipids are on the exterior in contact with water while the nonpolar fatty acid components are on the interior of the bilayer protected from water. Cytosolic proteins express hydrophilic groups on their surface whilst folding in such a manner that hydrophobic groups are protected from exposure to water in the interior of the protein (Chapter 6). Membrane-bound proteins such as hormone receptors expose hydrophobic groups to the interior of biological membranes and hydrophilic groups to the exterior.

In extracting, analyzing and purifying biomolecules these intricate structural interactions are often lost which can result in aggregation, precipitation or loss of structure and, hence, of biological activity. If it is desired to retain biological activity we use aqueous solutions to handle largely hydrophilic biomolecules, nonpolar solvents to dissolve mainly hydrophobic samples and detergent solutions for molecules which possess both classes of groups. Many of the individual techniques described in this volume use specific solvent systems of distinct polarity/nonpolarity but it may occasionally be necessary to design individual solvent systems to take account of the requirements of particular biomolecules. Examples include column chromatography



Figure 1.2. Hydrogen bonding. Water molecules hydrogen bond because of partial charge-differences arising from the different electronegativities of oxygen and hydrogen. Hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) are shown both in bulk water and between water and amino acid side-chains of glutamic acid and serine residues of a polypcptide. Such ionic interactions maintain a solvation shell of water around the surface of globular proteins and other hydrated biomacromolecules

(Chapter 2), spectroscopy (Chapter 3) and capillary electrophoresis (Chapter 5).

# **1.3 BUFFERING SYSTEMS USED IN BIOCHEMISTRY**

A buffer is an aqueous solvent system designed to maintain a given pH. In the context of biochemical work, the main function of buffers is to resist any tendency for pH to rise or drop during the experiment. This can happen during any process which might release or absorb protons from solution such as, for example, during an enzyme-catalyzed reaction or as a result of electrochemical processes such as electrophoresis. A secondary but often crucial role for a buffer is to maximize the stability of biomolecules in solution. Frequently, additional molecules are discussed in the buffer to help it to do this and these are discussed in more detail below.

### 1.3.1 How Does a Buffer Work?

A

Any aqueous solution containing both  $A^-$  and AH (Section 1.2 above) is, in principle, capable of resisting change in pH. This is because, if protons are generated in the solution, they can be neutralized by  $A^-$ :

$$A^- + H^+ \to AH \tag{1.7}$$

Conversely, if alkali is generated in the solution (which would tend to *remove* protons), it can be neutralized by AH:

$$AH + OH^- \rightarrow A^- + H_2O \tag{1.8}$$

In practice, most buffers consist of mixtures either of a weak acid and its salt or of a weak base and its salt.

Of course, the ability of a buffer to resist change in pH is finite, especially if the number of protons involved is especially large. This limit is represented by the *buffering capacity* of the buffer,  $\beta$ . This is defined as the number of moles of [H<sup>+</sup>] which must be added to a liter of the buffer

### INTRODUCTION 7

to decrease the pH by one unit. It can be mathematically calculated from the following equation:

$$\beta = \frac{2.3 \cdot K_{\rm a} \cdot [\rm{H}^+] \cdot [\rm{C}]}{(K_{\rm a} + [\rm{H}^+])^2}$$
(1.9)

where [C] is the *sum* of the concentrations of A<sup>-</sup> and AH. This relationship means that *buffering capacity increases with buffer concentration* so that, for example 100 mM acetate buffer has 50-fold greater buffering capacity than 2 mM. It can be demonstrated experimentally that  $\beta$  reaches a maximum at pH values equal to pK<sub>a</sub> which is when the concentrations of A<sup>-</sup> and AH are approximately equal. This means that buffers work best at pH values around their pK<sub>a</sub>. In practice, most buffers are effective one pH unit above and one below their pK<sub>a</sub> so, for example acetate buffers (pK<sub>a</sub> = 4.8) are useful in the pH range 3.8 to 5.8, although most effective around pH 4.8.

### 1.3.2 Some Common Buffers

A selection of buffers commonly used in biochemistry is given in Table 1.3. Some of these buffer components are of biological origin (e.g. glycine; histidine; acetate). *Good's buffers* were developed by N.E. Good to facilitate buffering in the pH range 6–10.5. Because of their complicated chemical names, these buffers are more usually known by abbreviations (e.g. Pipes; Hepes; Mops). Inspection of Table 1.3 shows that buffers are available which span the range of interest for physical studies of biomolecules (i.e. pH 3–10). When preparing buffers, it is essential that *both* the concentration and pH are correct since these are the two variables critical to buffering capacity (Equation (1.9)).

A number of problems can arise with particular buffers which can limit their use in specific cases. For example, several buffers interact with divalent metals (e.g. phosphate binds Ca<sup>2+</sup>; Tris reacts with Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup>) and should be avoided in cases where this is important to interpretation of the experiment. Tris buffers are especially sensitive to temperature which can result in the same buffer giving a slightly different pH at different temperatures. Phosphate buffers are particularly susceptible to bacterial contamination if stored for long periods of time (although this can be avoided by including a low concentration of sodium azide as a preservative). Some buffer components (e.g. EDTA) may give high absorbance readings which can affect detection during processes such as chromatography. Volatile buffer components (e.g. formic acid; bicarbonate; triethanolamine) can be lost from the buffer over time leading to a gradual change in pH and buffering capacity.

### **1.3.3** Additional Components Often Used in Buffers

In addition to buffer components such as weak acids/bases and their salts, buffers frequently contain a range of other

| <b>Lable 1.3.</b> Some common buffers used in | 1 bioc | hemistry |
|---|--------|----------|
|---|--------|----------|

| Buffer  | pK <sub>a</sub>  |
|---|------------------|
| Phosphate <sup>a</sup>  | 0.85, 1.82, 6.68 |
| Histidine <sup>a</sup>  | 1.82, 5.98, 9.17 |
| Phosphoric acid <sup>a</sup>  | 1.96, 6.7, 12.3  |
| Formic acid   | 3.75             |
| Barbituric acid   | 3.98             |
| Acetic acid   | 4.8              |
| Pyridine  | 5.23             |
| Bis TRIS [Bis-(2-hydroxy-ethyl)imino-<br>tris-(hydroxy-methyl)-methanel             | 6.46             |
| PIPES [1,4-piperazinebis-<br>(ethanesulphonic acid)]                                | 6.8              |
| Imidazole   | 7.0              |
| BES [N, N-Bis(2-hydroxy-ethyl)-2-<br>amino-ethane-sulphonic acid]                   | 7.15             |
| MOPS [2-( <i>N</i> -morpholino)propane-<br>sulphonic acid]                          | 7.2              |
| HEPES [ <i>N</i> -2-hydroxyethyl-piperazine-<br><i>N</i> '-2-ethane-sulphonic acid] | 7.55             |
| TRIS [hydroxymethyl)amino-methane]  | 8.1              |
| TAPS [W-tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl-2-<br>aminopropane sulphonic acid]                | 8.4              |
| Boric acid  | 9.39             |
| Ethanolamine  | 9.44             |
| CAPS [3-(cyclohexylamino)-l-propane-<br>sulphonic acid]                             | 10.4             |
| Methylamine   | 10.64            |
| Dimelhylamine   | 10.75            |
| Diethylamine  | 10.98            |

<sup>*a*</sup> There are polyprotic with several  $pK_a$  values.

components of which a selection is shown in Table 1.4. These may be necessary to maintain stability of the biomolecule, to control levels of metal ions, to ensure reducing/oxidizing conditions or to keep the biomolecule dissolved and/or denatured. We will observe that some of the agents tabulated in Table 1.4 are used in more than one of the techniques described in this book and therefore represent generally useful tools for the manipulation of chemical conditions to which biomolecules are exposed.

# 1.4 QUANTITATION, UNITS AND DATA HANDLING

### 1.4.1 Units Used in the Text

Physical measurements usually result in *quantification* of some property of a molecule or system such as those tabulated in Table 1.1. Various systems of internationally-agreed units have been used historically to record these measurements but, throughout this book, the *Systeme Internationale* (SI) system, the most currently-agreed scientific

**Table 1.4.** Additional reagents sometimes added to buffers

| Chemical   | Function                     |
|--|------------------------------|
| 2-Mercaptoethanol  | Reducing agent               |
| Dithiothreitol   | Reducing agent               |
| Sodium borohydride   | Reducing agent               |
| Divalent metals plus $O_2$   | Oxidising agents             |
| Performic acid   | Oxidising agent              |
| Leupeptin  | Protease inhibitor           |
| Phenylmethyl sulphonyl   | Serine protease              |
| fluoride (PMSF)  | inhibitor                    |
| Ethylene diamine NNN'N'  | Metal chelator/              |
| tetra-acetic acid (EDTA)   | metalloprotease<br>inhibitor |
| Ethylene glycol-bis( $\beta$ -<br>aminoethyl ether) <i>NNN'N'</i><br>tetraacetic acid (EGTA) | Calcium chelator             |
| Urea   | Denaturing agent             |
| Guanidinium hydrochloride  | Denaturing agent             |
| Sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)  | Anionic detergent            |
| Cetyltrimethyl ammonium<br>chloride  | Cationic detergent           |
| 3-(3- cholamidopropyl)dimethy<br>lammonio)-1-propane<br>sulphonate (CHAPS)                   | Zwitterionic detergent       |
| Triton X-100   | Nonionic detergent           |
| Digitonin  | Nonionic detergent           |
| Protamine K  | Binds DNA                    |

quantification system will be used. The main units are tabulated in Appendix 1. This system has the advantage of great *internal consistency* which removes any need for conversion factors. For example, units of distance (meters) readily relate to units of velocity (meters/second).

In addition to SI units, some measurements used are *operational measurements* commonly employed in the life science literature. These are units which have gained wide acceptance in the international science community but which are not strictly part of the SI system. Relative molecular mass  $(M_r)$  is expressed as Daltons (Da) or multiples thereof (e.g. kDa) with 12 Da being equivalent to twelve atomic mass units (i.e. the mass of <sup>12</sup>C). In the case of nucleic acids, base pairs (bp) or multiples thereof (e.g. kbp, Mbp) are used as units of mass. Interatomic distances such as bond-lengths are generally given as angstroms (Å) with 1 Å corresponding to  $10^{-10}$  m (i.e. 0.1 nm).

Concentrations are given mainly in molarity (1 M solution being Avogadro's Number of molecules dissolved in 1 l of solvent) although occasionally they are expressed as percentages of weight/weight (% w/w) or weight/volume (% w/v). Thus, 10% (w/v) would represent a solution of 10 g per 100 ml while 10% (v/v) would represent a solution of 10 ml per 100 ml. The most commonly used temperature scale in the text is the Celsius scale although absolute temperatures (in units of Kelvin, K) are specifically referred to by T (e.g. Equation (1.6) above).

### 1.4.2 Quantification of Protein and Biological Activity

Most of the techniques described in this text are used to separate or analyse biomolecules or mixtures containing them. In carrying out this kind of experimentation it is crucial to know exactly how much sample is being applied since most of the systems described are highly loading-sensitive. In the case of pure samples this may not be a problem but many samples encountered in biochemistry may be quite crude and heterogeneous. A common strategy for quantifying such samples is to estimate their protein content (e.g. by the Bradford method, Figure 3.18, or by one of the other methods mentioned in the bibliography at the end of this chapter) and to load a standard amount of protein. Since the ratio of protein to the other components of the mixture is fixed, this normally ensures uniform loading. Similarly, when quantifying the biological activity of a sample (e.g. enzyme activity, antibody content, antiviral activity) it is often useful to express this as specific activity that is units/mg protein. This is a measure which is independent both of sample volume and sample concentration.

The majority of the approaches described measure *relative* properties of biomolecules rather than *absolute* properties. Examples of this would include  $M_r$  estimation by mass spectrometry, gel filtration and electrophoresis, pI estimation by isoelectric focusing, secondary structure estimation of proteins by circular dichroism and determination of chemical shifts in NMR spectroscopy. For this reason, a common strategy found in many of the techniques is to *compare* the sample being analysed to a series of well-characterized standard molecules using well-established procedures which have been optimized for that particular method. It is important to understand that measurements obtained in this way are therefore highly dependent on standard measurements being of good quality and that this may vary somewhat from method to method.

### 1.5 THE WORLDWIDE WEB AS A RESOURCE IN PHYSICAL BIOCHEMISTRY

### 1.5.1 The Worldwide Web

The worldwide web was originally devised as a *distributed computer network* for the military capable of withstanding nuclear attack! In the last decade, it has grown to include

millions of individual entries called *web pages* containing information on almost every conceivable subject. These web pages exist on a computer somewhere in the world but can in principle be accessed by other computers through the web. At the time of writing, (March, 2008) it is estimated that 20% (1320 million) of the Earth's 6606 million population have access to the web (http://www.internetworldstats.com/stats). We can connect to web pages on the web with an appropriate browser such as Internet Explorer. However, due to the sheer mass of material being constantly added to and changed on the web, we normally use a *search engine* to find pages on specific named topics. Google is a good example of a general purpose search engine. It should be remembered that no search engine gives 100% coverage so results from a search could represent as few as 25% of the total possible pages on a given topic.

The 'address' of a particular web page is given by a *uni-form resource locator* (URL). Examples of URLs include http://www.google.com for google and http://alta-vista.com for altavista. The prefix http:// is to tell the receiving computer that it can expect a communication in *hypertext trans-fer protocol* – the most common format allowing one computer to communicate with another. The rest of the URL defines a location, that is a computer containing the relevant file. The ending .html which often occurs in URLs signifies *hypertext markup language*, the language in which web pages are written.

## **1.5.2** Web-Based Resources for Physical Biochemistry

The web provides several resources of use in Physical Biochemistry. Individual web pages are available which describe various experimental techniques thus complementing published work such as review articles and textbooks. There are also databases which are archives of one particular category of information. Examples would include sequence databases, databases of NMR spectra, the three dimensional structure database and databases of two-dimensional electrophoresis patterns. The best databases are curated (i.e. they are looked after and regularly updated by some reputable body) and they are annotated (which means each entry contains extra information such as literature citations, references to other related entries, etc.). These features make databases part of the daily life of modern molecular life scientists. Even though many resources on the web are not peer-reviewed in the way that say research articles are, most authoritative databases achieve the same result by maintaining a close link with the peer-reviewed literature. Conversely, it is becoming increasingly common for research articles to be submitted to journals in electronic format and for peer-reviewed articles to appear on the web long before the paper version. A third set of very useful resources

### INTRODUCTION 9

on the web is made possible by the availability, often as *freeware* (i.e. for free!) of computer programs which help us to analyse or represent our data differently. Examples would include graphics programs which allow us to view the three dimensional structures of biomacromolecules encoded in *protein databank* (PDB) files (Chapters 6 and 9) or hydropathy plots which allow us to identify hydrophobic regions of amino acid sequences (Chapter 9).

The ever-closer links between molecular life sciences and *information technology* (IT) is represented in the relatively new discipline of *bioinformatics* which is introduced in Chapter 9. In this book relevant URLs for web-based resources are given at the end of each chapter.

In addition to text and programs, the wordwide web can be searched for images or videos using the standard search engines. A word of caution about using this type of searching in an academic context. The fact that material is on the web does not absolve us as scientists from respecting copyright law so permission should always be obtained to reproduce images, text or videos obtained from the web just as we would in using such content from a published source. Secondly, we should always take care to refer back to the primary literature as this is the bedrock of modern science and is likely to remain so as long as rigorous peer-review prevails.

### 1.6 OBJECTIVES OF THIS VOLUME

All of the techniques mentioned in this book merit one or more volumes to describe fully their potential for the future of life science research. In the bibliography at the end of each chapter the reader will find a list of such specialist texts and it is hoped that the present book will act as a general introduction to specialist biophysical techniques. In addition, recent review articles are cited which will bring the reader more up-to-date on specific applications of individual techniques. It is not the intention of the text to supplant such specialist literature but rather to guide students towards a greater understanding of the potential of biophysical approaches to biochemistry.

A chapter is devoted to each technique or group of techniques which describes the physical basis, advantages, limitations and opportunities it offers. This is presented in a generally nonmathematical way to maximize its accessibility (more detailed treatments may be found in the specialist texts). Moreover, the relationship between techniques is strongly emphasized because several combinations of individual techniques often offer advantages over single experimental approaches. In particular, recent advances have seen the combination of techniques such as mass spectrometry, chromatography, electrophoresis and spectroscopy as *hyphenated* or *multi-dimensional* analytical techniques. Care

has also been taken to emphasize how biophysical approaches often complement biological and chemical experimentation to give a fuller understanding of biochemical systems.

Specific examples of applications of the approaches described are given in boxes throughout the text. These are meant to give a flavour of their versatility and power for the solving of many different types of problems in biochemistry. The bibliography contains many more examples such as, for example, applications in clinical laboratories and in industry. Articles and books (e.g. laboratory manuals) containing practical hints to novices contemplating using these techniques are also cited.

Finally, it is hoped that this book will furnish the student with sufficient understanding to allow them to understand and grasp as-yet undeveloped biophysical approaches which may appear in the next decade or so by noticing the common factors underlying the methods described as well as their diversity.

### REFERENCES

### Buffers and pH

- Voit, E.O. and Ferreira, A.E.N. (1998) Buffering in models of integrated biochemical systems. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 191, 429–37. A description of the effects of including buffers in modelling of biochemical systems.
- Good, N.E. and Izawa, S. (1972) Hydrogen ion buffers for photosynthesis research. *Methods in Enzymology*, XXIV, 53–68. A description of Good's buffers.
- Grady, J.K., Chasteen, N.D. and Harris, D.C. (1988) Radicals from Good's buffers. *Analytical Biochem.*, **173**, 111–5. Further reading on Good's buffers.

### Units and quantities

Kotyk, A. (ed.) (1999) Quantities, Symbols, Units and Abbreviations in the Life Sciences. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, USA. A guide to standard usage of units and quantities in the life sciences.

### Methods for protein estimation

- Bradford, M. (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical. Biochem.*, **72**, 248–54. Original description of the Bradford method.
- Hartree, E.F. (1972) Determination of protein: A modification of the Lowry method that gives a alinear photometric response. *Analytical Biochem.*, **48**, 422–7. A later modification of the Lowry method.
- Lowry, O., Rosebrough, A., Farr, A. and Randall, R. (1951) Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem., 193, 265–75. Original description of the Lowry method.
- Williams, G.A., Macevilly, U., Ryan, R. and Harrington, M.G. (1995) Semiautomated protein assay using microtitre plates – some practical considerations. *British Journal of Biomedical Science*, 52, 230–1. Description of problems encountered in miniaturising protein assays with microtitre plates.

### **Bioinformatics**

- Roberts, E., Eargle, J., Wright, D. and Luthey-Schulten, Z. (2006) MultiSeq: Unifying sequence and structure data for evolutionary analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 7, Art. No 382. This on-line journal article introduces MultiSeq, a tool for combining sequence and structure data for proteins including an excellent general discussion of current bioinformatic issues.
- Lesk, A. (2005) Introduction to Bioinformatics, 2nd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. An excellent, comprehensive and clear description of modern bioinformatics.

### Some useful web sites

- The SI system at National Institute of Standards and Technology (USA): http://www.physics.nist.gov/cuu/Units/.
- An illustrated site in "chemguide" on ionization and acid-base chemistry by Jim Clark: http://www.chemguide.co.uk/physical/ acideqiamenu.html.
- Aquasol solubility database: http://www.pharm.arizona.edu/aquasol/ index.html.
- Useful bioinformatics sites: National Library of Medicine (USA): http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/.

European Bioinformatics Institute (UK): www.ebi.ac.uk.

Uniprot site (US/Europe): http://www.ebi.uniprot.org/index.shtml.