1 Large-Scale Mutant Analysis of Seed Development in *Arabidopsis*

David W. Meinke

Introduction

With advances in DNA sequencing and reports of sequenced genomes appearing at an accelerating rate, one can easily forget an important principle that first guided research in molecular plant biology 25 years ago – that genomics and proteomics are most powerful when focused on model genetic organisms. It is therefore fitting that a book devoted to seed genomics should include several chapters on the use of genetic analysis to address fundamental questions in seed biology. My objective in this chapter is not to detail all of the seed mutants analyzed to date or to describe all of the biological questions that have been addressed with these mutants. Instead, I have chosen to focus on my own professional journey, spanning the past 35 years, to isolate and characterize large numbers of embryo-defective (emb) mutants in the model plant, Arabidopsis thaliana. This choice is justified by a quick look at the numbers involved. More embryo mutants have been isolated and characterized in Arabidopsis, and their genes identified, than in all other angiosperms combined. Any discussion of the strategies, procedures, and conclusions drawn from the analysis of large numbers of mutants defective in seed development must therefore focus on what has been accomplished in Arabidopsis. This work has been performed over several decades by dozens of individuals in my laboratory, along with scores of investigators throughout the Arabidopsis community. The results summarized in this chapter are a testament to their combined efforts and insights. Readers unfamiliar with basic features of seed development are referred to Chapters 2 and 3 of this book.

Historical Perspective

Mutants defective in seed development have long played an important role in genetic analysis (Meinke, 1986, 1995) – from Mendel's wrinkled seed phenotype in pea, which results from transposon inactivation of a starch-branching enzyme (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 1990), to studies by early plant geneticists on germless (embryo-specific) and defective kernel (*dek*) mutants of maize (Demerec, 1923; Mangelsdorf, 1923; Emerson, 1932) and the nature of embryo-endosperm interactions during seed development (Brink and Cooper, 1947). Large-scale mutant analysis of seed development in maize began in the late 1970s with the isolation and characterization of several hundred *dek* mutants generated following ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) pollen mutagenesis (Neuffer and

Seed Genomics, First Edition. Edited by Philip W. Becraft.

^{© 2013} John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Published 2013 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

SEED GENOMICS

Sheridan, 1980; Sheridan and Neuffer, 1980). Another 64 *dek* mutants, along with 51 embryospecific mutants, were later described in genetic stocks known to contain the transposable element, Robertson's Mutator (Clark and Sheridan, 1991; Sheridan and Clark, 1993; Scanlon *et al.*, 1994). Although many additional mutants of this type have likely been encountered in screens of other transposon insertion lines, a global analysis of all disrupted genes associated with kernel phenotypes in maize has not been published. Attention has focused instead on a detailed characterization of selected mutants of particular interest (José-Estanyol *et al.*, 2009), including the *dek1* mutant defective in aleurone cell identity (Becraft *et al.*, 2002, Tian *et al.*, 2007; Yi *et al.*, 2011) and a number of viviparous mutants that exhibit premature germination (Suzuki *et al.*, 2003, 2006, 2008). By contrast, seed mutants in other grasses such as rice (Hong *et al.*, 1996; Kamiya *et al.*, 2003; Kurata *et al.*, 2005) have been examined in much less detail, with most genetic studies focused on other phenotypes of interest.

The isolation and characterization of embryo-lethal mutants of *Arabidopsis* was first described by Andreas Müller in Gatersleben, Germany. Müller (1963) characterized 60 mutants with different embryo phenotypes, including defects in embryo pigmentation, demonstrated that mutant and wild-type seeds could be distinguished in heterozygous siliques, and established the "Müller embryo test" to assess the mutagenic effects of ionizing radiation and chemical treatments in *Arabidopsis*. Although his attention was later directed to other systems, Müller remained particularly interested in fusca mutants, which accumulate anthocyanin during embryo maturation (Miséra *et al.*, 1994). Original stocks of the other mutants identified by Müller (1963) were not maintained.

I started to work on *Arabidopsis* as a graduate student in the laboratory of Ian Sussex at Yale University. My Ph.D. dissertation described the isolation and characterization of six embryo-lethal mutants of *Arabidopsis* and the value of such mutants in the study of plant embryo development (Meinke and Sussex, 1979a, 1979b). This work began at a time when *Arabidopsis* was known more for research in biochemical genetics than in developmental or molecular genetics. After completing a postdoctoral project on soybean seed storage proteins with Roger Beachy at Washington University in St. Louis (Meinke *et al.*, 1981), I moved to Oklahoma State University, where I focused my attention again on embryo mutants of *Arabidopsis*. My initial strategy was to analyze additional mutants isolated following EMS seed mutagenesis (Meinke, 1985; Baus *et al.*, 1986; Heath *et al.*, 1986; Franzmann *et al.*, 1989). Because some mutant seeds were capable of germinating and producing defective seedlings in culture, I adopted the term "embryo defective" (*emb*) rather than "embryo lethal" to describe the expanding collection. This nomenclature has been used ever since, although some *EMB* locus numbers were later replaced with more informative symbols (*sus, twn, lec, bio, ttn*) to indicate phenotypes of special interest.

A different approach to genetic analysis of plant embryo development was first described 20 years ago in a publication from Gerd Jürgens' laboratory in Germany (Mayer *et al.*, 1991). Rather than attempt to analyze every mutant defective in embryo development, the Jürgens group focused attention on a small number of mutants with defective seedlings that appeared to result from alterations in embryo pattern formation. As described elsewhere in this book, several of these mutants uncovered important cellular pathways associated with plant embryo development, although in many cases, the gene products were unexpected and did not appear to support the original hypothesis, based on work with *Drosophila*, that embryo patterning mutants should identify transcription factors that regulate developmental decisions. Whereas my approach was to "cast a wide net" and explore interesting stories based on the analysis of many different types of mutants, the Jürgens group focused on a limited set of phenotypes defined by a handful of genes with multiple alleles and identified gene networks associated with those phenotypes. In retrospect, both of these approaches were required to develop a comprehensive picture of the genetic control of plant embryo development.

7

Table 1.1	Experimental Features	That Make A	rabidopsis thaliar	an Attractive	System i	for Large-S	Scale Mutant	Analysis of
Seed Devel	opment							

Arabidopsis Feature	Relevance of Feature to Genetic Analysis of Seed Development
Self-pollinated flowers	Crosses not required to maintain <i>emb</i> mutants and most genetic stocks
Indeterminate inflorescences	Mature plants contain large numbers of siliques at different stages of development, arranged in a predictable progression along each stem; facilitates identification of embryos at desired stage of development
Transparent seed coat	Wild-type seeds at the cotyledon stage are green and can be readily distinguished from unfertilized ovules and aborted seeds
Spontaneous seed abortion rare	Facilitates identification of mutant seeds in heterozygous siliques
Small seed size at maturity	Embryos within immature seeds are readily observed with Nomarski (DIC) light microscopy; optical sectioning through immature seeds possible
Siliques contain 50-60 seeds	Segregation of normal and mutant seeds readily observed in 1 silique
Short pollen-tube growth path	Facilitates recovery of mutants defective in both embryo and gametophyte development

Arabidopsis Embryo Mutant System

The advantages of Arabidopsis as a model system for research in plant biology are well known (Redéi, 1975; Meyerowitz and Somerville, 1994; Meinke et al., 1998; Koornneef and Meinke, 2010). Important features that make Arabidopsis suitable for large-scale mutant analysis of seed development have also been described (Meinke, 1994). Several of these features are highlighted in Table 1.1. Recessive embryo-defective mutants are maintained as heterozygotes, which typically produce 25% mutant seeds after self-pollination. Because each silique contains 50-60 total seeds and multiple siliques are arranged in a developmental progression along the length of each stem, mutant seeds at many different stages of development can be found on a single plant at maturity. Mutant and normal seeds can be readily distinguished, based on size, color, and embryo morphology, by screening immature siliques under a dissecting microscope. Mutant embryos that have reached an advanced globular stage can be removed with fine-tipped forceps and examined further; embryos arrested at earlier stages of development are best observed under a compound microscope equipped with Nomarski (differential interference contrast [DIC]) optics. After seed mutagenesis, siliques of chimeric M_1 plants can be screened to identify flowers that arose from the mutant sector (Meinke and Sussex, 1979a, 1979b). Mature siliques derived from this sector are harvested to collect dry seeds. After germination, heterozygous and wild-type plants often segregate in a 2:1 ratio. If insertion lines are involved and the disrupted EMB gene is associated with a selectable marker, the appropriate selection agent can be used to identify heterozygous plants at the seedling stage, provided that there are no additional inserts located elsewhere in the genome. With EMS mutants, heterozygous plants cannot be distinguished from wild-type plants until selfed siliques have matured and are screened for defective seeds. When plants segregating for an emb mutation are crossed for allelism tests, parental heterozygotes must be identified before the cross can be performed, which limits the time available for crosses to be completed. Allelic mutants that fail to complement result in siliques with 25% mutant seeds; mutants disrupted in different genes typically produce siliques with all normal seeds.

Large-Scale Forward Genetic Screens for Seed Mutants

In contrast to screens for most visible phenotypes in *Arabidopsis*, which involve the identification of homozygotes in a second (M_2) generation following seed mutagenesis, forward genetic screens for embryo-defective mutations can be performed directly on M_1 plants. This approach was used

SEED GENOMICS

to isolate most of the original *emb* mutants analyzed in my laboratory (Meinke, 1985). When Ken Feldmann developed a method for Agrobacterium-mediated seed transformation in Arabidopsis and began to grow large populations of T-DNA insertion lines at DuPont in the late 1980s (Feldmann, 1991), two different groups were involved in screening the populations for embryo-defective mutations. One group, comprising investigators associated with Robert Goldberg's laboratory at UCLA (Yadegari *et al.*, 1994), screened half of the plants; members of my laboratory screened the other half (Errampalli et al., 1991; Castle et al., 1993). The same strategy was used with a second population of plants that Feldmann made available several years later at the University of Arizona. My approach to the analysis of these populations was first to determine which mutants were tagged with T-DNA and which were not tagged. About two thirds of the lines that segregated for an embryo-defective mutation were not amenable to rapid gene identification because they fell into the second category. The method used to resolve tagging status involved transplanting kanamycin-resistant seedlings derived from selfed heterozygotes to soil and determining whether all of those plants produced siliques with 25% mutant seeds, as expected if a single T-DNA insert was present and disrupted an *EMB* gene. When additional inserts were involved, we identified subfamilies in future generations that contained a single insert and then proceeded with the analysis described above. For mutants examined in my laboratory, the original *emb1* to *emb69* alleles were identified after EMS (or in some cases x-ray) seed mutagenesis, emb71 to emb180 mutants involved the DuPont collection, and the emb200 series was reserved for the Arizona collection. Most of these EMB loci are listed in Meinke (1994) and in the "Archival Data on Meinke Lab Mutants" link at the SeedGenes website devoted to genes with essential functions during seed development in Arabidopsis (www.seedgenes.org). In some cases, the gene responsible for the mutant phenotype has since been identified. In many cases, however, the association between mutant phenotype and gene function remains to be determined.

A major breakthrough in forward genetic analysis of seed development occurred in the late 1990s, when David Patton and Eric Ward at Ciba-Geigy, which later became Syngenta (Research Triangle Park, NC), embarked on a large-scale, forward genetic screen for essential genes of *Arabidopsis*. The rationale was that some essential gene products identified through such efforts might represent promising targets for novel herbicides. Over the next 15 years, in close collaboration with my laboratory, >120,000 T-DNA insertion lines were screened for seed phenotypes, including embryo and seed pigment defects, >1600 promising mutants were isolated and characterized, ~440 tagged mutants were identified, and ~200 gene identities were revealed (McElver *et al.*, 2001). Of equal importance, Syngenta ultimately agreed to make most of these gene identities public, after they had been evaluated in house (Tzafrir *et al.*, 2004). This provided the foundation for a large-scale NSF 2010 project in my laboratory that established, in collaboration with Allan Dickerman at the Virginia Bioinformatics Institute, a comprehensive database of all known essential genes required for seed development in *Arabidopsis* (Tzafrir *et al.*, 2003). Results of the "SeedGenes" project are described later in this chapter.

Approaches to Mutant Analysis

The belief that lethal mutants are not useful or informative because they cannot be analyzed in detail is misguided. Sometimes the terminal phenotype alone is sufficient to offer valuable insights. Abnormal suspensor (*sus*) and twin (*twn*) mutants provided early support for the idea that the embryo proper normally restricts the developmental potential of the suspensor (Marsden and Meinke, 1985; Schwartz *et al.*, 1994; Vernon and Meinke, 1994). The leafy cotyledon (*lec*) mutant phenotype (Meinke, 1992; Meinke *et al.*, 1994) indicated that the default state for cotyledons is a leaflike

9

structure, consistent with the evolution of cotyledons as modified leaves. The initial steps in mutant analysis often involve determination of segregation ratios of mutant seeds in heterozygous siliques and the use of dissecting microscopes and light microscopy to characterize the terminal embryo phenotype. A reduced frequency or unusual distribution of mutant seeds in heterozygous siliques frequently indicates an additional role of the disrupted gene in male gametophyte development (Meinke, 1982; Muralla *et al.*, 2011). A mixture of aborted seeds and unfertilized ovules often indicates a role in female gametophyte development (Berg *et al.*, 2005). Examination of mutant seeds with Nomarski optics or sectioned material with light or electron microscopy can reveal unexpected features, such as incomplete cell walls in the *cyt1* mutant (Nickle and Meinke, 1998; Liu *et al.*, 2002; Tzafrir *et al.*, 2002). Light microscopy, in combination with gel electrophoresis of seed proteins, can also be used to determine whether mutant embryos unable to complete morphogenesis continue to differentiate at the cellular level (Heath *et al.*, 1986; Patton and Meinke, 1990; Yadegari *et al.*, 1994).

The original idea behind examining the response of mutant embryos in culture was to determine whether mutant seedlings or callus tissue could be produced for further analysis and to search for auxotrophic mutants that survived on enriched media containing the required nutrient (Baus *et al.*, 1986). This approach resulted in the successful identification of the first auxotrophic mutant (*bio1*) known to be associated with embryo lethality in *Arabidopsis*, and it helped to explain the scarcity of plant auxotrophs identified at the seedling stage (Schneider *et al.*, 1989). Another mutant (*bio2*) was later found to be blocked at a different step in the same pathway (Patton *et al.*, 1998). The chromosomal deletion associated with this mutant allele also contributed, by chance, to the identification of a closely linked gene (*FPA*) involved in floral induction (Schomburg *et al.*, 2001). Reverse genetic analysis later revealed that *BIO1* is part of a complex (*BIO3–BIO1*) locus that encodes a fusion protein responsible for two sequential steps in biotin synthesis (Muralla *et al.*, 2008). Embryo culture experiments also enabled further characterization of mutants with late defects in embryo development (Vernon and Meinke, 1995) and resulted in the identification of two mutants with especially striking phenotypes: *lec1* (Meinke, 1992) and *twn1* (Vernon and Meinke, 1994).

Another approach to mutant analysis that can occur independently of gene isolation involves mapping the chromosomal locations of *EMB* genes relative to morphological or molecular markers. We used this approach to enhance the classical genetic map of *Arabidopsis* and to facilitate the identification of potential mutant alleles suitable for genetic complementation tests (Patton *et al.*, 1991; Franzmann *et al.*, 1995). More recently, we performed allelism tests between mapped (but not cloned) mutants and cloned (but not mapped) mutants to identify new alleles of cloned *EMB* genes and reveal the identities of mapped *EMB* genes (Meinke *et al.*, 2009b). Classical genetic mapping with *emb* mutants is enhanced by the fact that heterozygous plants can be identified by screening selfed siliques for the presence of defective seeds. After completion of the genome sequence, the classical genetic map was found to have many regions inconsistent with the known order of genes along the chromosome. This finding led to the establishment of a sequence-based map of genes with mutant phenotypes to document the confirmed locations of genes previously found on the classical genetic map (Meinke *et al.*, 2003). An expanded version of this dataset, which includes 2400 *Arabidopsis* genes with a loss-of-function mutant phenotype, was recently published (Lloyd and Meinke, 2012).

Because of their small size, *Arabidopsis* seeds were once viewed as inaccessible to biochemical or molecular analysis. With continued technological advances and the development of alternative methods for detecting trace substances of interest, some of these barriers have been removed. One example from my laboratory involved the use of sensitive microbiological assays to demonstrate that

SEED GENOMICS

arrested embryos from the *bio1* mutant of *Arabidopsis* contain reduced levels of biotin (Shellhammer and Meinke, 1990). A more recent example is the demonstration that arrested embryos from the *sus1* mutant contain altered profiles of miRNAs, consistent with the known function of the *SUS1/DCL1* gene (Schauer *et al.*, 2002) in promoting the formation of miRNAs (Nodine and Bartel, 2010). Although seed size continues to be an issue for some *Arabidopsis* experiments, all plant embryos begin as single cells, which means that analyzing trace materials during early embryo development will continue to present unique challenges, regardless of the final size of the embryo at maturity.

Ultimately, the most powerful approach to the large-scale analysis of mutants defective in seed development involves identifying the disrupted genes. Although some *EMB* genes have been identified through map-based cloning, most were identified by amplifying genomic sequences flanking insertion sites in T-DNA tagged mutants. Overall, 80% of the *emb* mutants found in the SeedGenes database were generated with T-DNA insertional mutagenesis compared with 9% with transposable elements and 9% with EMS. Advances in polymerase chain reaction (PCR)–based strategies for insertion site recovery played a critical role in identifying large numbers of genes required for seed development in *Arabidopsis*. For *EMB* genes analyzed in my laboratory, *EMB* numbers 1000 through 2750 denote genes uncovered through forward genetic screens of Syngenta insertion lines; *EMB* numbers 2761 through 2820 indicate genes uncovered through reverse genetic screens, often involving Salk insertion lines; *EMB* numbers 3101 to 3147 correspond to lines first tested in the laboratory of Kazuo Shinozaki at the Riken Plant Science Center in Japan (Bryant *et al.*, 2011).

Strategies for Approaching Saturation

Forward genetics eventually becomes an inefficient strategy for identifying EMB genes because many of the new mutants examined represent alleles of known EMB genes. This trend has already been observed in Arabidopsis, with duplicate mutant alleles frequently encountered in mapped populations (Franzmann et al., 1995; Meinke et al., 2009b) and sequenced insertion lines (McElver et al., 2001; Tzafrir et al., 2004). A substantial number of mutants analyzed in detail in other laboratories have also turned out to be allelic to mutants first identified in my laboratory. About 8 years ago, we began to explore reverse genetic strategies for approaching saturation by focusing on EMB gene candidates not found through forward genetics. Promising candidates included Arabidopsis orthologs of known essential genes in other model organisms (Tzafrir et al., 2004); genes encoding proteins that function in a shared biosynthetic pathway (Muralla et al., 2007, 2008), cellular process (Berg et al., 2005), or intracellular compartment (Bryant et al., 2011) as a known EMB protein; and genes encoding a protein interactor of a known EMB gene product. We also analyzed hundreds of insertion lines that appeared from other studies (O'Malley and Ecker, 2010) to lack insertion homozygotes (Meinke et al., 2008), which we reasoned might indicate embryo or gametophyte lethality. Although dealing with insertion lines on a large scale can be problematic, dozens of additional EMB genes were identified through a combination of these approaches. Reverse genetics was also used to find second alleles of genes first identified through forward genetics. When accompanied by genetic complementation tests, these additional alleles confirmed that the gene responsible for the mutant phenotype had been identified. The most difficult problem with Salk insertion lines (Alonso et al., 2003) was reduced expression of the kanamycin-resistance marker, which meant that efficient methods developed for demonstrating close linkage between the disrupted gene and mutant phenotype based on selection, transplantation, and screening protocols (McElver et al., 2001) were replaced by PCR genotyping, which is more expensive and subject to errors. In a substantial number of cases,

11

the predicted insert could not be found or did not cosegregate with the phenotype. Similar problems with large-scale screens of Salk insertion lines have been described elsewhere (Ajjawi *et al.*, 2010). Populations of insertion lines with a more consistent selectable marker, including the GABI (Rosso *et al.*, 2003) and Riken (Kuromori *et al.*, 2004) collections, were more efficiently analyzed, but unexplained results were still encountered, and decisions had to be made about whether to resolve the ambiguities or move ahead with additional candidates. Some *EMB* candidates confirmed with reverse genetics also turned out to be the subject of ongoing studies in other laboratories, which meant that unwanted duplication of effort was involved. Because of these added complications, we eventually abandoned reverse genetic analysis on a large scale and began to focus instead on further analysis of the existing collection of *EMB* genes.

SeedGenes Database of Essential Genes in Arabidopsis

One goal of my NSF 2010 project was to establish a public database that summarized information on genes required for seed development in Arabidopsis. The resulting database (www.seedgenes.org) was first released in 2002 and has since been updated multiple times. Allan Dickerman at the Virginia Bioinformatics Institute assisted with construction of the database and oversees its maintenance. The most recent (eighth) database release (December 2010) includes information on 481 genes and 888 mutants. Over 60% of the mutants have been analyzed in my laboratory. Information about the remaining mutants was extracted from the literature. Three classes of mutants are included in the database: embryo defectives, mutants with a pigment-defective embryo (albino, pale green, fusca) of normal morphology, and mutants that produce 50% rather than 25% defective seeds after self-pollination. On entering the database, users encounter the "Access Page," which provides links to lists of genes and mutants found in the database, supplemental and archival datasets, additional information on mutant collections, a tutorial on analyzing embryo-defective mutants, and details on project objectives and participants. The linked "Query Page" is divided into two different parts: gene information and mutant information. Users can browse a list of all genes or mutants, determine which genes of interest are included in the database, and generate lists of genes or mutants that match desired criteria. Database terms are linked to a glossary that provides further details. Each gene is associated with a "Profile" page, which summarizes relevant gene information on the left side of the page and mutant information on the right side. Figure 1.1 shows an example of a Profile page. From this page, users can link to further details on insertion sites for Syngenta mutants, phenotype details and Nomarski images for mutants analyzed in my laboratory, relevant sequence information, and top BLAST hits. Dividing the database into distinct but connected sections for gene and mutant information was critical for data management and represents a key design feature that could be used to develop similar databases for other model plants.

Deciding how to present phenotype information in the database was a major challenge, in part because the project evolved over a period of years and involved multiple student assistants with varying degrees of expertise. For Syngenta lines and mutants that my laboratory analyzed in some detail, we established a standardized set of terminal phenotypes (Figure 1.2) based on embryo morphology as visualized under a dissecting microscope. We also captured Nomarski images of mutant embryos inside the developing seed (Figure 1.3). Details of these methods are given at the tutorial section of the SeedGenes website. Although this approach provided insights into the stage of developmental arrest and the diversity of embryo phenotypes observed, subtle differences in cell division patterns and defects that first distinguished mutant from wild-type embryos were generally not recorded. The SeedGenes database should therefore be viewed as a broad community resource

SeedGenes Project Essential Genes in Arabidopsis Development OSU syngenta								
Home A	ccess Page Database Query			Jul 16, 2012 8:26 AM				
SeedGenes P	rofile: EMB 2247							
Gene Information		Mutant Information						
Gene Symbol	EMB 2247	Allele Symbol	emb 2247-1	emb 2247-2				
Chromosome Locus	At5g16715 air	Mutant Class	Embryo Defective	Embryo Defective				
Alleles in Database	2 See List OR Show All	Source of Mutant	Meinke / Syngenta	Meinke / Syngenta				
Identity Confidence	Confirmed	Mutant Line Number	73553	67816				
Alias Symbol		Ecotype	Columbia	Columbia				
Gene Class	Embryo Defective	Genetic Background	qrt	qrt				
Predicted Function	Valine tRNA Synthetase	ABRC Stock	C516212	CS16213				
Function Details	Translation in chloroplast	Mutagen Treatment	T-DNA	T-DNA				
	Double Border Recovery	Insertion Mutant	Yes Details	Yes Details				
Gene Evidence	Meinke / Syngenta (2 alleles)	Flanking Sequence	Details (Help)	Details				
Database Release	September, 2003	Location of Mutation	Intron 18 to Exon 19	Exon 4 to Intron 4				
	Gene: <u>8168 nucleotides</u>	Terminal Phenotype	Globular Details	Globular Details				
Predicted Sequences	mRNA: <u>3204 nucleotides</u>	Nomarski Phenotype	Images	Images				
cDNA Status	FLcDNA Available: <u>BX830792</u>	Allelism Evidence	Reference Allele	Complementation Test, Sequence Identity				
	Anabidopsis: AT1G14610.1 (39%)	Database Release	September, 2003	September, 2003				
Top BLAST Hits	Plant ESTs: Rice (Oryza sativa) (77%)	Seed Color	White	White				
	Model Genomes: Synachocystis (43%)	Embryo Color	White	White				
Subcellular Localization	TargetP Targeted to Chloroplasts	Percent Mutant Seeds	25.3 %	27.7%				
Subcentral Localization	SUBA	Chi - Square	<0.1	4.1				
mRNA Expression Data	NASCArray Spot History for 246509_at	Seeds Counted	945	1084				
		Percent Top Half	48.5 %	51.7%				
		Chi - Square	0.2	0.3				
		Average Seed Length	520 ± 70 μm	520 ± 60 µm				
		Average Embryo Length	80 ± 30 μm	70 ± 30 μm				

Figure 1.1 Screen capture of the Profile page for a representative gene (*EMB2247*) included in the SeedGenes database of essential genes in *Arabidopsis* (www.seedgenes.org). In this case, both mutant alleles were identified through a forward genetic screen of T-DNA insertion lines generated at Syngenta. Underlined and colored terms shown here link to other pages, primarily within the SeedGenes database.



Figure 1.2 Classification system for terminal phenotypes of mutant embryos removed from seeds before desiccation. For most of the Syngenta mutants analyzed in the early stages of our NSF 2010 project, we dissected 100 mutant seeds from siliques that contained normal seeds at a mature green stage of development. We then attempted to place each mutant embryo into one of the phenotype classes shown here. For stage "X," no mutant embryo was found on dissection; this usually meant that the mutant embryo was arrested before a late globular stage. Results of these phenotype screens are found by clicking on the "Details" link in the "Terminal Phenotype" section of the SeedGenes Profile page.



Figure 1.3 Representative collection of embryo-defective phenotypes found in the SeedGenes database. Regions of wild-type embryos include the embryo proper (EP), suspensor (S), cotyledons (C), hypocotyl (H), shoot apical meristem (SAM), and root apical meristem (RAM). Examples of aberrant development include irregular patterns of cell division, altered embryo morphology, giant suspensors, and twin embryos. The second (TWN) embryo in *twn2* arises from the suspensor (S) of the first embryo (EP). Seeds were removed from immature siliques and visualized with Nomarski (DIC) light microscopy. Arrested (mutant) embryos were obtained from heterozygous siliques at the linear (L) or curled cotyledon (C) stage of seed development. The four images on the left side are more highly magnified than the images on the right. Scale bars, 50 µm. (Modified from Meinke *et al.* [2008].)

and a starting point for additional studies rather than a definitive source of detailed phenotype information on individual mutants of interest.

Embryo Mutants with Gametophyte Defects

The more we began to characterize mutants defective in embryo development, the more important it became to distinguish between embryo and gametophyte mutants. Some gametophyte mutants of *Arabidopsis* are leaky, resulting in embryo lethality whenever fertilization takes place. In addition, some embryo mutants exhibit reduced transmission of the mutant allele and noticeable defects in gametophyte development. This raises a fundamental question: How can mutant (*emb*) gametophytes survive if an essential function required throughout the life cycle is disrupted? In other words, why do some essential gene disruptions in *Arabidopsis* result in gametophyte lethality, whereas others lead to embryo lethality?

To address this question, we first had to establish a comprehensive dataset of gametophyte essential genes in *Arabidopsis* that could be compared with the embryo dataset presented at SeedGenes. My laboratory recently created such a dataset, further edited the SeedGenes collection of *EMB* genes, and established several different categories of embryo and gametophyte mutants to facilitate comparative studies (Muralla *et al.*, 2011). The edited *EMB* dataset, which excluded six problematic SeedGenes loci, provides detailed information, including terminal phenotype classes, for 396 *EMB* genes in *Arabidopsis*. This dataset includes 352 "true *EMB*" genes required for seed development but without

13

SEED GENOMICS

a known gametophyte defect and 44 genes assigned to the EMG (Embryo-Gametophyte) subclass of embryo and gametophyte loci, which produce at least 10% defective seeds following self-pollination of heterozygotes and have a reduced frequency of mutant seeds overall, too few mutant seeds at the base of the silique, or an excessive number of aborted ovules, all of which indicate a secondary role in male or female gametophyte function. Genes assigned to the GEM (Gametophyte-Embryo) subclass of gametophyte mutants have a more significant defect in gametophyte function, with heterozygotes known or predicted to produce 2%–10% mutant seeds. The GAM (Gametophyte) subclass of mutants is characterized by even more severe defects in gametophyte function, with <2% mutant seeds expected from selfed heterozygotes. Other gametophyte mutants have more variable or less well-defined defects or give rise to viable homozygotes.

To examine the functional differences among these mutant classes, we compared 70 GAM genes with reduced transmission efficiency, 352 true *EMB* loci, and 72 EMG and GEM genes with defects in both embryo and gametophyte development (Muralla *et al.*, 2011). The difference between embryo and gametophyte mutants could not be explained based on protein function alone, although distinctive features of each dataset were identified. Two alternative explanations for how mutants defective in embryo development might survive gametophyte development were also discounted because neither genetic redundancy nor residual protein function in weak mutant alleles appeared to explain the different phenotypes observed. Instead, we proposed that residual gene products derived from transcription in heterozygous microsporocytes and megasporocytes often enable mutant gametophytes to survive the loss of an essential gene product and participate in fertilization, after which time the gene disruption eventually limits embryo growth and development (Muralla *et al.*, 2011).

General Features of EMB Genes in Arabidopsis

The first question about *EMB* genes that needs to be addressed concerns how many such loci are present in the genome. Our best estimate, based on the frequency of seed mutants and duplicate mutant alleles uncovered in mutagenesis experiments, is 750–1000 genes required for seed development in *Arabidopsis* (Meinke *et al.*, 2009b), which corresponds to about 3% of all protein-coding sequences. The current collection of 400 *EMB* genes likely represents at least 40% saturation, sufficient to begin evaluating salient features. Most *EMB* genes are not embryo-specific in their pattern of expression. Embryo development is simply the stage of development when the loss of gene product first becomes critical. Consistent with this idea, weak alleles of many *EMB* genes exhibit phenotypes later in plant development (Muralla *et al.*, 2011). *EMB* genes are widely distributed throughout the five chromosomes and are more likely than the genome as a whole to be present in a single copy. When functionally redundant genes encode a protein required for embryo development, the mutant phenotype is observed only in double or multiple mutants. Examples of such double mutant phenotypes have increased in recent years, reflecting greater emphasis on the use of reverse genetics to study essential cellular functions.

A second question about *EMB* genes concerns the stage of development reached by mutant embryos before seed desiccation. We recently summarized this information for 352 "true" *EMB* genes without evidence of gametophyte defects (Muralla *et al.*, 2011). This analysis updated information published before, using smaller datasets (Tzafrir *et al.*, 2004; Devic, 2008). Based on phenotype data for the strongest allele, 16% of gene disruptions cause embryo development to become arrested at a preglobular stage; 10%, at a preglobular to globular stage; 29%, at the globular stage; and 9% at the transition (heart) stage. Several examples are shown in Figure 1.3. Mutant embryos in 31%

of cases analyzed reach a more advanced, cotyledon stage of development, whereas the remaining 5% have terminal phenotypes that remain to be documented. Several factors may contribute to a delayed onset of developmental arrest in specific mutant embryos, including partially redundant genes, metabolic pathways, or cellular processes; residual protein function in weak mutant alleles; and diffusion of critical metabolites from surrounding maternal tissues. Terminal embryo phenotypes for some mutants are consistently limited to a single stage of development, whereas for other mutants, embryo phenotypes are highly variable and extend through multiple stages of development. In addition, some mutant embryos become arrested shortly after morphological defects are first detected, whereas others continue to develop and produce viable seedlings despite visible defects early in development. Several broad conclusions about mutant phenotypes can nevertheless be made: (1) *EMB* genes clearly differ in how far embryo development can proceed following their disruption; (2) a sizable number of mutants exhibit defects well before the globular stage of embryo development; (3) most of the mutant embryos arrested before the heart stage of development are white or very pale green, consistent with a disruption of chloroplast function, whereas many mutant embryos with defects at the cotyledon stage are green; (4) embryo and endosperm development are affected to a similar extent in some mutants but to different extents in other mutants; and (5) unusual phenotypes do not always result from disruption of "interesting" regulatory genes, and common phenotypes do not exclude the possibility that an important regulatory function has been disrupted.

The role of chloroplast translation in embryo development provides an informative example of how disrupting similar gene products in different plant species can result in different phenotypes. Many EMB genes of Arabidopsis encode proteins localized to plastids (Hsu et al., 2010; Bryant et al., 2011). Some of these are required for translation of mRNAs encoded by the chloroplast genome. Interfering with chloroplast translation results in embryo lethality in Arabidopsis, but in Brassica and maize, albino seedlings are produced instead (Zubko and Day, 1998; Asakura and Barkan, 2006). The difference appears to be related to the ability of some plant species to compensate for a disruption of fatty acid biosynthesis in chloroplasts by targeting a modified or duplicated version of the homomeric acetyl-CoA carboxylase to plastids. This homomeric enzyme can substitute for the loss of heteromeric acetyl-CoA carboxylase activity, which depends on production of one subunit (accD) encoded by the chloroplast genome (Bryant et al., 2011). Overall, >20% of chloroplastlocalized EMB proteins function in the biosynthesis of amino acids, vitamins, nucleotides, or fatty acids, consistent with the chloroplast localization of these pathways. Major disruptions of chloroplast function, such as interfering with protein import from the cytosol, can also result in embryo lethality, although less severe perturbations often result in reduced embryo pigmentation. By contrast, disruption of essential mitochondrial functions tends to result in gametophyte lethality (Lloyd and Meinke, 2012).

Value of Large Datasets of Essential Genes

Although some authors might argue that establishing large datasets of essential genes in plants is pointless given that all genes must perform an important function because otherwise they would not be maintained by natural selection (Pichersky, 2009), knockouts of essential genes have played a central role in the development of *Arabidopsis* as a model system and in the analysis of a wide range of important biological questions (Meinke *et al.*, 2008, 2009a). Such datasets also contribute a critical plant representative to ongoing comparative and evolutionary studies involving essential genes in microorganisms and multicellular eukaryotes (Liao and Zhang, 2008; Park *et al.*, 2008; Zhang and Lin, 2009; Chen *et al.*, 2010). Because *EMB* genes represent the most common phenotypic

15

SEED GENOMICS

marker in *Arabidopsis* and more *emb* mutants have been donated to the *Arabidopsis* stock centers (Meinke and Scholl, 2003) than any other class of mutant, efforts to identify a knockout for every *Arabidopsis* gene (O'Malley and Ecker, 2010) must address the analysis of hundreds of genes known to be required for embryo and gametophyte development.

One objective of recent work in my laboratory has been to establish a comprehensive dataset of *Arabidopsis* genes with a loss-of-function phenotype of any kind to facilitate research in functional genomics, comparative phenomics, and gene discovery relevant to agriculture, bioenergy, and the environment. Our curated dataset of 2400 genes associated with a single mutant phenotype and 400 genes that exhibit a mutant phenotype only when disrupted in combination with a redundant paralog (Lloyd and Meinke, 2012) should provide a foundation for establishing a community-based resource for evaluating genotype-to-phenotype relationships in a model plant.

In addition to enabling comparative studies with essential genes in other organisms, providing a robust collection of genetic markers for ongoing research, facilitating the analysis of essential plant processes, and highlighting EMB genes with unknown but essential functions that merit further study, analysis of large collections of mutants defective in embryo development has resolved important questions about the nature of plant auxotrophs; the diversity of interactions between the embryo proper, suspensor, endosperm, and surrounding maternal tissues; and the contributions of specific gene products to cell survival and reproductive development. A noteworthy example of how large datasets of essential genes can contribute to discussions of important biological questions involves the issue of when the transition from maternal to zygotic gene expression occurs during plant embryo development (Muralla et al., 2011). Several reports have claimed that plant embryos are relatively quiescent until the globular stage of development and that in accordance with many animal systems, early plant embryos rely primarily on stored maternal transcripts (Vielle-Calzada et al., 2000; Pillot et al., 2010; Autran et al., 2011). However, this model has been difficult to reconcile with the existence of *emb* mutants with preglobular defects and a zygotic pattern of inheritance. A recent report (Nodine and Bartel, 2012) seems to resolve this conflict by documenting that for most genes, maternal and paternal genomes contribute equally to transcripts found in early embryos, consistent with zygotic gene expression. This study included the analysis of reciprocal crosses and differed from previous studies in that embryos were washed vigorously to remove contaminating RNA derived from the maternal seed coat. When these results are evaluated in the context of longstanding research on embryo-defective mutants – most notably, the identification of 70 EMB genes with arrested embryos that fail to reach a globular stage of development (Muralla et al., 2011) – there is compelling evidence for early activation of the zygotic genome in Arabidopsis and for the requirement of zygotic gene expression to support cellular functions after fertilization.

Directions for Future Research

Research on large-scale mutant analysis of seed development in *Arabidopsis* has reached a critical stage. Despite remarkable progress in the isolation and characterization of embryo-defective mutants and the use of these mutants to address important topics in plant biology, questions remain about the availability of funding to saturate for this class of mutants and the priority that should be given to identifying additional genes with mutant phenotypes in *Arabidopsis*. For some plant biologists, the time has come to focus instead on applying what has already been learned with *Arabidopsis* to other plant systems. Although this has long been the goal of research on model organisms, there is still much to be learned about how seed development is enabled through the coordinated expression of hundreds of genes with a variety of cellular functions. My hope is that by expanding

16

17

and coordinating efforts worldwide to characterize essential genes found through reverse genetics with *Arabidopsis*, progress will continue to be made toward reaching the goals that I first set forth in my Ph.D. dissertation – to explore large-scale genetic approaches to seed development in a model plant system and to understand how specific genes, proteins, and cellular processes regulate and support the formation of a mature embryo that contains root and shoot apical meristems, survives desiccation, and germinates to produce a viable plant.

Acknowledgments

Research in the author's laboratory at Oklahoma State University has been supported by grants from the *Arabidopsis* 2010 and Developmental Mechanisms programs at the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF); Syngenta (Novartis, Ciba-Geigy); the S.R. Noble Foundation in Ardmore, Oklahoma; the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) competitive grants program; and the Oklahoma Center for the Advancement of Science and Technology (OCAST).

Several research collaborators, including most notably David Patton (Syngenta) and Allan Dickerman (VBI), made significant contributions to this work. Over the years, 8 postdoctoral fellows, 7 graduate students, 10 research technicians, several high school students, and more than 65 undergraduate students have contributed to this research. I thank recent members of my laboratory (Rosanna Muralla, Johnny Lloyd, and Nicole Bryant), a former research associate (Iris Tzafrir), and two long-term research technicians (Linda Franzmann and Colleen Sweeney) for major contributions to the SeedGenes project. Rosanna Muralla and Colleen Sweeney developed the figures presented in this chapter.

References

- Ajjawi I, Lu Y, Savage LJ, Bell SM, Last RL (2010). Large-scale reverse genetics in Arabidopsis: Case studies from the Chloroplast 2010 Project. Plant Physiol 152: 529–540.
- Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen H, Shinn P, Stevenson DK, Zimmerman J, Barajas P, Cheuk R, et al. (2003). Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 301: 653–657.
- Asakura Y, Barkan A (2006). Arabidopsis orthologs of maize chloroplast splicing factors promote splicing of orthologous and species-specific group II introns. Plant Physiol 142: 1656–1663.
- Autran D, Baroux C, Raissig M, Lenormand T, Wittig M, Grob S, Steimer A, Barann M, Klostermeier UC, Leblanc O, et al. (2011). Maternal epigenetic pathways control parental contributions to Arabidopsis early embryogenesis. Cell 145: 707–719.
- Baus AD, Franzmann L, Meinke DW (1986). Growth *in vitro* of arrested embryos from lethal mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Theor Appl Genet 72: 577–586.
- Becraft PW, Li K, Dey N, Asuncion-Crabb Y (2002). The maize *dek1* gene functions in embryonic pattern formation and cell fate specification. Development 129: 5217–5225.
- Berg M, Rogers R, Muralla R, Meinke D (2005). Requirement of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases for gametogenesis and embryo development in Arabidopsis. Plant J 44: 866–878.
- Bhattacharyya MK, Smith AM, Ellis TH, Hedley C, Martin C (1990). The wrinkled-seed character of pea described by Mendel is caused by a transposon-like insertion in a gene encoding starch-branching enzyme. Cell 60: 115–122.

Brink RA, Cooper DC (1947). The endosperm in seed development. Bot Rev 13: 423–541.

- Bryant N, Lloyd J, Sweeney C, Myouga F, Meinke D (2011). Identification of nuclear genes encoding chloroplast-localized proteins required for embryo development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Physiol 155: 1678–1689.
- Castle LA, Errampalli D, Atherton TL, Franzmann LH, Yoon EH, Meinke DW (1993). Genetic and molecular characterization of embryonic mutants identified following seed transformation in *Arabidopsis*. Mol Gen Genet 241: 504–514.

Chen S, Zhang YE, Long M (2010). New genes in Drosophila quickly become essential. Science 330: 1682–1685.

Clark JK, Sheridan WF (1991). Isolation and characterization of 51 embryo-specific mutations of maize. Plant Cell 3: 935–951. Demerec M (1923). Heritable characters of maize. XV. Germless seeds. J Hered 14: 297–300.

SEED GENOMICS

Devic M (2008). The importance of being essential: EMBRYO-DEFECTIVE genes in Arabidopsis. CR Biol 331: 726–736.

Emerson RA (1932). A recessive zygotic lethality resulting in 2:1 ratios for normal vs male sterile and colored vs colorless pericarp in F_2 of certain maize hybrids. Science 75: 566.

Errampalli D, Patton D, Castle L, Mickelson L, Hansen K, Schnall J, Feldmann K, Meinke D (1991). Embryonic lethals and T-DNA insertional mutagenesis in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 3: 149–157.

Feldmann KA (1991). T-DNA insertion mutagenesis in Arabidopsis: mutational spectrum. Plant J 1: 71-82.

Franzmann L, Patton DA, Meinke DW (1989). *In vitro* morphogenesis of arrested embryos from lethal mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Theor Appl Genet 77: 609–616.

Franzmann LH, Yoon ES, Meinke DW (1995). Saturating the genetic map of *Arabidopsis thaliana* with embryonic mutations. Plant J 7: 341–350.

Heath JD, Weldon R, Monnot C, Meinke DW (1986). Analysis of storage proteins in normal and aborted seeds from embryo-lethal mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Planta 169: 304–312.

Hong SK, Kitano H, Satoh H, Nagato Y (1996). How is embryo size genetically regulated in rice? Development 122: 2051–2058.

Hsu SC, Belmonte MF, Harada JJ, Inoue K (2010). Indispensable roles of plastids in Arabidopsis thaliana embryogenesis. Curr Genomics 11: 338–349.

José-Estanyol M, López-Ribera I, Bastida M, Jarhmann T, Sánchez-Pons N, Becerra C, Vicient CM, Puigdomènech P (2009). Genetic, molecular and cellular approaches to the analysis of maize embryo development. Int J Dev Biol 53: 1649–1654.

Kamiya N, Nishimura A, Sentoku N, Takabe E, Nagato Y, Kitano H, Matsuoka M (2003). Rice *globular embryo 4 (gle4)* mutant is defective in radial pattern formation during embryogenesis. Plant Cell Physiol 44: 875–883.

Koornneef M, Meinke D (2010). The development of Arabidopsis as a model plant. Plant J 61: 909-921.

Kurata N, Miyoshi K, Nonomura K, Yamazaki Y, Ito Y (2005). Rice mutants and genes related to organ development, morphogenesis and physiological traits. Plant Cell Physiol 46: 48–62.

Kuromori T, Hirayama T, Kiyosue Y, Takabe H, Mizukado S, Sakurai T, Akiyama K, Kamiya A, Ito T, Shinozaki K (2004). A collection of 11800 single-copy *Ds* transposon insertion lines in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J 37: 897–905.

Liao BY, Zhang J (2008). Null mutations in human and mouse orthologs frequently result in different phenotypes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 6987–6992.

Liu CM, McElver J, Tzafrir I, Joosen R, Wittich P, Patton D, Van Lammeren AAM, Meinke D (2002). Condensin and cohesin knockouts in *Arabidopsis* exhibit a *titan* seed phenotype. Plant J 29: 405–415.

Liu CM, Meinke DW (1998). The *titan* mutants of *Arabidopsis* are disrupted in mitosis and cell cycle control during seed development. Plant J 16: 21–31.

Lloyd J, Meinke D (2012). A comprehensive dataset of genes with a loss-of-function mutant phenotype in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 158: 1115–1129.

Mangelsdorf PC (1923). The inheritance of defective seeds in maize. J Hered 14: 119-125.

Marsden MPF, Meinke DW (1985). Abnormal development of the suspensor in an embryo-lethal mutant of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Am J Bot 72: 1801–1812.

Mayer U, Torres Ruiz RA, Berleth T, Miséra S, Jürgens G (1991). Mutations affecting body organization in the *Arabidopsis* embryo. Nature 353: 402–407.

McElver J, Tzafrir I, Aux G, Rogers R, Ashby C, Smith K, Thomas C, Schetter A, Zhou Q, Cushman MA, *et al.* (2001). Insertional mutagenesis of genes required for seed development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetics 159: 1751–1763.

Meinke D, Meinke L, Showalter T, Schissel A, Mueller L, Tzafrir I (2003). A sequence-based map of Arabidopsis genes with mutant phenotypes. Plant Physiol 131: 409–418.

Meinke D, Muralla R, Sweeney C, Dickerman A (2008). Identifying essential genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Trends Plant Sci 13: 483–491.

Meinke D, Muralla R, Dickerman A (2009a). An essential line of inquiry. Trends Plant Sci 14: 414-415.

Meinke D, Scholl R (2003). The preservation of plant genetic resources. Experiences with *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 133: 1046–1050.

Meinke D, Sweeney C, Muralla R (2009b). Integrating the genetic and physical maps of *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Identification of mapped alleles of cloned essential (*EMB*) genes. PLoS ONE 4: e7386.

Meinke DW (1982). Embryo-lethal mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*: evidence for gametophytic expression of the mutant genes. Theor Appl Genet 63: 381–386.

Meinke DW (1985). Embryo-lethal mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*: analysis of mutants with a wide range of lethal phases. Theor Appl Genet 69: 543–552.

Meinke DW (1986). Embryo-lethal mutants and the study of plant embryo development. Oxford Surv Plant Mol Cell Biol 3: 122–165.

Meinke DW (1992). A homoeotic mutant of Arabidopsis thaliana with leafy cotyledons. Science 258: 1647–1650.

BLBS121-c01 BLBS121-Becraft Printer: Yet to Come

LARGE-SCALE MUTANT ANALYSIS OF SEED DEVELOPMENT IN ARABIDOPSIS

19

Meinke DW (1994). Seed development in Arabidopsis. In: Meyerowitz EM, Somerville CR (eds). Arabidopsis thaliana. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, pp 253–295.

Meinke DW (1995). Molecular genetics of plant embryogenesis. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 46: 369–394.

Meinke DW, Chen J, Beachy RN (1981). Expression of storage-protein genes during soybean seed development. Planta 153: 130–139.

Meinke DW, Cherry JM, Dean C, Rounsley SD, Koornneef M (1998). *Arabidopsis thaliana*: a model plant for genome analysis. Science 282: 662–682.

Meinke DW, Franzmann LH, Nickle TC, Yeung EC (1994). Leafy cotyledon mutants of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 6: 1049–1064.

Meinke DW, Sussex IM (1979a). Embryo-lethal mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*: a model system for genetic analysis of plant embryo development. Dev Biol 72: 50–61.

Meinke DW, Sussex IM (1979b). Isolation and characterization of embryo-lethal mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Dev Biol 72: 62–72.

Meyerowitz EM, Somerville CR (1994). Arabidopsis. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.

Miséra S, Müller AJ, Weiland-Heidecker U, Jürgens G (1994). The *FUSCA* genes of *Arabidopsis*: negative regulators of light responses. Mol Gen Genet 244: 242–252.

Müller AJ (1963). Embryonentest zum Nachweis rezessiver Letalfaktoren bei Arabidopsis thaliana. Biol Zentralbl 82: 133–163.

Muralla R, Chen E, Sweeney C, Gray JA, Dickerman A, Nikolau BJ, Meinke D (2008). A bifunctional locus (*BIO3-BIO1*) required for biotin biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 146: 60–73.

Muralla R, Lloyd J, Meinke D (2011). Molecular foundations of reproductive lethality in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS ONE 6: e28398.

Muralla R, Sweeney C, Stepansky A, Leustek T, Meinke D (2007). Genetic dissection of histidine biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 144: 890–903.

Neuffer MG, Sheridan WF (1980). Defective kernel mutants of maize. I. Genetic and lethality studies. Genetics 95: 929-944.

Nickle TC, Meinke DW (1998). A cytokinesis-defective mutant of *Arabidopsis (cyt1)* characterized by embryonic lethality, incomplete cell walls, and excessive callose. Plant J 15: 321–332.

Nodine MD, Bartel DP (2010). MicroRNAs prevent precocious gene expression and enable pattern formation during plant embryogenesis. Genes Dev 24: 2678–2692.

Nodine MD, Bartel DP (2012). Maternal and paternal genomes contribute equally to the transcriptome of early plant embryos. Nature 482: 94–97.

O'Malley RC, Ecker JR (2010). Linking genotype to phenotype using the Arabidopsis unimutant collection. Plant J 61: 928–940.

- Park D, Park J, Park SG, Park T, Choi SS (2008). Analysis of human disease genes in the context of gene essentiality. Genomics 92: 414–418.
- Patton DA, Franzmann LH, Meinke DW (1991). Mapping genes essential for embryo development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol Gen Genet 227: 337–347.

Patton DA, Meinke DW (1990). Ultrastructure of arrested embryos from lethal mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Am J Bot 77: 653–661.

Patton DA, Schetter AL, Franzmann LH, Nelson K, Ward ER, Meinke DW (1998). An embryo-defective mutant of Arabidopsis disrupted in the final step of biotin synthesis. Plant Physiol 116: 935–946.

Pichersky E (2009). The validity and utility of classifying genes as "essential." Trends Plant Sci 14: 412–413.

Pillot M, Baroux C, Vazquez MA, Autran D, Leblanc O, Vielle-Calzada JP, Grossniklaus U, Grimanelli D (2010). Embryo and endosperm inherit distinct chromatin and transcriptional states from the female gametes in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 22: 307–320.

Redéi GP (1975). Arabidopsis as a genetic tool. Annu Rev Genet 9: 111-127.

Rosso MG, Li Y, Strizhov N, Reiss B, Dekker K, Weisshaar B (2003). An *Arabidopsis thaliana* T-DNA mutagenized population (GABI-Kat) for flanking sequence tag-based reverse genetics. Plant Mol Biol 53: 247–259.

Scanlon MJ, Stinard PS, James MG, Myers AM, Robertson DS (1994). Genetic analysis of 63 mutations affecting maize kernel development isolated from Mutator stocks. Genetics 136: 281–294.

Schauer SE, Jacobsen SE, Meinke DW, Ray A (2002). *DICER-LIKE1*: Blind men and elephants in *Arabidopsis* development. Trends Plant Sci 7: 487–491.

Schneider T, Dinkins R, Robinson K, Shellhammer J, Meinke DW (1989). An embryo-lethal mutant of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is a biotin auxotroph. Dev Biol 131: 161–167.

Schomburg FM, Patton DA, Meinke DW, Amasino RM (2001). FPA, a gene involved in floral induction in Arabidopsis, encodes a protein containing RNA-recognition motifs. Plant Cell 13: 1427–1436.

Schwartz BW, Yeung EC, Meinke DW (1994). Disruption of morphogenesis and transformation of the suspensor in abnormal *sus*pensor mutants of *Arabidopsis*. Development 120: 3235–3245.

SEED GENOMICS

Shellhammer J, Meinke DW (1990). Arrested embryos from the *bio1* auxotroph of *Arabidopsis* contain reduced levels of biotin. Plant Physiol 93: 1162–1167.

Sheridan WF, Clark JK (1993). Mutational analysis of morphogenesis of the maize embryo. Plant J 3: 347-358.

- Sheridan WF, Neuffer MG (1980). Defective kernel mutants of maize. II. Morphological and embryo culture studies. Genetics 95: 945–960.
- Suzuki M, Ketterling MG, Li QB, McCarty DR (2003). Viviparous1 alters global gene expression patterns through regulation of abscisic acid signaling. Plant Physiol 132: 1664–1677.
- Suzuki M, Latshaw S, Sato Y, Settles AM, Koch KE, Hannah LC, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, McCarty DR (2008). The maize Viviparous8 locus, encoding a putative ALTERED MERISTEM PROGRAM1-like peptidase, regulates abscisic acid accumulation and coordinates embryo and endosperm development. Plant Physiol 146: 1193–1206.
- Suzuki M, Settles AM, Tseung CW, Li QB, Latshaw S, Wu S, Porch TG, Schmelz EA, James MG, McCarty DR (2006). The maize viviparous15 locus encodes the molybdopterin synthase small subunit. Plant J 45: 264–274.
- Tian Q, Olsen L, Sun B, Lid SE, Brown RC, Lemmon BE, Fosnes K, Gruis DF, Opsahl-Sorteberg HG, Otegui MS, et al. (2007). Subcellular localization and functional domain studies of *DEFECTIVE KERNEL1* in maize and *Arabidopsis* suggest a model for aleurone cell fate specification involving *CRINKLY4* and *SUPERNUMERARY ALEURONE LAYER1*. Plant Cell 19: 3127–3145.
- Tzafrir I, Dickerman A, Brazhnik O, Nguyen Q, McElver J, Frye C, Patton D, Meinke D (2003). The *Arabidopsis* SeedGenes Project. Nucleic Acids Res 31: 90–93.
- Tzafrir I, McElver JA, Liu CM, Yang LJ, Wu JQ, Martinez A, Patton DA, Meinke DW (2002). Diversity of TITAN functions in *Arabidopsis* seed development. Plant Physiol 128: 38–51.
- Tzafrir I, Pena-Muralla R, Dickerman A, Berg M, Rogers R, Hutchens S, Sweeney TC, McElver J, Aux G, Patton D, Meinke D (2004). Identification of genes required for embryo development in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 135: 1206–1220.
- Vernon DM, Meinke DW (1994). Embryogenic transformation of the suspensor in *twin*, a polyembryonic mutant of *Arabidopsis*. Dev Biol 165: 566–573.
- Vernon DM, Meinke DW (1995). Late embryo-defective mutants of Arabidopsis. Dev Genet 16: 311-320.
- Vielle-Calzada JP, Baskar R, Grossniklaus U (2000). Delayed activation of the paternal genome during seed development. Nature 404: 91–94.
- Yadegari R, Paiva G, Laux T, Koltunow AM, Apuya N, Zimmerman JL, Fischer RL, Harada JJ, Goldberg RB (1994). Cell differentiation and morphogenesis are uncoupled in *Arabidopsis raspberry* embryos. Plant Cell 6: 1713–1729.
- Yi G, Lauter AM, Scott MP, Becraft PW (2011). The *thick aleurone1* mutant defines a negative regulation of maize aleurone cell fate that functions downstream of *defective kernel1*. Plant Physiol 156: 1826–1836.
- Zhang R, Lin Y (2009). DEG 5.0, a database of essential genes in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res 37: D455–D458.
- Zubko MK, Day A (1998). Stable albinism induced without mutagenesis: A model for ribosome-free plastid inheritance. Plant J 15: 265–271.