Market Edges

The following is verbatim from the first edition of How Markets Really Work. It's important to get a point of reference of what we wrote and saw in 2004 compared to what we see with the second edition, which was written in late 2011.

For many of us, Michael Lewis's 1989 best-selling book *Liar's Poker* was the first inside look at what day-to-day life was like at a major Wall Street trading firm. Lewis described in detail, the wheeling and dealing of some of the famous (and infamous) Wall Street titans who oversaw billions of dollars of transactions every trading day during the 1980s. The book remains a classic today but 14 years after it was published, Lewis outdid himself. In 2003, he published *Moneyball: The Art of Winning an Unfair Game*. The book chronicles the success of the Oakland A's, who under the guidance of their general manager, Billy Beane, used massive amounts of statistical data to help them successfully run their ball team.

The A's essentially turned their backs on the old school of thinking, much of which was intuitive, and attempted to turn baseball into a science. Players who should have been fifteenth-round draft picks were being chosen by the A's near the top of the draft (and signed very cheaply). These types of players were chosen not because they looked good or the scouting reports said they couldn't miss. They were chosen because the statistics said that these players had an edge and that this edge had a better chance of playing itself out than the guessing that had gone into past selections. Essentially, Billy Beane and the A's turned baseball upside down, and by relying upon numbers instead of opinion, they have been able to successfully compete against teams that had far more money to spend on talent.

The godfather behind this move to relying upon numbers instead of gut is a brilliant gentleman by the name of Bill James. In the 1970s James began publishing studies and then books on player evaluation and baseball strategy. Up until a few years ago, James was all but ignored by mainstream baseball. Hall of Fame manager

Sparky Anderson, who is the only manager to win a World Series in both the National League and the American League, referred to James as "a fat guy with a beard who knows nothing about nothing." And in spite of the success that the A's and a few other teams have had relying upon statistics, the debate still rages as to its effectiveness. But, as this is being written, baseball has begun the process of turning away from the Sparky Anderson school of knowledge and accepting the thinking of people like Bill James. General managers are being hired by teams not for their baseball playing careers or their baseball prowess, but for their ability to analyze baseball statistics and make correct decisions using these statistics. Teams like the Red Sox and the Dodgers now have GMs who are only in their early thirties. Why are they entrusted with franchises that are valued in the hundreds of millions of dollars? It's because these guys don't guess. They know numbers and their understanding of these numbers provides them with an edge.

And in some cases, this edge is substantial.

What does this have to do with trading? A lot. After we read *Moneyball*, we remarked that it's amazing that baseball has gone this route yet most of Wall Street still has not. If baseball has quantified mainstream parts of the game such as batting average, on base percentage, errors, steals, walks, and so on, why hasn't Wall Street done the same with the indicators it relies upon every day? Trading day after trading day, we are bombarded with information from the media. "The market rose for the third straight day as the bulls are taking charge." What does this mean? It sounds good, doesn't it? It sure feels as though the market is going to continue to rise. A market rising three days in a row is usually rising because of good news. Isn't that a precursor of things to come? What about advancing issues and declining issues? On days when the market drops sharply and declining stocks far outnumber advancing stocks, the press and the analysts tell us this is bad. Poor market breadth is supposedly a sign of future weakness. It seems to make sense. But is it true? (You'll soon see it's not.)

Just as old school baseball used to think that a guy who was 6'3" and could run fast and hit the ball a mile was a can't-miss prospect, much of old-school Wall Street still thinks that good news and market strength is a sign of future upward price movement and bad news and poor market strength is a sign of future downward movement. As you will soon learn, at least looking at the market over the past 15 years (1989–2003), there is nothing further from the truth. This is not our opinion or guess. *It's what the statistics show.* And just as baseball had a tough time accepting the fact that on-base percentage is more important than batting average, we suspect that many people on Wall Street, especially the media and the mainstream firms, will have a tough time accepting the fact that *it's better over the near term for the market to have dropped than for it to have risen.*

All combined, we have nearly three decades of trading and research experience behind us. Much of what you will learn from this book is a culmination of our work. We looked at a number of the most common ways traders, analysts, and the press look at the market. Even though we went into the tests having a strong clue where the results would end up, even we were surprised at some of these results. The tests included us looking at how the S&P 500 cash market (SPX) and the Nasdaq 100 cash market (NDX) did over a 1-day, 2-day, and 1-week period after they made a 5-period

Market Edges 3

high, 10-period high, 5-period low, and 10-period low (intraday). We also looked at how these markets did after prices rose multiple days in a row (showing strength) and declined multiple days in a row (showing weakness). We looked at the times when the markets made multiple-day higher highs and multiple-day lower lows, again looking at what happened after continuous strength and weakness. From there we looked at the days when the market rose sharply to the upside versus declined sharply to the downside.

Volume was another topic we tackled, as it is one of the most often-used indicators. After volume, you'll learn about market breadth when we analyze what the market has done after advancing issues outperformed declining issues (and vice versa). The results from many of these chapters may surprise you, and this chapter may be the biggest surprise.

From there, we looked at another common indicator: new 52-week highs and new 52-week lows. There's a healthy edge here, and again it's not where the analysts and the press say it is. In the final two chapters, we'll show you how to use the put/call ratio and the volatility index (VIX)—Chicago Board Options Exchange (CBOE) Volatility Index. Each indicator has shown strong consistent edges, and we'll teach you where these edges are.

Before we move to the next chapter and start looking at the test results, we'd like to cover a few guidelines to help you better understand how to use this book and how to use the information presented to help gain a greater edge in your trading and investing.

- 1. We'll state this again later in the book, but you need to know that there are no assurances that these test results will hold up in the future. Even though many of these tests are independent of one another and basically lead to the same conclusion, it cannot be assumed this conclusion (or any market conclusion) will hold true in the future.
- 2. Much of Wall Street is made up of opinions. It's also made up of opinions that are not backed by any statistical evidence. If the baseball world can do it, then Wall Street can do it, too. Hopefully, this book is just the tip of the iceberg in using statistics to help understand how markets behave and how one can make proper and rational decisions on a day-to-day basis.
- 3. All these tests were run on the cash market and were not actual trades. Also, commissions and slippage were not factored in.
- 4. All the tests use a benchmark. This means we compared apples to apples. We looked at the results when certain situations occurred versus how the market performed on average during the same time period. We did the same for the percentage of trades that showed gains. In many cases we could have shown more test results, but we didn't because the number of opportunities that occurred (the sample size) was too low.
- 5. We tested the S&P 500 and the Nasdaq 100 cash markets throughout the book.
- 6. Many of the tests run as far back as 15 years. This encompassed a solid bull market, followed by a very severe bear market, followed by a rally in 2003. The net bias

- was up for the entire time frame, but the market also saw some healthy selling periods, especially from 2000 to 2002.
- 7. Finding clean market data is not as easy as one would think. There are data vendors whose data we could not trust. Therefore, we used data from sources we trusted, including the CBOE for the put/call ratio tests and the VIX tests. In many cases we tested up to 15 years of data, but in some cases we had to use fewer years in order not to compromise the integrity of the test results. These tests were run multiple times in order to assure the results. If you elect to do your own testing and find different results, it might possibly be due to the data your data vendor is providing.
- 8. In many chapters we also looked at the market trend. We defined the market trend as being up when the market was above its 200-day moving average (200-day ma) and down when it was below its 200-day moving average.
- 9. None of these tests are systems, nor should they be traded as systems, nor do we trade them alone as systems. They simply look at how markets behaved over a fixed period of time in specific market conditions.
- 10. This book can be used by everyone and should provide you with a basic philosophy for looking at the markets. Traders especially will be able to use this information as the focus of the book is on the short-term. But interestingly enough, you will see the same type of statistical evidence in long-term investing using the basic philosophy and concepts from this book at www.TheMachineAdvisor.com (the site is related to us).
- 11. Please understand that the results you will see are average returns. This means that there were gains and losses in any individual scenario that were far from average. And going forward, there will undoubtedly be situations that occur that will be far from the averages published here.
- 12. You'll see one common theme throughout this book: *Buying short-term weakness has outperformed buying short-term strength over the past 15 years*. Should this trend continue, there is a big edge here for traders to take advantage of. The goal of this work is to show you when these times occurred and what the historical edge has been.

What Has Changed Since We Originally Wrote This

It's nearly eight years later, and in spite of a market that has since risen significantly, dropped significantly (crashed), and then risen again, *much of what we saw back then still holds true today*.

Even the baseball analogy discussing how teams that rely upon data outperform teams that don't rely upon data has continued to prove true. The Red Sox have since won two World Series (2004 and 2007) and the St. Louis Cardinals have also won twice (2006 and 2011).

Data used properly—whether in baseball or in the markets—still works.

In this updated edition, we took the tests we originally published and updated them through the third quarter of 2011 (we wrote this book as soon as the quarter

Market Edges 5

ended and submitted it to our publisher in November 2011). What you will see is that markets have continued to work the way they worked from 1989 to 2003. There is now up to 22¾ years of data here, and the overriding theme is that on a short-term basis, oversold markets tend to move higher over the next few days and overbought markets tend to move lower on a short-term basis over the next few days.

This behavior doesn't mean it happens every time. As in baseball performance, market performance is a game of averages. Identify where the averages have had edges, and then look to exploit those edges over and over again. That's the main focus of the book.

We've also added three new chapters to the book. The first chapter (Chapter 11) relies upon an oscillator, which we have published research on for many years, and we believe may be the best oscillator to identify overbought and oversold market conditions (the numbers support this statement).

We also added a chapter on long-term market behavior (one year) that shows conclusively that low volatility stocks outperform high volatility stocks (Chapter 12). Not only do they outperform, they do so with far less risk. This chapter will be of special interest to anyone who manages a longer-term portfolio of stocks.

We wrapped up the additional research by building a short-term strategy with the concepts in this book, which only trades in S&P 500 stocks (Chapter 13). This simple strategy has outperformed the S&P 500 index by over 10 percent a year in simulated trading and did so with 70 percent lower volatility. On a cumulative basis the return has been well over 100 percent since 2001 and shows that one can potentially do quite well investing in mostly blue chip stocks by following a few simple rules that apply the concepts from this book.

People like to say markets change. We disagree. Technology changes, but market behavior rarely does, especially short-term. Markets are made up of individuals, and individuals are driven by the same emotions no matter what decade or even century they're in. Yes, intelligence has greatly expanded but decisions are still driven by fear and greed, especially at market extremes no matter what the time frame. Our goal is to quantify these emotions, and in this book you will see that over the past two plus decades, in spite of the incredible technology, product and global expansion in the markets, they still behave the same way over and over again.

We hope you enjoy this second edition of *How Markets Really Work*. Now, let's move on to the updated research.