

# Preliminaries from differential topology and microlocal analysis

Here we collect some facts concerning manifolds of jets, spaces of smooth maps and transversality, as well as some material from microlocal analysis. A special emphasis is given to the definition and main properties of the generalized bicharacteristics of the wave operator and the corresponding generalized geodesics.

## 1.1 Spaces of jets and transversality theorems

We begin with the notion of transversality, manifolds of jets and spaces of smooth maps. The reader is referred to Golubitsky and Guillemin [GG] or Hirsch [Hir] for a detailed presentation of this material.

In this book **smooth** means  $C^\infty$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth manifolds and let  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  be a smooth map. Given  $x \in X$ , we will denote by  $T_x f$  the *tangent map* of  $f$  at  $x$ . Sometimes we will use the notation  $d_x f = T_x f$ . If  $\text{rank}(T_x f) = \dim(X) \leq \dim(Y)$  (resp.  $\text{rank}(T_x f) = \dim(Y) \leq \dim(X)$ ), then  $f$  is called an *immersion* (resp. a *submersion*) at  $x$ . Let  $W$  be a smooth submanifold of  $Y$ . We will say that  $f$  is *transversal* to  $W$  at  $x \in X$ , and will denote this by  $f \nmid_x W$ , if either  $f(x) \notin W$  or  $f(x) \in W$  and  $\text{Im}(T_x f) + T_{f(x)} W = T_{f(x)} Y$ . Here for every  $y \in W$  we identify  $T_y W$  with its image under the map  $T_y i : T_y W \longrightarrow T_y Y$ , where  $i : W \longrightarrow Y$  is the inclusion. Clearly, if  $f$  is a submersion at  $x$ , then  $f \nmid W$  for every submanifold  $W$  of  $Y$ . If  $Z \subset X$  and  $f \nmid W$

for every  $x \in Z$ , we will say that  $f$  is transversal to  $W$  on  $Z$ . Finally, if  $f$  is transversal to  $W$  on the whole  $X$ , we will say that  $f$  is transversal to  $W$  and write  $f \pitchfork W$ .

The next proposition contains a basic property of transversality that will be used several times throughout.

**Proposition 1.1.1:** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map, and let  $W$  be a smooth submanifold of  $Y$  such that  $f \pitchfork W$ . Then  $f^{-1}(W)$  is a smooth submanifold of  $X$  with*

$$\text{codim}(f^{-1}(W)) = \text{codim}(W). \quad (1.1)$$

*In particular:*

- (a) *if  $\dim(X) < \text{codim}(W)$ , then  $f^{-1}(W) = \emptyset$ , that is  $f(X) \cap W = \emptyset$ .*
- (b) *if  $\dim(X) = \text{codim}(W)$ , then  $f^{-1}(W)$  consists of isolated points in  $X$ .*

Consequently, if  $f$  is a submersion, then for every submanifold  $W$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(W)$  is a submanifold of  $X$  with (1.1). Thus, in this case,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a submanifold of  $X$  of codimension equal to  $\dim(Y)$  for every  $y \in Y$ .

Let again  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth manifolds and let  $x \in X$ . Given two smooth maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ , we will write  $f \sim_x g$  if  $d_x f = d_x g$ . For an integer  $k \geq 2$ , we will write  $f \sim_x^k g$  if for the smooth maps  $df, dg : TX \rightarrow TY$ , we have  $df \sim_{\xi}^{k-1} dg$  for every  $\xi \in T_x X$ . In this way by induction one defines the relation  $f \sim_x^k g$  for all integers  $k \geq 1$ . Fix for a moment  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ . Denote by  $J_k(X, Y)_{x,y}$  the family of all equivalence classes of smooth maps  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(x) = y$  with respect to the equivalence relation  $\sim_x^k$ . Define the *space of  $k$ -jets* by

$$J^k(X, Y) = \bigcup_{(x,y) \in X \times Y} J^k(X, Y)_{x,y}.$$

So, for each  $k$ -jet  $\sigma \in J^k(X, Y)$ , there exist  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  with  $\sigma \in J^k(X, Y)_{x,y}$ . We set  $\alpha(\sigma) = x$  and  $\beta(\sigma) = y$ , thus obtaining maps

$$\alpha : J^k(X, Y) \rightarrow X, \quad \beta : J^k(X, Y) \rightarrow Y, \quad (1.2)$$

called the *source* and the *target* map, respectively. Given an arbitrary smooth  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , let

$$j^k f : X \rightarrow J^k(X, Y) \quad (1.3)$$

be the map assigning to every  $x \in X$  the equivalence class  $j^k f(x)$  of  $f$  in  $J^k(X, Y)_{x, f(x)}$ .

There is a natural structure of a smooth manifold on  $J^k(X, Y)$  for every  $k$ . We refer the reader to [GG] or [Hir] for its description and main properties. Let us only mention that with respect to this structure for every smooth map  $f$  the maps (1.2) and (1.3) are also smooth.

For a non-empty set  $A$  and an integer  $s \geq 1$ , define

$$A^{(s)} = \{(a_1, \dots, a_s) \in A^s : a_i \neq a_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq s\}.$$

Note that if  $A$  is a topological space, then  $A^{(s)}$  is an open (dense) subset of the product space  $A^s$ . If  $f : A \longrightarrow B$  is an arbitrary map, define  $f^s : A^s \longrightarrow B^s$  by

$$f^s(a_1, \dots, a_s) = (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_s)),$$

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth manifolds, let  $s$  and  $k$  be natural numbers and let  $\alpha^s : (J^k(X, Y))^s \longrightarrow X^s$ . The open submanifold

$$J_s^k(X, Y) = (\alpha^s)^{-1}(X^{(s)})$$

of  $(J^k(X, Y))^s$  is called an  $s$ -fold  $k$ -jet bundle. For a smooth  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ , define the smooth map

$$j_s^k f : X^{(s)} \longrightarrow J_s^k(X, Y)$$

by

$$j_s^k f(x_1, \dots, x_s) = (j^k f(x_1), \dots, j^k f(x_s)).$$

We will now define the Whitney  $C^k$  topology on the space  $C^\infty(X, Y)$  of all smooth maps from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Let  $k \geq 0$  be an integer and let  $U$  be an open subset of  $J^k(X, Y)$ . Set

$$M(U) = \{f \in C^\infty(X, Y) : j^k f(X) \subset U\}.$$

The family  $\{M(U)\}_U$ , where  $U$  runs over the open subsets of  $J^k(X, Y)$ , is the basis for a topology on  $C^\infty(X, Y)$ , called the Whitney  $C^k$  topology. The supremum of all Whitney  $C^k$  topologies for  $k \geq 0$  is called the Whitney  $C^\infty$  topology. It follows from this definition that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the  $C^\infty$  topology if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in the  $C^k$  topology for all  $k \geq 0$ . Note that if  $X$  is not compact (and  $\dim(Y) > 0$ ), then any of the  $C^k$  topologies (including the case  $k = \infty$ ) does not satisfy the first axiom of countability, and therefore is not metrizable. On the other hand, if  $X$  is compact, then all  $C^k$  topologies on  $C^\infty(X, Y)$  are metrizable with complete metrics.

In this book we always consider  $C^\infty(X, Y)$  with the Whitney  $C^\infty$  topology. An important fact about these spaces, which will be often used in what follows, is that whenever  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth manifolds, the space  $C^\infty(X, Y)$  is a Baire topological space. Recall that a subset  $R$  of a topological space  $Z$  is called *residual* in  $Z$  if  $R$  contains a countable intersection of open dense subsets of  $Z$ . If every residual subset of  $Z$  is dense in it, then  $Z$  is called a *Baire space*.

In some of the next chapters we will consider spaces of the form  $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $X$  being a smooth submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for some  $n \geq 2$ . Let us note that these spaces have a natural structure of Frechet spaces. Moreover, if  $X$  is compact, then  $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$  has a natural structure of a Banach space. Denote by

$$\mathbf{C}(X) = C_{emb}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

the subset of  $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of all smooth embeddings  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\mathbf{C}(X)$  is open in  $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$  (cf. Chapter II in [Hir]), and therefore it is a Baire topological space with respect to the topology induced by  $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Finally, notice that for compact  $X$  the space  $\mathbf{C}(X)$  has a natural structure of a Banach manifold. We refer the reader to [Lang] for the definition of Banach manifolds and their main properties.

The following theorem is known as the *multijet transversality theorem* and will be used many times later in this book.

**Theorem 1.1.2:** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth manifolds, let  $k$  and  $s$  be natural numbers and let  $W$  be a smooth submanifold of  $J_s^k(X, Y)$ . Then*

$$T_W = \{F \in C^\infty(X, Y) : j_s^k F \nmid W\}$$

*is a residual subset of  $C^\infty(X, Y)$ . Moreover, if  $W$  is compact, then  $T_W$  is open in  $C^\infty(X, Y)$ .*

For  $s = 1$ , this theorem coincides with Thom's transversality theorem.

We conclude this section with a special case of the Abraham transversality theorem which will be used in Chapter 6. Now by a smooth manifold we mean a smooth Banach manifold of finite or infinite dimension (cf. [Lang]).

Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth manifolds, and let

$$\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C^\infty(X, Y) \tag{1.4}$$

be a map,  $\mathcal{A} \ni a \mapsto \rho_a$ . Define

$$\text{ev}_\rho : \mathcal{A} \times X \rightarrow Y \tag{1.5}$$

by  $\text{ev}_\rho(a, x) = \rho_a(x)$ .

The next theorem is a special case of Abraham's transversality theorem (see [AbR]).

**Theorem 1.1.3:** *Let  $\rho$  have the form (1.4) and let  $W$  be a smooth submanifold of  $Y$ .*

*(a) If the map (1.5) is  $C^1$  and  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$ , then*

$$\mathcal{A}_{K,W} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \rho_a \nmid_x W, x \in K\}$$

*is an open subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*(b) Let  $\dim(X) = n < \infty$ ,  $\text{codim}(W) = q < \infty$  and let  $r$  be a natural number with  $r > n - q$ . Suppose that the manifolds  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfy the second axiom of countability, the map (1.5) is  $C^r$  and  $\text{ev}_\rho \nmid W$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{A}_W = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \rho_a \nmid W\}$$

*is a residual subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

## 1.2 Generalized bicharacteristics

Our aim in this section is to define the generalized bicharacteristics of the *wave operator*

$$\square = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_x$$

and to present their main properties which will be used throughout the book. Here we use the notation from Section 24 in [H3]. In what follows  $\Omega$  is a closed domain in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with a smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

Given a point on  $\partial\Omega$ , we choose local normal coordinates

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1})$$

in  $T^*(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  about it such that the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is given by  $x_1 = 0$  and  $\Omega$  is locally defined by  $x_1 \geq 0$ . We assume that the coordinates  $\xi_i$  are those dual to  $x_i$ . The coordinates  $x, \xi$  can be chosen so that the *principal symbol* of  $\square$  has the form

$$p(x, \xi) = \xi_1^2 - r(x, \xi'),$$

where

$$x' = (x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), \quad \xi' = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n+1}),$$

and  $r(x, \xi')$  is homogeneous of order 2 in  $\xi'$ . Introduce the sets

$$\Sigma = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} : p(x, \xi) = 0\},$$

$$\Sigma_0 = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_1 > 0\},$$

$$H = \{(x, \xi) \in \Sigma : x_1 = 0, r(0, x', \xi') > 0\},$$

$$G = \{(x, \xi) \in \Sigma : x_1 = 0, r(0, x', \xi') = 0\}.$$

The sets  $\Sigma$ ,  $H$  and  $G$  are called the *characteristic set*, the *hyperbolic set* and the *glancing set*, respectively. Let

$$r_0(x', \xi') = r(0, x', \xi'), \quad r_1(x', \xi') = \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_1}(0, x', \xi').$$

The *diffractive* and the *gliding* sets are defined by

$$G_d = \{(x, \xi) \in G : r_1(x', \xi') > 0\},$$

$$G_g = \{(x, \xi) \in G : r_1(x', \xi') < 0\},$$

respectively.

Next, consider the Hamiltonian vector fields

$$H_p = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right),$$

$$H_{r_0} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left( \frac{\partial r_0}{\partial \xi_j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial r_0}{\partial x_j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right).$$

Notice that  $d_\xi p(x, \xi) \neq 0$  on  $\Sigma$  and  $d_{\xi'} r_0(x, \xi') \neq 0$  on  $G$ , so  $H_p$  and  $H_{r_0}$  are not radial on  $\Sigma$  and  $G$ , respectively. Next, introduce the sets

$$G^k = \{(x, \xi) \in G : r_1 = H_{r_0}(r_1) = \dots = H_{r_0}^{k-3}(r_1) = 0\}, \quad k \geq 3,$$

$$G^\infty = \bigcap_{k=3}^{\infty} G^k.$$

The above definitions are independent of the choice of local coordinates. Let us mention that if  $\partial\Omega$  is given locally by  $\varphi = 0$  and  $\Omega$  by  $\varphi > 0$ ,  $\varphi$  being a smooth function, then

$$H = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) : p(x, \xi) = 0, H_p \varphi(x, \xi) \neq 0\},$$

$$G = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) : p(x, \xi) = 0, H_p \varphi(x, \xi) = 0\},$$

$$G_d = \{(x, \xi) \in G : H_p^2 \varphi(x, \xi) > 0\},$$

$$G_g = \{(x, \xi) \in G : H_p^2 \varphi(x, \xi) < 0\},$$

$$G^k = \{(x, \xi) \in G : H_p^j \varphi(x, \xi) = 0, 0 \leq j < k\}.$$

We define the generalized bicharacteristics of  $\square$  using the special coordinates  $(x, \xi)$  chosen above.

**Definition 1.2.1:** Let  $I$  be an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ . A curve

$$\gamma : I \longrightarrow \Sigma \tag{1.6}$$

is called a *generalized bicharacteristic* of  $\square$  if there exists a discrete subset  $B$  of  $I$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) If  $\gamma(t_0) \in \Sigma_0 \cup G_d$  for some  $t_0 \in I \setminus B$ , then  $\gamma$  is differentiable at  $t_0$  and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \gamma(t_0) = H_p(\gamma(t_0)).$$

- (ii) If  $\gamma(t_0) \in G \setminus G_d$  for some  $t_0 \in I \setminus B$ , then  $\gamma(t) = (x_1(t), x'(t), \xi_1(t), \xi'(t))$  is differentiable at  $t_0$  and

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt}(t_0) = \frac{d\xi_1}{dt}(t_0) = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt}(x'(t), \xi'(t))|_{t=t_0} = H_{r_0}(\gamma(t_0)).$$

- (iii) If  $t_0 \in B$ , then  $\gamma(t_0) \in \Sigma_0$  for all  $t \neq t_0, t \in I$ , with  $|t - t_0|$  sufficiently small. Moreover, for  $\xi_1^\pm(x', \xi') = \pm\sqrt{r_0}(x', \xi')$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0, \pm(t-t_0) > 0} \gamma(t) = (0, x'(t_0), \xi_1^\pm(x'(t_0)), \xi'(t_0)) \in H.$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of the local coordinates. Note that when  $\partial\Omega$  is given by  $\varphi = 0$  and  $\Omega$  by  $\varphi > 0$ , then the condition (ii) means that if  $\gamma(t_0) \in G \setminus G_d$ , then

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dt}(\gamma(t_0)) = H_p^G(\gamma(t_0)),$$

where

$$H_p^G = H_p + \frac{H_p^2 \varphi}{H_\varphi^2 p} H_\varphi$$

is the so-called *glancing vector field* on  $G$ .

It follows from the above definition that if (1.6) is a generalized bicharacteristic, the functions  $x(t), \xi'(t), |\xi_1(t)|$  are continuous on  $I$ , while  $\xi_1(t)$  has jump discontinuities at any  $t \in B$ . The functions  $x'(t)$  and  $\xi'(t)$  are continuously differentiable on  $I$  and

$$\frac{dx'}{dt} = -\frac{\partial r}{\partial \xi'}, \quad \frac{d\xi'}{dt} = \frac{\partial r}{\partial x'}. \quad (1.7)$$

Moreover, for  $t \in B$ ,  $x_1(t)$  admits left and right derivatives

$$\frac{d^\pm x_1}{dt}(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow +0} \pm \frac{x_1(t \pm \epsilon) - x_1(t)}{\epsilon} = 2\xi_1(t \pm 0). \quad (1.8)$$

The function  $\xi_1(t)$  also has a left derivative and a right derivative. For  $\gamma(t) \notin G_g$ , we have

$$\frac{d^\pm \xi_1}{dt}(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow +0} \pm \frac{\xi_1(t \pm \epsilon) - \xi_1(t)}{\epsilon} = \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_1}(x(t), \xi'(t)), \quad (1.9)$$

while  $\frac{d^\pm \xi_1}{dt}(t) = 0$  for  $\gamma(t) \in G_g$ . Thus, if  $\gamma(t)$  remains in a compact set, then the functions  $x(t), \xi'(t), \xi_1^2(t)$  and  $x_1(t)\xi_1(t)$  satisfy a uniform Lipschitz condition. For the left and right derivatives of  $|\xi_1(t)|$ , one gets

$$\left| \frac{d^\pm |\xi_1(t)|}{dt} \right| \leq \left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_1}(x(t), \xi'(t)) \right|. \quad (1.10)$$

Melrose and Sjöstrand [MS2] (see also Section 24 in [H3]) showed that for each  $z_0 \in \Sigma$ , there exists a generalized bicharacteristic (1.6) of  $\square$  with  $\gamma(t_0) = z_0$  for some  $t_0 \in I$ . Since the vector fields  $H_p$  and  $H_p^G$  are not radial on  $\Sigma$  and  $G$ , respectively, such a bicharacteristic  $\gamma$  can be extended for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . However, in general,  $\gamma$  is not unique. We refer the reader to [Tay] or [H3] for examples demonstrating this.

For  $\rho \in \Sigma$ , denote by  $C_t(\rho)$  the set of those  $\mu \in \Sigma$  such that there exists a generalized bicharacteristic (1.6) with  $0, t \in I$ ,  $\gamma(0) = \rho$  and  $\gamma(t) = \mu$ . In many cases  $C_t(\rho)$  is related to a uniquely determined bicharacteristic  $\gamma$ . In the general case it is convenient to introduce the following.

**Definition 1.2.2:** A generalized bicharacteristic  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \Sigma$  of  $\square$  is called *uniquely extendible* if for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the only generalized bicharacteristics (up to a change of parameter) passing through  $\gamma(t)$  is  $\gamma$ . That is, for  $\rho = \gamma(0)$ , we have  $C_t(\rho) = \{\gamma(t)\}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It was proved by Melrose and Sjöstrand [MS1] that if  $\text{Im}(\gamma) \subset \Sigma \setminus G^\infty$ , then  $\gamma$  is uniquely extendible. If  $z_0 = \gamma(t_0) \in H$  for some  $t_0 \in B$ , then  $\gamma(t)$  meets  $\partial\Omega$  transversally at  $x(t_0)$  and (iii) holds. For  $z_0 \in \Sigma_0 \cup G_d$  we have  $\gamma(t) \in \Sigma_0$  for  $|t - t_0|$  small enough, while in the case  $z_0 \in G_g$  for small  $|t - t_0|$ ,  $\gamma(t)$  coincides with the gliding ray

$$\gamma_0(t) = (0, x'(t), 0, \xi'(t)), \quad (1.11)$$

where  $(x'(t), \xi(t))$  is a null bicharacteristic of the Hamiltonian vector field  $H_{r_0}$ .

To discuss the local uniqueness of generalized bicharacteristics, let  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), \xi(t))$  be such a bicharacteristic and let  $y'(t), \eta'(t)$  be the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy'}{dt}(t) = \frac{\partial r_0}{\partial \xi'}(y'(t), \eta'(t)), \\ \frac{d\eta'}{dt}(t) = -\frac{\partial r_0}{\partial x'}(y'(t), \eta'(t)), \\ y'(0) = x'(0), \quad \eta'(0) = \xi'(0). \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

Then setting  $e(t) = r_1(y'(t), \eta'(t))$ , we have the following local description of  $\gamma$ .

**Proposition 1.2.3:** Let  $\gamma(0) \in G^3$ . If  $e(t)$  increases for small  $t > 0$ , then for such  $t$  the bicharacteristic  $\gamma(t)$  is a trajectory of  $H_p$ . If  $e(t)$  decreases for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , then for such  $t$ ,  $\gamma(t)$  is a gliding ray of the form (1.11).

A proof of this proposition and some other properties of generalized bicharacteristics can be found in Section 24.3 in [H3].

It should be mentioned that for  $k \geq 3$  and  $\gamma(0) \in G^k \setminus G^{k+1}$ , we have

$$e(t) = \frac{1}{2(k-2)!} H_p^k \varphi(\gamma(0)) t^{k-2} + O(t^{k-1}),$$

therefore the sign of  $H_p^k \varphi(\gamma(0))$  determines the local behaviour of  $e(t)$ .

**Corollary 1.2.4:** In each of the following cases, every generalized bicharacteristic of  $\square$  is uniquely extendible:

- (a) the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is a real analytic manifold;



- (b) there are no points  $y \in \partial\Omega$  at which the normal curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  vanished of infinite order in some direction  $\xi \in T_y(\partial\Omega)$ ;
- (c)  $\partial\Omega$  is given locally by  $\varphi = 0$  and

$$H_p^2\varphi(z) \leq 0 \quad (1.13)$$

for every  $z \in G$ . If  $\partial\Omega$  is locally convex in the domain of  $\varphi$ , then (1.13) holds.

*Proof:* In the case (a) the symbols  $r_0(x', \xi')$  and  $r_1(x', \xi')$  are real analytic, so the solution  $(y'(t), \eta'(t))$  of (1.12) is analytic in  $t$ . Consequently, the function  $e(t)$  is analytic and we can use its Taylor expansion in order to apply Proposition 1.2.3.

In the case (c), using the special coordinates  $x, \xi$ , and combining (1.13) with (1.9), we get  $\frac{d^{\pm}\xi_1}{dt}(t) \geq 0$ . On the other hand, if  $\xi_1(t)$  has a jump at  $\gamma(t) \in H$ , then this jump is equal to  $2r_0(x'(t), \xi'(t)) > 0$ . Thus, the function  $\xi_1(t)$  is increasing. If  $e(t) = 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ , we get  $x_1(t) = \xi_1(t) = 0$  for such  $t$ , so  $\{\gamma(t) : 0 \leq t \leq t_1\}$  is a gliding ray. Assume that there exists a sequence  $t_k \searrow 0$  such that  $e(t_k) \neq 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $\xi_1(t) > 0$  for all sufficiently small  $t > 0$ . Now (1.8) shows that  $x_1(t)$  is increasing for such  $t$ , therefore there is  $t' > 0$  such that  $\{\gamma(t) : 0 \leq t \leq t'\}$  coincides with a trajectory of  $H_p$ .

Let  $p = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^2 - \xi_{n+1}^2$  and let  $\varphi$  depend on  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  only. Then

$$(H_p^2\varphi)(x, \xi) = 4 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) \xi_i \xi_j,$$

and if the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is locally convex, we obtain (1.13).

Finally, in the case (b), for each  $x \in \partial\Omega$  there exists a multi-index  $\alpha$ , depending on  $x$ , such that  $(\partial^\alpha \varphi)(x) \neq 0$ . This implies  $G^\infty = \emptyset$ , which completes the proof. ■

According to Lemma 6.1.2, in the generic case discussed in Chapter 6 the assumption (b) is always satisfied.

Let  $Q = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ . We will again use the coordinates  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ , this time denoting the last coordinate by  $t$ , that is  $t = x_{n+1}$ . For  $x \in \partial Q = \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ , let  $N_x(\partial Q)$  be the space of covectors  $\xi \in T_x^*Q$  vanishing on  $T_x(\partial Q)$ . Define the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $T^*Q$  by  $(x, \xi) \sim (y, \eta)$  if and only if either  $x = y \in Q \setminus \partial Q$  and  $\xi = \eta$ , or  $x = y \in \partial Q$  and  $\xi - \eta \in N_x(\partial Q)$ . Then  $T^*Q / \sim$  can be naturally identified over  $\partial Q$  with  $T^*(\partial Q)$ . Consider the map

$$\sim: T^*Q \ni (x, \xi) \mapsto (x, \xi|_{T_x(\partial Q)}) \in T^*(\partial Q),$$

defined as the identity on  $T^*(Q \setminus \partial Q)$ . Then  $\widetilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma_b$  is called the *compressed characteristic set*, while the image  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of a bicharacteristic  $\gamma$  under  $\sim$  is called a *compressed generalized bicharacteristic*. Clearly  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a continuous curve in  $\Sigma_b$ .

Given  $\rho = (x, \xi)$ ,  $\mu = (y, \eta) \in T^*Q$ , denote by  $d(\rho, \mu)$  the standard Euclidean distance between  $\rho$  and  $\mu$ . For  $\rho, \mu \in \Sigma$  define

$$D(\rho, \mu) = \inf_{\nu', \nu'' \in \Sigma, \nu \sim \nu''} (\min\{d(\rho, \mu), d(\rho, \nu') + d(\nu'', \mu)\}).$$

Clearly,  $D(\rho, \mu) = 0$  if and only if  $\rho \sim \mu$ , and  $D(\rho, \mu) = D(\rho', \mu')$  provided  $\rho \sim \rho'$  and  $\mu \sim \mu'$ . It is easy to check that  $D$  is symmetric and satisfies the triangle inequality. Thus,  $D$  is a pseudo-metric on  $\Sigma$ , which induces a metric on  $\Sigma_b$ .

For the next lemma we assume that  $I$  is a closed non-trivial interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $(y_0, \eta_0) \in \Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  is a neighbourhood of  $(y_0, \eta_0)$  in  $Q$ .

**Lemma 1.2.5:** *There exists a constant  $C_0 > 0$  depending only on  $\Gamma$  and  $I$  such that for every generalized bicharacteristic  $\gamma : I \longrightarrow \Sigma \cap \gamma$  we have*

$$D(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) \leq C_0 |t - s|$$

for all  $t, s \in I$ .

*Proof:* It is enough to consider the case when  $|t - s|$  is small. Then we can use the local coordinates introduced earlier. From (1.7), (1.8) and (1.10), we get

$$|x(t) - x(s)| + |\xi'(t) - \xi'(s)| \leq C_1 |t - s|, \quad ||\xi_1(t)| - |\xi_1(s)|| \leq C_1 |t - s|,$$

where  $C_1 > 0$  is a constant independent of  $t$  and  $s$ . Thus, if  $\xi_1(t) = 0$  or  $\xi_1(s) = 0$  we get  $|\xi_1(t) - \xi_1(s)| \leq C_1 |t - s|$ . The latter holds also in the case when  $\gamma(t') \notin \partial\Omega$  for all  $t' \in (t, s)$ . Consequently,  $D(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) \leq C_2 |t - s|$  whenever either  $\xi_1(t)\xi_1(s) = 0$  or  $\gamma(t') \in \partial\Omega$  only for finitely many  $t' \in (t, s)$ .

Assume that there are infinitely many  $t' \in (t, s)$  such that  $\gamma(t')$  is a reflection point of  $\gamma$ . Then there exists  $u \in [t, s]$  with  $\gamma(u) \in G$ . Hence,

$$D(\gamma(t), \gamma(u)) \leq C_2 |t - u|, \quad D(\gamma(u), \gamma(s)) \leq C_2 |u - s|,$$

and using the triangle inequality for  $D$ , we complete the proof of the assertion.  $\blacksquare$

The next lemma shows that any sequence of generalized bicharacteristics has a subsequence that is convergent on a given compact interval.

**Lemma 1.2.6:** *Let  $I = [a, b]$  be a compact interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\Sigma$  and let  $\gamma^{(k)}(t) = (x^{(k)}(t), \xi^{(k)}(t)) : I \longrightarrow K \subset \Sigma$  be a generalized bicharacteristic of  $\square$  for every natural number  $k$ . Then there exists an infinite sequence  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$  of natural numbers and a generalized bicharacteristic  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), \xi(t)) : I \longrightarrow \Sigma$  such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D(\gamma^{(k_m)}(t), \gamma(t)) = 0 \tag{1.14}$$

for all  $t \in I$ .

*Proof:* Using local coordinates, we see that the derivatives of  $(x^{(k)})'(t)$  and  $(\xi^{(k)})'(t)$  and the left and right derivatives of  $x_1^{(k)}(t)$  and  $\xi_1^{(k)}(t)$  are uniformly bounded for  $t \in I$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Hence the maps  $x^{(k)}(t)$ ,  $(\xi^{(k)})'(t)$ ,  $x_1^{(k)}(t)\xi_1^{(k)}(t)$  and  $(\xi_1^{(k)}(t))^2$  are uniformly Lipschitz, which implies that there exists an infinite sequence  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$  of natural numbers such that the sequences  $x^{(k_m)}(t)$ ,  $(\xi^{(k_m)})'(t)$ ,  $(\xi_1^{(k_m)}(t))^2$  and  $x_1^{(k_m)}(t)$ ,  $\xi_1^{(k_m)}(t)$  are uniformly convergent for  $t \in I$ . It now follows from Proposition 24.3.12 in [H3] that there exists a generalized bicharacteristic  $\gamma(t) : I \rightarrow \Sigma$  of  $\square$  such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{(k_m)}(t) = \gamma(t) \quad (1.15)$$

for all  $t \in I$  with  $\gamma(t) \notin H$ .

Let  $t' \in I$  be such that  $\gamma(t')$  is a reflection point of  $\gamma$ . Then there exists a sequence  $t_j \rightarrow t'$  with  $\gamma(t_j) \in \Sigma_0 \cup G$  for all  $j$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} D(\gamma^{(k_m)}(t'), \gamma(t')) \\ \leq D(\gamma^{(k_m)}(t'), \gamma^{(k_m)}(t_j)) + D(\gamma(t_j), \gamma(t')) + D(\gamma^{(k_m)}(t_j), \gamma(t_j)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.2.5, the first two terms in the right-hand side can be estimated uniformly with respect to  $m$ , while for the third term we can use (1.15). Taking  $j$  and  $m$  sufficiently large, we obtain (1.14), which proves the lemma.  $\blacksquare$

In what follows we will use local coordinates  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$  and the corresponding local coordinates  $(t, x; \tau, \xi) \in T^*(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)$ . In these coordinates the principal symbol  $p$  of  $\square$  has the form

$$p(x, \tau, \xi) = \xi_1^2 - q_2(x, \xi') - \tau^2,$$

where  $\xi' = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$  and  $q_2(x, \xi')$  is homogeneous of order 2 in  $\xi'$ . Consequently, the vector fields  $H_p$  and  $H_p^G$  do not involve derivatives with respect to  $\tau$ , so by Definition 1.2.1, the variable  $\tau$  remains constant along each generalized bicharacteristic. This makes it possible to parametrize every generalized bicharacteristic by the time  $t$ .

Given  $(y, \eta) \in T^*(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ , consider the points

$$\mu_{\pm} = (0, y, \mp|\eta|, \eta) \in \Sigma.$$

Assume that locally  $\partial\Omega$  is given by  $x_1 = 0$  and  $\Omega$  by  $x_1 \geq 0$ . Let  $\mu_+$  be a hyperbolic point and let  $\xi_1^{\pm}(y', \eta)$  be the different real roots of the equation

$$p(0, y', |\eta|, z, \eta') = 0$$

with respect to  $z$ . Denote by  $\gamma$  the generalized bicharacteristic parameterized by a parameter  $s$  such that

$$\lim_{s \searrow 0} \gamma(s) = \mu_+.$$

Then  $\tau = -|\eta| < 0$  along  $\gamma$  and the time  $t$  increases when  $s$  increases. Such a bicharacteristic will be called *forward*. For the right derivative of  $x_1(t)$  we get

$$\frac{d^+x_1}{dt} = \frac{d^+x_1/ds}{dt/ds} = \frac{\xi_1(+0)}{-\tau} > 0,$$

since for small  $t > 0$ ,  $\gamma(t)$  enters the interior of  $\Omega$  and  $x_1(t) > 0$ . Therefore, setting

$$\xi_1^\pm(y', \eta) = \pm \sqrt{|\eta|^2 + q_2(0, y', \eta')},$$

we find

$$\lim_{s \searrow 0} \xi_1(s) = \xi_1^+(y', \eta).$$

In the case  $\mu_+ \in G$  it may happen that there exist several forward bicharacteristic passing through  $\mu_+$ . Denote by  $C_+$  the set of those

$$(t, x, y; \tau, \xi, \eta) \in T^*(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \Omega) \setminus \{0\}$$

such that  $\tau = -|\xi| = -|\eta|$  and  $(t, x, \tau, \xi)$  and  $(0, y, \tau, \eta)$  lie on forward generalized bicharacteristics of  $\square$ . In a similar way we define  $C_-$  using a *backward bicharacteristic*, determined as the forward ones replacing  $\mu_+$  by  $\mu_-$ . The set  $C = C_+ \cup C_-$  is called the *bicharacteristic relation* of  $\square$ . If  $\mu = (0, y, \tau, \eta) \in H$  and  $\tau < 0$  (resp.  $\tau > 0$ ), we will say that  $\mu$  is a reflection point of a forward (resp. backward) bicharacteristic. Similarly, if  $\rho = (t, x, \tau, \xi) \in H$ , then  $\rho$  is a reflection point of a generalized bicharacteristic passing through  $(0, y, \tau, \eta)$ , and, working in local coordinates as before, the sign of  $\tau$  determines uniquely  $\xi_1(t+0)$ . The sets  $C_\pm$  and  $C$  are homogeneous with respect to  $(\tau, \xi, \eta)$ , that is  $(t, x, y, \tau, \xi, \eta) \in C_\pm$  implies  $(t, x, y, s\tau, s\xi, s\eta) \in C_\pm$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

**Lemma 1.2.7:** *The sets  $C_\pm$  are closed in  $T^*(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $C_+$  is homogeneous, it is sufficient to show that if

$$C_+ \ni z_k = (t_k, x_k, y_k, -1, \xi_k, \eta_k), \quad |\xi_k| = |\eta_k| = 1$$

for all  $k \geq 1$  and there exists

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = z_0 = (t_0, x_0, y_0, -1, \xi_0, \eta_0),$$

then  $z_0 \in C_+$ . Let  $\gamma^{(k)}(t)$  be a generalized bicharacteristic of  $\square$  such that  $(t_k, x_k, -1, \xi_k)$  and  $(0, y_k, -1, \eta_k)$  lie on  $\text{Im}(\gamma^{(k)})$ . If one of these points belongs to  $H$ , we consider it as a reflection point of  $\gamma^{(k)}$ , according to the above-mentioned convention by suitably choosing  $\xi_1^{(k)}(t)$ . Assume  $|t_k| \leq T$ . Then there exists a compact set  $K \subset \Sigma$  such that  $\gamma^{(k)}(t) \in K$  for all  $|t| \leq T$ , so we can apply the argument in the proof of Lemma 1.2.6. Consequently, there exists an infinite

sequence  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$  of natural numbers and a generalized bicharacteristic  $\gamma$  satisfying (1.14) and (1.15). Then for the Euclidean distance  $d$  we find

$$d(\gamma^{(k_m)}(t_{k_m}), \gamma(t_0)) \leq d(\gamma^{(k_m)}(t_{k_m}), \gamma^{(k_m)}(t_0)) + d(\gamma^{(k_m)}(t_0), \gamma(t_0)).$$

If  $\gamma(t_0) \in \Sigma_0 \cup G$ , according to (1.15) and the continuity of  $x(t)$ ,  $\xi'(t)$  and  $|\xi_1(t)|$ , we get

$$d(\gamma^{(k_m)}(t_{k_m}), \gamma(t_0)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.16)$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , which shows that  $z_0 \in C_+$ . If  $\gamma(t_0) \in H$ , then by our convention,  $\xi_1(t+0)$  and  $\xi_1^{(k_m)}(t+0)$  have the same sign for large  $m$ , which implies  $z_0 \in C_+$ .

Therefore,  $C_+$  is closed. In the same way one proves that  $C_-$  is closed as well.  $\blacksquare$

Using  $C_+$  we now define the so-called *generalized Hamiltonian flow*  $\mathcal{F}_t$  of  $\square$ ; it is sometimes called the *broken Hamiltonian flow*. Given  $(y, \eta) \in T^*\Omega \setminus \{0\}$ , set

$$\mathcal{F}_t(y, \eta) = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*\Omega \setminus \{0\} : (t, x, y, -|\eta|, \xi, \eta) \in C_+\}.$$

In general,  $\mathcal{F}_t(y, \eta)$  is not a one-point set. Nevertheless, setting

$$\mathcal{F}_t(V) = \{\mathcal{F}_t(y, \eta) : (y, \eta) \in V\}$$

for  $V \subset T^*\Omega \setminus \{0\}$ , we have the group property

$$\mathcal{F}_{t+s}(y, \eta) = \mathcal{F}_t(\mathcal{F}_s(y, \eta)).$$

The flow generated by  $C_-$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t(y, -\eta)$ .

Let  $\partial\Omega$  be locally given by  $x_1 = 0$  and let

$$p(x, \tau, \xi) = \xi_1^2 - q_2(x, \xi') - \tau^2$$

be the principal symbol of  $\square$ . A point

$$\sigma = (t, x', \tau, \xi') \in T^*(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$$

is called *hyperbolic* (resp. *glancing*) for  $\square$  if the equation

$$p(0, x', \tau, \xi_1, \xi') = 0 \quad (1.17)$$

with respect to  $\xi_1$  has two different real roots (resp. a double real root). These definitions are invariant with respect to the choice of the local coordinates. If (1.17) has no real roots, then  $\sigma$  is called an *elliptic* point. Clearly, the set of hyperbolic points is open in  $T^*(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ , while that of the glancing points is closed.

Let  $\pi : T^*(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) \longrightarrow \Omega$  be the *natural projection*,  $\pi(t, x, \tau, \xi) = x$ .

**Definition 1.2.8:** A continuous curve  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$  is called a *generalized geodesic* in  $\Omega$  if there exists a generalized bicharacteristic  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \Sigma$  such that

$$g(t) = \pi(\gamma(t)), \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (1.18)$$

Notice that, in general, a generalized geodesic is not uniquely determined by a point on it and the corresponding direction. If the generalized bicharacteristic  $\gamma$  with (1.18) satisfies

$$\gamma(t) \in \Sigma_0 \cup H, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

we will say that  $g$  (or  $\text{Im}(g)$ ) is a *reflecting ray* in  $\Omega$ . Two special kinds of such rays will be studied in detail in Chapter 2. One of them is defined as follows.

**Definition 1.2.9:** A point  $(x, \xi) \in T^*\Omega \setminus \{0\}$  is called *periodic* with *period*  $T \neq 0$  if

$$(T, x, x, \pm|\xi|, \xi, \xi) \in C.$$

A generalized bicharacteristic  $\gamma(t) = (t, x(t), \tau, \xi(t)) \in \Sigma$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , will be called *periodic* with *period*  $T \neq 0$  if for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  the point  $(x(t), \xi(t))$  is periodic with period  $T$ . The projections on  $\Omega$  of the periodic generalized bicharacteristics of  $\square$  are called *periodic generalized geodesics*.

Notice that if  $(T, x, x, -|\xi|, \xi, \xi) \in C_+$ , then  $(T, x, x, |\xi|, -\xi, -\xi) \in C_-$ , since we can change the orientation on the bicharacteristic passing through  $(0, x, -|\xi|, \xi)$ . A uniquely extendible bicharacteristic  $\gamma$  is periodic provided  $\text{Im}(\gamma)$  contains a periodic point. If  $T$  is the period of a generalized geodesic  $g$ , then  $|T|$  coincides with the standard length of the curve  $\text{Im}(g)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$  be the set of all periodic generalized geodesics in  $\Omega$ . For  $g \in \mathcal{L}_\Omega$  we denote by  $T_g$  the length of  $\text{Im}(g)$ . We call *length spectrum* the following set

$$L_\Omega = \{T_g : g \in \mathcal{L}_\Omega\}.$$

**Lemma 1.2.10:** The set  $L_\Omega$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \notin L_\Omega$ .

*Proof:* Consider a convergent sequence  $\{T_k\}$  of elements of  $L_\Omega$  converging to some  $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for every  $k \geq 1$  there exists a generalized bicharacteristic  $\gamma^{(k)}$  of  $\square$  with period  $T_k$  passing through a point of the form  $(0, x_k, -1, \xi_k)$ . If  $T_0 \neq 0$ , choosing a subsequence as in the proof of Lemma 1.2.7, we obtain  $T_0 \in L_\Omega$ .

It remains to show that the case  $T_0 = 0$  is impossible. Assume  $T_0 = 0$ . Passing to an appropriate subsequence, we may assume that there exists  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_k, \xi_k) = (x_0, \xi_0)$  and for every  $t$  there exists

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{(k)}(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (t, x^{(k)}(t), -1, \xi^{(k)}(t)) = \gamma_0(t) = (t, x_0(t), -1, \xi_0(t)),$$

provided  $\gamma_0(t) \notin H$  and  $|t| \leq T$ . If  $x_0$  is in the interior of  $\Omega$ , then  $x_k$  is also in the interior of  $\Omega$  for large  $k$ . Then for such  $k$ ,  $x^{(k)}(t)$  is in the interior of  $\Omega$  for sufficiently small  $t > 0$ , which is a contradiction. If there exists  $t'$  with  $|t'| \leq T$  and  $x_0(t')$  in the interior of  $\Omega$ , then we get a contradiction by the same argument.

It remains to consider the case when  $\gamma_0(t) \in G$  for all  $t \in [-T, T]$ . Then for such  $t$ ,  $\gamma_0(t) = (x_0(t), \xi_0(t))$  is an integral curve of the glancing vector field  $H_p^G$ . Since the latter is not radial,  $\gamma_0(t)$  has no stationary points for  $t \in [-T, T]$ . Given a small neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  in  $\partial\Omega$ , there exist  $\delta_0, \delta_1$  such that  $0 < \delta_0 < \delta_1 \leq T$  and  $x_0(t) \notin U$  for  $\delta_0 \leq |t| \leq \delta_1$ . Since  $x^{(k)}(t) \rightarrow x_0(t)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly for  $|t| \leq T$ , for sufficiently large  $k$  there exists a natural number  $m_k$  with

$$\delta_0 \leq m_k T_k \leq \delta_1, \quad x^{(k)}(T_k) = x^{(k)}(m_k T_k).$$

Then  $x_0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^{(k)}(T_k) \notin U$ , which is a contradiction. This proves that  $T_0 \neq 0$  and this completes the proof of the proposition. ■

### 1.3 Wave front sets of distributions

In this section we collect some basic facts concerning wave fronts of distributions. For more details, we refer the reader to the books of Hörmander [H1], [H3].

Let  $X$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\mathcal{D}'(X)$  be the space of all distributions on  $X$ . The *singular support*  $\text{sing supp}(u)$  of  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$  is a closed subset of  $X$  such that if  $x_0 \notin \text{sing supp}(u)$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  in  $X$  and a smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(U)$  such that

$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle = \int f(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(U).$$

For a more precise analysis of  $\text{sing supp}(u)$ , it is useful to consider the directions  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  along which the *Fourier transform*  $\widehat{\varphi u}(\xi)$  of the distribution  $\varphi u \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$  is not rapidly decreasing, provided  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(X)$  and  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \cap \text{sing supp}(u) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.3.1:** Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$  and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the set of all  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  for which there exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  in  $X$  and an open conic neighbourhood  $V$  of  $\xi_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so that for  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(U)$  and  $\xi \in V$  we have

$$|\widehat{\varphi u}(\xi)| \leq C_m (1 + |\xi|)^{-m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The closed subset

$$WF(u) = (X \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$$

of  $X \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  is called the *wave front set* of  $u$ .

It is easy to see that  $WF(u)$  is a conic subset of  $X \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  with the property

$$\pi(WF(u)) = \text{sing supp}(u),$$

where  $\pi : X \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow X$  is the natural projection.

For our aims in Chapter 3 we will describe the wave front sets of distributions given by oscillatory integrals. Such integrals have the form

$$\int e^{i\varphi(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) d\theta. \quad (1.19)$$

Here the *phase*  $\varphi(x,\theta)$  is a  $C^\infty$  real-valued function, defined for  $(x,\theta) \in \Gamma \subset X \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ , and  $\Gamma$  is an open conic set, i.e.  $(x,\theta) \in \Gamma$  implies  $(x,t\theta) \in \Gamma$  for all  $t > 0$ . We assume that  $\varphi$  has the properties:

$$\varphi(x,t\theta) = t \varphi(x,\theta), \quad (x,\theta) \in \Gamma, t > 0,$$

$$d_{x,\theta} \varphi(x,\theta) \neq 0, \quad (x,\theta) \in \Gamma.$$

The *amplitude*  $a(x,\theta)$  belongs to the class of symbols  $S^m(X \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ , formed by  $C^\infty$  functions on  $X \times \mathbb{R}^N$  such that for each compact  $K \subset X$  and all multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$ , we have

$$|\partial^\alpha \partial^\beta a(x,\theta)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,K} (1 + |\theta|)^{m-|\beta|}, \quad x \in K, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.20)$$

We endow  $S^m(X \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  with the topology defined by the semi-norms

$$p_{\alpha,\beta,j}(a) = \sup_{x \in K_j, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |\theta|)^{-m+|\beta|} |\partial^\alpha \partial^\beta a(x,\theta)|,$$

where  $\{K_j\}$  is an increasing sequence of compact sets with  $\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty K_j = X$ .

Let  $F \subset \Gamma \cup (X \times \{0\})$  be a closed cone and let  $\text{supp}(a) \subset F$ . For  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(X)$  we will now define the integral

$$\int e^{i\varphi(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) \psi(x) dx d\theta$$

to obtain a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ . To do this, we need a regularization, since the integral in  $\theta$  is not convergent for  $m > -N$ .

Choose a function  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $\chi(\theta) = 1$  for  $|\theta| \leq 1$  and  $\chi(\theta) = 0$  for  $|\theta| \geq 2$ . For  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ , the functions  $\chi(\epsilon\theta)$  form a bounded set in  $S^0(X \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then the functions  $a_\epsilon = a(x,\theta)\chi(\epsilon\theta)$  also form a bounded set in  $S^0(X \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  and

$$a_\epsilon \rightarrow a \in S^{m'}(X \times \mathbb{R}^N)$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  for each  $m' > m$ .



Consider the operator

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^N b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} + \chi$$

with

$$a_j = -i(1 - \chi)\kappa^{-1}\varphi_{x_j}, \quad b_j = -i(1 - \chi)\kappa^{-1}|\theta|^2\varphi_{\theta_j},$$

and  $\kappa = |\varphi_x|^2 + |\theta|^2|\varphi_\theta|^2$ . For each compact set  $K \subset X$  we have

$$\kappa(x, \theta) \geq \delta_K |\theta|^2, \quad x \in K, \quad (x, \theta) \in \Gamma,$$

where  $\delta_K > 0$  depends on  $K$  only. Clearly

$$L(e^{i\varphi}) = e^{i\varphi},$$

and the operator  ${}^tL$  formally adjoint to  $L$  has the form

$${}^tL = -\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^N b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} + c$$

with

$$a_j \in S^{-1}(X \times \mathbb{R}^N), \quad b_j \in S^0(X \times \mathbb{R}^N), \quad c \in S^{-1}(X \times \mathbb{R}^N).$$

The operator  ${}^t(L)^k$  is a continuous map of  $S^m$  onto  $S^{m-k}$ . Define the linear map  $I_{\varphi,a} : C_0^\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\varphi,a}(\psi) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \int e^{i\varphi(x,\theta)} a(x, \theta) \chi(\epsilon\theta) \psi(x) \, dx \, d\theta \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \int e^{i\varphi(x,\theta)} ({}^tL)^k [a(x, \theta) \chi(\epsilon\theta) \psi(x)] \, dx \, d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

For  $m - k < -N$  the integral on the right-hand side of (1.21) is absolutely convergent, and it is easy to see that  $I_{\varphi,a}$  becomes a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ . Thus, we obtain the following.

**Proposition 1.3.2:** *Let  $\varphi(x, \theta)$  and  $a(x, \theta)$  be as above. Then the oscillatory integral (1.19) defines a distribution  $I_{\varphi,a}$  given by (1.21).*

We are now going to describe the set  $WF(I_{\varphi,a})$ .

**Theorem 1.3.3:** *We have*

$$WF(I_{\varphi,a}) \subset \{(x, \varphi_x(x, \theta)) : (x, \theta) \in F, \varphi_\theta(x, \theta) = 0\}. \quad (1.22)$$

*Proof:* Let  $f \in C_0^\infty(X)$ . Then the Fourier transform

$$\widehat{fI_{\varphi,a}}(\xi) = \int \int e^{i(\varphi(x,\theta) - \langle x, \xi \rangle)} a(x, \theta) f(x) dx d\theta$$

is expressed by an oscillatory integral. Let  $V$  be a closed cone in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$V \cap \{\varphi_x(x, \theta) : (x, \theta) \in F, x \in \text{supp}(f), \varphi_\theta(x, \theta) = 0\} = \emptyset.$$

By compactness, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\mu = |\xi - \varphi_x(x, \theta)|^2 + |\theta|^2 |\varphi_\theta(x, \theta)|^2 \geq \delta(|\theta| + |\xi|)^2 \quad (1.23)$$

for  $(x, \theta) \in F$ ,  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$  and  $\xi \in V$ . To obtain (1.23) it suffices to observe that if the latter conditions are satisfied, then the left-hand side of (1.23) is positive and then use the homogeneity with respect to  $(\theta, \xi)$ . As above, consider the operator

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^N b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} + \chi$$

with

$$a_j = -\frac{i(1-\chi)}{\mu}(\varphi_{x_j} - \xi_j), \quad b_j = -\frac{i(1-\chi)}{\mu}|\theta|^2 \varphi_{\theta_j}.$$

Then

$$\widehat{fI_{\varphi,a}}(\xi) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \int e^{i(\varphi(x,\theta) - \langle x, \xi \rangle)} ({}^t L)^k [a(x, \theta) \chi(\epsilon \theta) f(x)] dx d\theta,$$

and applying (1.23), we conclude that

$$|\widehat{fI_{\varphi,a}}(\xi)| \leq C_N (1 + |\xi|)^{-N}, \quad \xi \in V.$$

This implies (1.22). ■

For asymptotics of oscillatory integrals depending on a parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we have the following.

**Lemma 1.3.4:** *Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ ,  $f \in C_0^\infty(X)$  and let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(X)$  be a real-valued function. Assume*

$$WF(u) \cap \{(x, \varphi_x) : x \in \text{supp}(f)\} = \emptyset.$$

*Then for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$|\langle u, f(x) e^{i\lambda \varphi(x)} \rangle| \leq C_m (1 + |\lambda|)^{-m}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof:* Choosing a finite partition of unity, we can restrict our attention to the case  $u \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$ . Set

$$\Sigma_f = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} : \exists x \in \text{supp}(f) \text{ with } (x, \xi) \in WF(u)\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, f(x)e^{i\lambda\varphi(x)} \rangle &= (2\pi)^{-n} \iint e^{i(\langle x, \xi \rangle - \lambda\varphi(x))} f(x) \hat{u}(\xi) \, dx \, d\xi \\ &= \int_X \int_W + \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus W} = I_1(\lambda) + I_2(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $W$  is a closed conic set such that  $\Sigma_f \subset W$ ,

$$W \cap \{\varphi_x(x) : x \in \text{supp}(f)\} = \emptyset,$$

and  $I_1(\lambda)$  is interpreted as an oscillatory integral. For  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$  and  $\xi \in W$  we have

$$|\xi - \lambda\varphi_x(x)| \geq \delta(|\xi| + |\lambda|), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

with  $\delta > 0$ . Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.3.3, we see that  $I_1(\lambda) = O(|\lambda|^{-m})$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $I_2(\lambda)$  we use the fact that if  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus W$  and  $\text{supp}(u) \cap \text{supp}(f) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\hat{u}(\xi)$  is rapidly decreasing. This proves the assertion.  $\blacksquare$

Now let  $\Gamma \subset X \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  be a closed conic set. Set

$$\mathcal{D}'_\Gamma(X) = \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(X) : WF(u) \subset \Gamma\}.$$

Using an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 1.3.4, it is easy to see that  $u \in \mathcal{D}'_\Gamma(X)$  if and only if for each  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(X)$  and each closed cone  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with

$$(\text{supp}(\varphi) \times V) \cap \Gamma = \emptyset \tag{1.24}$$

we have

$$\sup_{\xi \in V} |\xi|^m |\widehat{\varphi u}(\xi)| < \infty, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This makes it possible to introduce the following.

**Definition 1.3.5:** Let  $\{u_j\}_j \subset \mathcal{D}'_\Gamma(X)$  and let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'_\Gamma(X)$ . We will say that the sequence  $\{u_j\}$  converges to  $u$  in  $\mathcal{D}'_\Gamma(X)$  if:

- (a)  $u_j \rightarrow u$  weakly in  $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ ,
- (b)  $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\xi \in V} |\xi|^m |\widehat{\varphi u_j}(\xi)| < \infty$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , every  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(X)$  and every closed cone  $V$  satisfying (1.24).

For every  $u \in \mathcal{D}'_\gamma(X)$  there exists a sequence  $\{u_j\} \subset C_0^\infty(X)$  converging to  $u$  in  $\mathcal{D}'_\gamma(X)$ . To prove this, consider two sequences  $\chi_j, \varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(X)$  such that  $\chi_j = 1$  on  $K_j$ ,  $\varphi_j \geq 0$ ,  $\int \varphi_j(x) dx = 1$  and  $\text{supp } (\chi_j) + \text{supp } (\varphi_j) \subset X$ . Then

$$u_j = \varphi_j * \chi_j u \in C_0^\infty(X)$$

and  $u_j \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ . Moreover, the condition (b) also holds, so  $u_j \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathcal{D}'_\Gamma(X)$ .

For our aims in Chapter 3 we need to justify some operations on distributions (see [HI] for more details). For convenience of the reader we list these properties, including only one proof of these – namely that of the existence of the pull-back  $f^*$ . We use the notation from [HI].

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be open sets and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth map. Consider a closed cone  $\Gamma \subset Y \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and set

$$\begin{aligned} N_f &= \{(f(x), \eta) \in Y \times \mathbb{R}^n : {}^t f'(x)\eta = 0\}, \\ f^*(\Gamma) &= \{(x, {}^t f'(x)\eta) : (f(x), \eta) \in \Gamma\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $u \in C_0^\infty(Y)$ , consider the map

$$(f^*u)(x) = u(f(x)).$$

**Theorem 1.3.6:** *Let  $N_f \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ . Then the map  $f^*u$  can be extended uniquely on the space  $\mathcal{D}'_\Gamma(Y)$  such that*

$$WF(f^*u) \subset f^*\Gamma. \quad (1.25)$$

*Proof:* Using a partition of unity, we may consider only the case when  $X$  and  $Y$  are small open neighbourhoods of  $x_0 \in X$  and  $y_0 \in Y$ , respectively. Set

$$\Gamma_y = \{\eta : (y, \eta) \in \Gamma\}.$$

Choose a small compact neighbourhood  $X_0$  of  $x_0$  and a closed conic neighbourhood  $V$  of  $\Gamma_{y_0}$  so that

$${}^t f'(x)\eta \neq 0 \text{ for } x \in X_0, \eta \in V.$$

Next, choose a small compact neighbourhood  $Y_0$  of  $y_0$  with  $\Gamma_y \subset V$  for all  $y \in Y_0$ .

Now let  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(X_0)$  and let  $\{u_j\}_j \subset C_0^\infty(Y)$  be a sequence such that  $u_j \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathcal{D}'_\Gamma(Y)$ . Choosing  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(Y_0)$  with  $\varphi = 1$  on  $f(X_0)$ , we have

$$\langle f^*u_j, \chi \rangle = \langle f^*(\varphi u_j), \chi \rangle = (2\pi)^{-m} \int \widehat{\varphi u_j}(\eta) I_\chi d\eta = \int_V + \int_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus V} = I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_\chi(\eta) = \int e^{i\langle f(x), \eta \rangle} \chi(x) dx.$$

For  $x \in \text{supp } (\chi)$  and  $\eta \in V$  we obtain

$$|\nabla_x \langle f(x), \eta \rangle| \geq \delta |\eta|, \quad \delta > 0.$$

Using the operator

$$L = \frac{-\mathbf{i}}{|\nabla_x \langle f(x), \eta \rangle|^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (\langle f(x), \eta \rangle) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j},$$

we integrate by parts in  $I_\chi(\eta)$  and get

$$|I_\chi(\eta)| \leq C_p(1 + |\eta|)^{-p}, \quad \eta \in V,$$

for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$|\widehat{\varphi u_j}(\eta)| \leq C(1 + |\eta|)^{-M}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus,  $I_1$  is absolutely convergent, and we can consider the limit as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . To deal with  $I_2$ , notice that  $(\text{supp } \varphi \setminus V) \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ . For  $\eta \notin V$ , (b) yields the estimates

$$|\widehat{\varphi u_j}(\eta)| \leq C'_p(1 + |\eta|)^{-p}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1.26)$$

uniformly with respect to  $j$ . Thus, we can let  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in  $I_2$ .

To establish (1.25), replace  $\chi(x)$  by  $\chi(x)e^{-\mathbf{i}\langle x, \xi \rangle}$  and write

$$I_\chi(\eta, \epsilon) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{\mathbf{i}\langle f(x), \eta \rangle - \mathbf{i}\langle x, \xi \rangle} \chi(x) dx.$$

Choose a small open conic neighbourhood  $W$  of the set

$$\{\xi = {}^t f'(x_0)\eta : (f(x_0), \eta) \in \Gamma\}$$

so that  $x \in X_0$  and  $\eta \in V$  imply  ${}^t f'(x)\eta \in W$ . As above, for  $x \in X_0$ ,  $\eta \in V$  and  $\xi \notin W$  we deduce the estimate

$$|\xi - {}^t f'(x)\eta| \geq \delta(|\xi| + |\eta|), \quad \delta > 0.$$

For such  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  we integrate by parts in  $I_\chi(\eta, \epsilon)$  and obtain

$$|I_\chi(\eta, \epsilon)| \leq C''_p(1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{-p}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For  $\eta \notin V$ ,  $\xi \notin W$  we choose a function  $\psi(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\psi(\xi) = 1$  for  $|\xi| \leq 1$ , and consider the operator

$$L = -\mathbf{i}(1 - \psi(\xi))|\xi|^{-2} \left\langle \xi, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right\rangle + \psi(x).$$

Then  $L(e^{\mathbf{i}\langle x, \xi \rangle}) = e^{\mathbf{i}\langle x, \xi \rangle}$ , and, as in the previous case, for  $\eta \notin V$  and  $\xi \notin W$ , we get the estimates

$$|I_\chi(\eta, \epsilon)| \leq C_p(1 + |\eta|)^p(1 + |\xi|)^{-p}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Combining these estimates with (1.26), we obtain

$$|\chi(\widehat{f^* u_j})(\xi)| \leq C_N(1 + |\xi|)^{-N}$$

for  $\xi \notin W$ , where the constant  $C_N$  does not depend on  $j$ . Letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$  proves (1.25). ■

By an easy modification of the above-mentioned argument, one proves the following modification of Theorem 1.3.6 for distributions depending on a parameter.

**Corollary 1.3.7:** *Let  $Z$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and let*

$$Z \ni z \mapsto (u, \cdot, z) \in \mathcal{D}'_\Gamma(Y)$$

*be a continuous map. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3.6, the map*

$$Z \ni z \mapsto f^*(u, \cdot, z) \in \mathcal{D}'_{f^*\Gamma}(X)$$

*is continuous.*

Next, consider a linear continuous map

$$\mathcal{K} : C_0^\infty(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X).$$

By Schwartz's theorem (cf. Theorem 5.2.1 in [HI]), there exists a distribution  $K \in \mathcal{D}'(X \times Y)$ , called the *kernel* of  $\mathcal{K}$ , such that

$$\langle K, \varphi(x) \otimes \psi(y) \rangle = \langle (\mathcal{K}\psi)(x), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(X)$  and  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(Y)$ .  $WF(K)$  will be called the *wave front set* of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Set

$$WF'(K) = \{(x, y, \xi, \eta) : (x, y, \xi, -\eta) \in WF(K)\},$$

$$WF(K)_X = \{(x, \xi) : (x, y, \xi, 0) \in WF(K) \text{ for some } y \in Y\},$$

$$WF'(K)_Y = \{(y, \eta) : (x, y, 0, \eta) \in WF'(K) \text{ for some } x \in X\},$$

and consider the composition

$$WF'(K) \circ WF(u) = \{(x, \xi) : \exists (y, \eta) \in WF(u) \text{ with } (x, y, \xi, \eta) \in WF'(K)\}.$$

The following two results will also be necessary for Chapter 3. Their proofs can be found in Section 8.2 of [HI].

**Theorem 1.3.8:** *For  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(Y)$  we have*

$$WF(\mathcal{K}\psi) \subset \{(x, \xi) : (x, y, \xi, 0) \in WF(K) \text{ for some } y \in \text{supp}(\psi)\}.$$

**Theorem 1.3.9:** *There exists a unique extension of  $\mathcal{K}$  on the set*

$$\{u \in \mathcal{E}'(Y) : WF(u) \cap WF'(K)_Y = \emptyset\}$$

such that for each compact  $M \subset Y$  and each closed conic set  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \cap WF'(K)_Y = \emptyset$  the map

$$\mathcal{E}'(M) \cap \mathcal{D}'_\Gamma(Y) \ni u \mapsto \mathcal{K}u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$$

is continuous. Moreover, the inclusion

$$WF(\mathcal{K}u) \subset WF(K)_X \cup WF'(K) \circ WF(u)$$

holds.

The wave front of  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$  can be described by means of the characteristic set of pseudo-differential operators on  $X$ . Denote by  $L^m(X)$  the class of all pseudo-differential operators (PDO) in  $X$  of order  $m$ . If  $x(x, \xi) \in S^m(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  is the symbol of  $A \in L^m(X)$ , then the oscillatory integral

$$K_A(x, \eta) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i(x-y, \xi)} a(x, \xi) d\xi$$

determines the kernel of  $A$  and  $WF(A) = WF(K_A)$ . The operator  $A \in L^m(X)$  is called *properly supported* if for each compact  $K \subset X$  there exists another compact  $K' \subset X$  so that  $\text{supp}(u) \subset K$  implies  $\text{supp}(Au) \subset K'$ , and if  $u = 0$  on  $K'$ , then  $Au = 0$  on  $K$ . A point  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*X \setminus \{0\}$  is called *non-characteristic* for a properly supported PDO  $A \in L^m(X)$  if there exists a properly supported PDO  $B \in L^{-m}(X)$  so that

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(AB - Id) \cup WF(BA - Id).$$

In this case  $A$  is called *elliptic* at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$ .

**Proposition 1.3.10:** *If there exists a properly supported PDO  $A \in L^m(X)$ , elliptic at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$ , such that  $Au \in C^\infty(X)$ , then  $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(u)$ .*

The reader may consult Section 18 in [H1] for the main properties of PDOs and for a proof of the above-mentioned proposition.

## 1.4 Boundary problems for the wave operator

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  with  $C^\infty$  smooth compact boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - \Delta_x)u = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega^\circ, \\ u = u_0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega, \\ u|_{t < t_0} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.27)$$

Here the trace  $u|_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega}$  exists, since the boundary  $\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega$  is not characteristic for the operator  $\square = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_x$ . For the existence of a solution of (1.27) we refer to

[H3], Section 24. In particular, we have the following result proved in [H3], Theorem 24.1.1.

**Theorem 1.4.1:** *Let  $f \in H_s^{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega^\circ)$ ,  $u_0 \in H_{s+1}^{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$  with  $s \geq 0$ . Assume that  $f$  and  $u_0$  vanish for  $t < t_0$ . Then there exists a unique solution  $u \in H_{s+1}^{loc}(\Omega^\circ)$  of (1.27).*

We may apply the above theorem when  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , as well as in the case when  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{K}$ ,  $K$  being a bounded non-empty open obstacle with smooth boundary.

To study the singularities of the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - \Delta_x)u = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega^\circ, \\ u = u_0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.28)$$

we need to introduce the wave front set  $WF_b(u)$ . Let  $Q = \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ . Consider the space  $\tilde{T}^*(Q) = T^*(Q^\circ) \cup T^*(\partial Q)$  of equivalence classes in  $T^*Q$  with respect to the equivalence relation  $\sim$  defined in Section 1.2. It will be called the *compressed cotangent bundle* of  $Q$ . For the solution  $u$  of (1.27) we can define the *generalized wave front set*  $WF_b(u) \subset \tilde{T}^*Q \setminus \{0\}$  in such a way that

$$WF_b(u)|_{T^*(Q^\circ)} = WF(u|_{Q^\circ}),$$

and

$$WF_b(u)|_{T^*(\partial Q)} \subset \Sigma_b.$$

(See Section 1.2 for the definition of  $\Sigma_b$ .) For this purpose, as in Section 1.2, introduce local coordinates  $(x_1, x')$ ,  $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})$ ,  $x_{n+1} = t$  in  $Q$  so that  $\partial Q$  is locally given by  $x_1 = 0$ . Let  $(\xi_1, \xi')$  be the dual coordinates to  $(x_1, x')$ .

Now define  $WF_b(u)|_{T^*(\partial Q)}$  as the subset of  $T^*(\partial Q) \setminus \{0\}$ , the complement of which consists of all  $(x'_0, \xi'_0) \in T^*(\partial Q) \setminus \{0\}$  such that there exists a PDO  $B(x, D')$ , depending smoothly on  $x_1$ , elliptic at  $(0, x_0, \xi'_0)$ , and such that  $B(x, D_{x'})u \in C^\infty(Q)$ . This definition does not depend on the choice of the local coordinates.

In  $Q^\circ$  the set  $WF(u) \setminus WF(f)$  is contained in the characteristic set  $\Sigma$  and it is propagating along the bicharacteristics of  $\square$  which are rays. For simplicity assume that  $f \in C^\infty(Q^\circ)$ . The singularities of the solution  $u|_Q$  of (1.28) can be described by means of  $WF_b(u)$ . The simplest case is when  $(0, x', \xi') \in H$  is a hyperbolic point. Then if  $(0, x', \xi') \in (WF_b(u) \cap H) \setminus WF(u_0)$ , the outgoing and incoming bicharacteristics issued from this point are included in  $WF_b(u)$  over a small neighbourhood of  $(0, x'_0, \xi'_0)$ . If  $(0, x'_0, \xi'_0) \in G$  is a gliding point, the situation is more complicated and we must consider the generalized compressed bicharacteristics of  $\square$  issued from this point. The following result was proved by Melrose and Sjöstrand [MS2] (see also Section 24 and Theorem 24.5.3 in [H3]).



**Theorem 1.4.2:** *Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(Q)$  be a solution of problem (1.28) with  $f \in C^\infty(Q)$  and  $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}'(\partial\Omega)$  and let*

$$\hat{z} \in (WF_b(u) \setminus WF(u_0)) \cap \{(x, \xi) \in \tilde{T}^*Q : x_{n+1} = t > t_0\}.$$

*Then  $\hat{z}$  is either a characteristic point in  $\Sigma_0$  or a point in  $T^*(\partial\Omega) \cap \Sigma = H \cup G$ , and there exists a maximal compressed generalized bicharacteristics  $\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma) = (x(\sigma), \xi(\sigma))$  of  $\square$ , passing through  $\hat{z}$  and staying in  $WF_b(u)$  as long as  $t(\sigma) = x_{n+1}(\sigma) > t_0$ .*

One can also describe the singularities of a boundary problem with non-homogeneous boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - \Delta_x)u = f \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega^\circ, \\ u = g \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.29)$$

with  $f = 0, g = 0$  for  $t < t_0$ . In this situation we have the following result established in [MS2] (see Theorem 6.14).

**Theorem 1.4.3:** *Let  $u$  be a solution of (1.29) and let  $f \in C^\infty$ . Then  $WF_b(u)$  is a complete union of the generalized half-bicharacteristics issued from  $WF(g)$ .*

Here half-bicharacteristics means that we consider these bicharacteristics  $\gamma$  for which the time increases when we move along  $\gamma$ .

The same results hold for the boundary problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - \Delta_x)u = f \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega^\circ, \\ (\partial_\nu + \alpha(x))u = u_0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.30)$$

where  $\partial_\nu$  is the derivative with respect to a normal field of  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\alpha(x)$  is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $\partial\Omega$ . For  $\alpha(x) = 0$  we have the Neumann problem, while for  $\alpha(x) \neq 0$  we obtain the Robin problem.

## 1.5 Notes

The results in Section 1.1 can be found with detailed proofs in [GG] and [Hir]. In Section 1.2 we follow [MS1], [MS2] and [H3]. Lemma 1.2.5 is proved in [MS1], while Lemmas 1.2.6, 1.2.7 and 1.2.10 can be found in [H3]. The results in Section 1.3 concerning wave front sets of distributions and operators are due to Hörmander [H1], [H3]. The definition of generalized wave front set  $WF_b(u)$  was introduced by Melrose and Sjöstrand [MS1]. Theorem 1.3.11 was established in [MS1], [MS2]. We refer the reader to Section 24 in [H3] for more details concerning the generalized bicharacteristics and the propagation of singularities for the Dirichlet problem.