

Spinoza's Life

PIET STEENBAKKERS

Apart from his works Spinoza did not leave many traces. Though certainly not a recluse, he led an inconspicuous life. Some periods in it are hardly documented, so that any biography of the philosopher must to some extent be lacunary. The following account of his life is as coherent as the historical material and the format of this *Companion* permit. This chapter is an extract from a substantially longer, footnoted version that will appear in Garrett (2021), to which I refer for corroboration of the details presented here. My work on Spinoza's biography has profited greatly from a standing collaboration with Jeroen van de Ven, who is preparing a detailed chronicle of the philosopher's life.

1. Family

Spinoza was born in Amsterdam in 1632. He died in The Hague in 1677. As far as we know he never left the Dutch Republic. His mother was born in Amsterdam, but his father and his grandparents on both sides were from Portugal. From the end of the sixteenth century onwards, many Sephardic Jews came to Amsterdam to escape from the persecution they suffered in Spain and Portugal. Medieval Iberia (*Sepharad* in Hebrew) had been ruled by Muslims for a very long time, and though it was not free from oppression, it had allowed Jews to profess their religion. After nearly nine centuries, however, the situation changed dramatically: in 1492 Ferdinand II of Aragon and Isabella I of Castile (known as *los Reyes Católicos*) conquered Spain, and immediately expelled the Jews. Most of them went to Portugal, but in 1497 the Portuguese king Manuel I married the daughter of the Spanish 'Catholic Monarchs.' On their insistence, he forced all Jews to convert to Christianity. Those who continued to practice Judaism were, however, not actively persecuted until half a century later. Then many *conversos* (or 'New Christians'), who were indiscriminately suspected of Judaizing in secret, fled Portugal to escape the Portuguese Inquisition. In 1580 Spain and Portugal were politically united under Philip II of Spain, and in the decades that followed many Jews sought refuge abroad, often in seaports – so as to stay in touch with their network of overseas merchants. Thus they came to French harbor towns (Bordeaux, Nantes, Rouen) and to Antwerp and Amsterdam in the Low

Countries. Many Sephardi immigrants settled on Vlooienburg, an embankment in the river Amstel created in 1593 as part of the urban expansion of Amsterdam.

Michael de Spinoza, the philosopher's father, was born in 1587 or 1588 in Vidigueira, Portugal. In 1605 his parents, Pedro Rodrigues Espinosa and Mor Alvares, fled to Nantes with their three children. Michael moved to Amsterdam in the early 1620s. Around 1623 he married Rachel de Spinoza, a first cousin. They had two children, both stillborn. Rachel died in 1627. Michael then married Hana Deborah Senior, with whom he had five children: Miriam, Isaac, Bento (or Baruch), Gabriel, and Rebecca. Michael and Hana Deborah named their third child Baruch, after his maternal grandfather (who officially received that name only when he was circumcised after his death in 1647). As a child he was called Bento, the Portuguese translation of Baruch ('blessed'). The philosopher himself seems not to have used the Hebrew version of his name: he signed legal documents as 'Bento,' letters as 'Benedictus,' or just the initial 'B.' Just before Bento turned six, on 5 November 1638, his mother died. Michael's third and last marriage, with Hester de Spinoza, remained childless.

Spinoza's family lived on the edge of Vlooienburg. The house in which Bento was born and raised, a handsome merchant's residence on the north quay of the Houtgracht, close to the old Amsterdam synagogue, was pulled down in the nineteenth century. On its premises the *Mozes en Aäronkerk* was built. The former island of Vlooienburg has become a square, the *Waterlooplein*. Michael de Spinoza and his family stayed in the same house for decades, so Bento lived there from his birth on 24 November 1632 up to at least 1656, when he was expelled from the Portuguese-Jewish community of Amsterdam.

2. The Amsterdam Years (1632–ca. 1660)

As a child Spinoza attended 'Ets Haim', a nearby *cheder* (elementary school). He received a solid Jewish education, though he did not attend the school's highest forms. He was never trained to become a rabbi, but joined his father's trading firm in his early teens. Michael de Spinoza was a respected and active member of the Jewish community in Amsterdam. He imported and exported commodities such as raisins, almonds, wine, and olive oil. Bento's stepmother Hester died in 1652, and his father Michael in 1654. Isaac had died in 1649, Miriam in 1651, and Rebecca moved out in 1650, so after 1654 the two brothers Gabriel and Bento were the only remaining family members still living in the parental home on the Houtgracht. They took over their father's firm, but it soon became clear that it was weighed down with debts as a result of severe losses in the years 1651–1653, owing to piracy and war. In order to escape bankruptcy, Bento, then 23 years of age, had himself declared a minor under Dutch law and placed under tutelage on 16 March 1656. By this maneuver he was released from the insolvent estate. Apparently Gabriel managed to continue the company on his own until October 1664; he then granted power of attorney to the merchant brothers Moses and David Juda Lion, and set off to Barbados.

On 27 July 1656, just a few months after Spinoza's spectacular legal escape from the family business, he was ritually expelled from the Amsterdam Jewish community, with a formal ban (*herem*) pronounced in the synagogue of the Talmud Tora congregation. The exact reasons for the ban are not specified in the archival record we have of it – presumably a summary (in Portuguese) of a lost official text in Hebrew. It states that the synagogue's board of governors (the *Mahamad*) expelled 'Baruch espinoza' because of his evil opinions and activities, and of the horrible heresies he had practiced and taught, as well as the

monstrous acts he had committed. As far as we know, Spinoza had not yet published anything at the time when the *herem* was promulgated. Yet the wording of its record indicates that teaching heretical ideas was among the abominations he was accused of. To all appearances, Spinoza's philosophy was already gestating in the middle of the 1650s, in some form or another. As the earliest letters show, he had acquired a reputation as a redoubtable philosopher by 1661. He obviously flourished in the heterodox circles in which he moved in the latter half of the 1650s. Unfortunately, this formative period in Spinoza's life is very poorly documented. That his philosophical views had something to do with the heresies imputed to him is also asserted in testimonies of two Spanish travelers who had associated with Spinoza in Amsterdam in 1658–1659. Tomás Solano, an Augustinian monk from Tunja (in Colombia, then part of the Spanish empire) and Captain Miguel Pérez de Maltranilla were part of a group that frequently gathered in the residence of Joseph Guerra, a nobleman from the Canary Islands, who was in Amsterdam to be cured of leprosy. Spinoza and another excommunicated Jew, Juan de Prado, often attended these gatherings. In August 1659, Solano and Pérez de Maltranilla were interrogated by the Spanish Inquisition in Madrid, primarily about a Spanish actor who had converted to Judaism in Amsterdam. They also told the Inquisition about their meetings with Spinoza and Prado; according to them these men had been expelled from the Jewish community because of their rejection of Jewish law. Solano in addition mentioned their views that the soul is mortal and that God exists only philosophically.

It would have been possible for Spinoza to be readmitted to the community, if he had made amends. That was a price he did not want to pay. Spinoza accepted the *herem* as a fact: for him, the break with Judaism was definitive. He never joined another religious denomination either. There are some indications that he reacted to the ban with a written statement, a vindication of his dissent from Judaism. If that is true, it is tempting to assume that part of it may have found its way into his works, particularly the *Theological-Political Treatise*.

The five years after Spinoza's excommunication from the synagogue are shrouded in haze. All contacts with relatives (including his brother and business partner Gabriel) and Jewish acquaintances were severed. It is unlikely that he could have continued to live in the parental home on the Houtgracht with Gabriel. Just what he did in Amsterdam after 1656 and where he lived is a mystery. We know that he associated with freethinking Christians and apostate Jews. He had already befriended Jarig Jelles, Pieter Balling, and Simon Joosten de Vries – Mennonite merchants he had met while still in business. He became acquainted with his future publisher Jan Rieuwerts, and with Jan Hendriksz Glazemaker, the professional translator who was to translate most of Spinoza's works. At the age of 25, in 1657–1658, Spinoza attended the private Latin school run by the former Jesuit Franciscus van den Enden. The story that he fell in love with the teacher's daughter Clara Maria (then 15 years old) has been eagerly exploited in biographical accounts and (more appropriately) in works of art and fiction about the philosopher, but it has an air of romanticized hearsay about it.

In the period between 1656 and 1661, Spinoza was setting out on a new course. One gets the impression that he left Vlooiendijk after the *herem* and found temporary accommodation with various friends. Thus, he may have lived as a boarder in Van den Enden's school. His talents burgeoned. By the time he moved to Rijnsburg, Spinoza had gained renown as a philosopher, had mastered the art of grinding lenses, and was proficient in Latin, the international language of scholarly and scientific communication. The genesis of his early works, the *Treatise of the Emendation of the Intellect* and the *Short Treatise*

of *God, Man and his Well-Being*, can be dated from the years before 1662. If the *Treatise of the Emendation of the Intellect* is indeed, as present-day scholarship is inclined to assume, the earliest of his extant works, it is likely to have been written during his last years in Amsterdam. Throughout his life, he entertained thoughts of revising and finishing it, but eventually he never updated the manuscript. When his friends decided to publish it as part of his posthumous works in 1677, they revised and polished the unsophisticated or perhaps even awkward Latin in which this early text was written.

In the remaining years in or around Amsterdam, Spinoza moved in various circles, with the common denominator that they were heterodox and tolerant. Quite a few of the people he associated with in the latter half of the 1650s stayed in touch with him and remained loyal friends. When Simon de Vries died in 1667, he remembered Spinoza in his will, leaving him a yearly pension of 250 guilders. Many of his old friends were actively involved in getting Spinoza's works published: Lodewijk Meyer oversaw the publication of his *Principia philosophiae & Cogitata metaphysica* in 1663, Pieter Balling supplied a Dutch translation in 1664, and Johannes Bouwmeester and Hendrick van Bronckhorst contributed dedicatory poems. Jan Rieuwertsz published all of Spinoza's works, both in Latin and in Dutch. Jan Hendriksz Glazemaker translated the remainder of the Latin texts. In 1677 Jarig Jelles, Bouwmeester, Meyer, and Rieuwertsz took care of Spinoza's philosophical legacy.

Colerus reports that Spinoza did not move directly from Amsterdam to Rijnsburg, but that he first learned how to grind lenses and then moved in with someone who lived outside town, on the road to Ouderkerk. Another early source, Monnikhoff, adds that Spinoza moved to Rijnsburg together with that same person. There is no further evidence to support this information. A persistent legend, relayed by the anonymous (and entirely unreliable) pamphlet *La Vie et l'esprit de Monsieur Benoit de Spinosa*, has it that Spinoza was banished from the city of Amsterdam by its magistrates, at the instigation of the spiteful rabbi Saul Levi Morteira. That story is certainly fictitious. Spinoza had nothing to fear from the city magistrates. Indeed, he returned there several times without any trouble. Another possible reason why Spinoza left Amsterdam is given by Pierre Bayle: allegedly Spinoza was attacked by someone with a knife. If, when, and why this attack took place remains in the dark. Spinoza's friend Jarig Jelles does not refer to it when mentioning his move in the preface to *De nagelate schriften*: "To get rid of all the worldly worries and troubles that commonly hinder the search for truth, and in order to be the less disturbed by all his friends, he left the city where he was born, Amsterdam, and took up residence first in Rijnsburg."

3. Spinoza in Rijnsburg (ca. 1660/61–April 1663)

Why Spinoza chose Rijnsburg, then the center of the Collegiant movement, is a matter of speculation. There are no indications that he himself was actively involved in the meetings ('colleges') of that informal latitudinarian current in Dutch Protestantism, which attracted Arminians, Mennonites, and Socinians. But several of his friends were Collegiants, so that may have played a part. An asset of the village was also that it was within walking distance of the university town of Leiden. Spinoza was in touch with students and professors of the university and he may well have attended lectures there, though concrete evidence that he did so is lacking.

When exactly Spinoza left Amsterdam and settled in Rijnsburg is unknown. One traveler's report of 17 May 1661 mentions atheists in Amsterdam, among them "an impudent Jew"; quite likely a reference to Spinoza. At any rate he had moved to Rijnsburg by July

1661, for in the first extant letter to Spinoza (Ep. 1, 26 August 1661) Henry Oldenburg refers to the visit he had paid him there. Travelers who came to Rijnsburg in September 1661 also mention him as a local celebrity. Spinoza rented a room in a cottage that had been built between 1656 and 1660 by his landlord, the surgeon Herman Homan.

Though he lived in Rijnsburg for just two years, this was a very productive period for Spinoza, in which he laid a firm foundation for his philosophical system. The first exposition of it was the *Short Treatise of God, Man and his Well-Being*. He wrote the *Short Treatise* in Latin, but the work has survived in a contemporary Dutch translation, which was only discovered in the 1850s. From its contents we can infer that the *Short Treatise* was initially intended as an outline of his thought for a small circle of friends. He never finished it. While Spinoza was in the process of revising it, in 1661–1662, he decided to start anew, this time rearranging the material in ‘geometrical order’: as a tight framework of definitions, axioms, propositions, demonstrations, and scholia. Because the argument is gradually put together, as it proceeds from elementary definitions to a highly complex concatenation of proofs, this type of presentation was traditionally called ‘synthesis.’ The model was Euclid’s *Elements*, the classic geometry textbook. In the Rijnsburg years, Spinoza was experimenting with the synthetic form as a philosophical tool: he employed it in three texts, the (lost) enclosure to letter 6 (April 1662), the first appendix to the *Short Treatise*, and, more audaciously, his didactic précis of *Descartes’s Principles of Philosophy, Parts I and II* (written in the winter of 1662–1663). Between May 1662 and January 1663 Spinoza embarked on what was to become the pinnacle of the genre: the *Ethics*. It took him 12 years to complete this unparalleled project. In February 1663, Simon Joosten de Vries wrote Spinoza a letter in which he describes the regular meetings of a group (*collegium*) of friends in Amsterdam to discuss a work by Spinoza. The references and quotations both in De Vries’s letter and in Spinoza’s reply leave no doubt as to what the friends had at their disposal: an early installment of the *Ethics*, consisting of definitions, axioms, at least 19 propositions, and several scholia.

Another lodger in Homan’s house in Rijnsburg, at least for a while, was Johannes Casearius, a student of divinity. Spinoza gave him a private course on part II of Descartes’s *Principia philosophiae*, writing a synthetic (‘geometric’) rundown of the text for the occasion.

4. Spinoza in Voorburg (April 1663–Winter 1669/70)

In April 1663 Spinoza moved to Voorburg, a village near The Hague. He rented rooms in the house of a painter, Daniel Tydeman, in the Kerklaan (now called Kerkstraat). During a visit to Amsterdam he showed his friends the partial adaptation of Descartes’s *Principles* he had written for Casearius, with an additional set of remarks on metaphysics (*Metaphysical Thoughts*). They implored him to expand this material for publication. He did so, drawing on the *Principia* and on several other Cartesian texts. The result was edited by Lodewijk Meyer, who touched up Spinoza’s Latin and supplied a preface. At the philosopher’s own request, Meyer emphasized that the book presented Descartes’s views, not Spinoza’s. The book came out in Amsterdam in 1663, a Dutch translation (by Pieter Balling) followed in 1664.

Spinoza was well aware that his philosophical project would meet with formidable opposition from zealots. In fact, he had already acquired some notoriety in Voorburg. When his landlord Tydeman became involved in a quarrel in the local Reformed Church, the alleged atheism of his lodger was held against him. The public church was a political factor to reckon

with, and its power was supported by what Spinoza saw as an idolatrous interpretation of the Bible. Thus the authority of God's Word became a pivotal political issue. Rumor had it that Spinoza was the author of a notorious book that came out in 1666, *Philosophy the Interpreter of Scripture* (*Philosophia S. Scripturae Interpres*), but it is certain that he did not write it. It did, however, originate in the circle of Spinoza's friends: early on, Meyer had been identified as its author, and it is possible that Johannes Bouwmeester had a hand in it, too. Yet Spinoza's own view of the relationship between philosophy and Scripture, as developed in the *Theological-Political Treatise*, is markedly different from the argument set forth in the *Interpres*.

Spinoza lived in Voorburg for six years. Initially he continued working on the *Ethics*, but between the summer of 1665 and the end of 1669 he was immersed in the composition of his second masterpiece, the *Theological-Political Treatise*. It seems that work on the *Ethics* was temporarily suspended. There was much at stake. In the deteriorating political climate in the Netherlands, it would be difficult for Spinoza to publish his *Ethics*. Writing the *Theological-Political Treatise* became a priority: with this passionate plea for the freedom to philosophize, he took a stand in contemporary debates on religion, philosophy, and politics. He summarized his motives for doing so in a letter to Oldenburg: (1) exposing and repudiating the prejudices of the theologians, (2) rebutting the accusation of atheism, and (3) defending the freedom to philosophize and to say what we think, against the aggression of the preachers (Ep. 30, around 1 October 1665).

The letters Spinoza wrote when he lived in Voorburg testify to the broad range of his interests and activities. Several are related to his work on the *Ethics*. Thus letter 28 (June 1665, to a close friend, possibly Bouwmeester) reveals that by then he had advanced 'up to proposition 80 of part III.' This means that he must have split up the third part later, for in its final shape it has no more than 59 propositions. His exchange with the Amsterdam burghmaster Johannes Hudde (Ep. 34–36) is about God as substance, echoing propositions 8–14 of *Ethics*, I. With other correspondents Spinoza discusses philosophical issues in connection with his book on Descartes's *Principles* and its metaphysical appendix. A peculiar exchange that started from there was with Willem van Blijenbergh, a grain broker from Dordrecht (Ep. 18–24 and 27). The two men discussed a wide range of philosophical topics, without getting any closer to each other: free will, freedom, and necessity, determinism, the origin of evil, moral responsibility, the authority of Holy Writ, and reason and revelation. Letters with other correspondents deal also with scientific and alchemic experiments (Ep. 13, 40, 41), with dioptrics and lens-grinding (Ep. 36, 39–40) and with the calculation of probabilities (Ep. 38). By the way: two anonymous Dutch treatises on the calculation of chances and on the rainbow, published in The Hague in 1687, have been attributed to Spinoza, but erroneously so. It is now certain that their author was a certain Salomon Dierquens. Spinoza did indeed write about the rainbow (as Jelles asserts in the preface to *De nagelate schriften*), but that work is lost.

A dramatic episode took place in 1668–1669. Two brothers, Adriaan and Johannes Koerbagh, who had moved in the circle of Spinoza's Amsterdam acquaintances in the early 1660s, had developed radical views of their own, under his influence. They had met Spinoza several times, though there are no indications that they were very close. In 1668 Adriaan was arrested for having attempted to publish a sacrilegious book, *A Light Shining in Dark Places*. When interrogated, he admitted to have visited Spinoza, but denied that he had spoken to him about this book. Adriaan Koerbagh was sentenced to ten years prison, subsequent banishment, and a huge fine. He died of exhaustion in October 1669. We do not know how Spinoza took the news. Neither in his works nor in his letters, as far as they are extant, did he ever refer to Koerbagh's fate.

5. Spinoza in The Hague (1669/70–1677)

No document indicates when exactly Spinoza left Voorburg, but toward the very end of 1669 or the beginning of 1670 he moved to The Hague. He first rented a room in a house on the Veerkade. In the summer of 1671 he moved to a cheaper accomodation, just around the corner, on the Paviljoensgracht, where he became a lodger of the painter Hendrik van der Spyck and his family. It was a fortunate coincidence that the pastor of the Lutheran parish in The Hague in the period 1693–1707, Johannes Colerus, later on rented a room in the very same house on the Veerkade, and even more so that the Van der Spyck family (with whom Spinoza spent his last years) belonged to his parish. Colerus thus was in a good position to collect material for the well-researched biography of Spinoza he published in 1705 – together with a sermon in which he denounced Spinoza's philosophy as incompatible with Christianity.

Just around the time Spinoza moved to The Hague, his *Theological-Political Treatise* came out. As soon as it began to circulate, church councils, clergymen, and academics started campaigning to have it banned. Though formally prohibited only in 1674, there were attempts to have it proscribed from the very beginning. In 1670 the Dutch political system was still officially that of the 'True Freedom' boasted by Johan de Witt, then Grand Pensionary, but tensions had been building up. They came to a head in 1672, known in Dutch history as the Year of Disaster, when De Witt failed to ward off simultaneous invasions from the south, the east, and the western seaboard, mounted respectively by the French King Louis XIV, two German bishoprics, and the English. The French gained several military successes and occupied part of the Republic, including the city of Utrecht. Incited by Orangist leaders, a violent mob brutally lynched Johan de Witt and his brother Cornelis on 20 August 1672 in The Hague. In the night after the murder of the De Witt brothers, Spinoza set out to go to the site of the crime (where the naked and mutilated corpses of the victims were still on display) with a placard that said 'Utter barbarians,' but his landlord blocked the door for fear that his lodger would be slaughtered, too.

The French occupation lasted until the end of 1673. In the meantime, Prince William of Orange had become stadholder of the Dutch Republic. He inaugurated a period of autocracy, zealously supported by the ministers of the public church. Though never a partisan of De Witt, Spinoza had enjoyed relative freedom as long as the latter's States faction was in power. After 1672, he thought it wiser not to publish anymore unless conditions improved. That, however, did not come to pass in his lifetime.

One of the most puzzling events in Spinoza's life is a visit he made to the occupied town of Utrecht in July–August 1673. Spinoza never was much of a traveler: as far as we know he had never been outside the province of Holland up to that point. Though Utrecht was not far from The Hague, it was at that moment a precarious destination, where no one would go without a very good reason. It required one to enter occupied territory that could be reached only by crossing the inundated area of the 'water line,' equipped with passports so as to be allowed to leave the United Provinces, enter the occupied town, and eventually return home again. What urgent reason did Spinoza have to go to Utrecht in those circumstances? His own motives for accepting the invitation and undertaking the journey, and his exploits there, remain obscure. Broadly, there are two options: Spinoza may have gone to Utrecht in order to be of service to friends or acquaintances (in the circle of Cartesians, or perhaps also among French officers), or for political reasons, such as negotiating with the French. There is, so far, not a scrap of evidence to substantiate the second option. Yet it seems that Spinoza's contemporaries did suspect a political motive, namely that Spinoza was a spy who had dealings with the enemy. These rumors alarmed his landlord, who expected a riot upon his return, but Spinoza assuaged his fears.

6. Final Years (1675–1677)

After having published the *Theological-Political Treatise*, Spinoza took up the *Ethics* again. He completed it late in 1674 or early in 1675. From his correspondence with Oldenburg, we know that he went to Amsterdam to have the work printed in the summer of 1675, but then decided to put the manuscript away. The recent discovery by Leen Spruit of a handwritten copy of the work executed by Pieter van Gent now enables us to date the completion of the text more precisely. The copy was made at the request of Ehrenfried Walther von Tschirnhaus, who took it with him on his Grand Tour through Europe. Tschirnhaus had been a student in Leiden from 1669 till early spring 1674, and became acquainted with Schuller, Van Gent, and (through them) with Spinoza. By the end of 1674 he returned to the Netherlands, where he remained until May 1675. During his stay he obtained Spinoza's permission to have Pieter van Gent copy the completed *Ethics*. This allows us to conclude that Spinoza had finished the text toward the end of 1674 or in the first months of 1675. A detailed comparison of the text as it appears in Van Gent's copy and the printed version of the *Opera posthuma* shows that Spinoza never systematically went through the entire work again after having completed it in 1674/75. Instead, he seems to have turned his attention mainly or exclusively to a treatise on politics that was to remain unfinished: the *Tractatus politicus*. This was conceived as a systematic exposition of his political thought, developed on the foundation provided by the *Ethics* and the *Theological-Political Treatise*. Spinoza's death prevented him from completing the work. It contains ten chapters and breaks off just after the beginning of Chapter 11, on democracy. Spinoza first deals with politics in general and then with the three forms of government he sees as basic: monarchy, aristocracy, and (a fragment on) democracy. From one of Spinoza's last letters we know that he had planned to add considerations on laws and on specific political issues.

Toward the end of 1676, Spinoza's health began to deteriorate. He died on Sunday 21 February 1677. Although it has commonly been assumed that his health had always been frail and that he suffered from (hereditary) phthisis, a fresh examination of the available evidence has shown that in fact his physical condition must have been surprisingly good – at any rate good enough to have an adequate resistance against many infectious diseases. 'Phthisis' is now commonly interpreted as a designation of pulmonary tuberculosis, but in Spinoza's time it was a catch-all term that covered a range of lung diseases involving coughing (and coughing up blood) and respiratory problems. When, therefore, his early biographers speak of phthisis or consumption as the cause of Spinoza's death, that does not get us very far. If it had been pulmonary tuberculosis, he would have died earlier, and he would not have been able to come down the stairs on the day he died. In a letter to Leibniz of 26 February 1677, Schuller wrote: "I had to tell you that the excellent and acute Mr Spinoza passed away on 21/11 February, after having suffered from extreme atrophy." If that is indeed a reliable and accurate description of the cause of Spinoza's death, he may have died of what is now designated as a cachexia, a wasting of the body due to severe chronic illness.

The most detailed report of his death is that by Colerus, based on the information he had obtained from the couple in whose house Spinoza breathed his last:

I will now turn to Spinoza's demise. On this topic I find so many wrong descriptions, that I cannot help being astonished that scholars did not come up with better research, but divulged their stories merely on the basis of hearsay. [...] I will therefore give an impartial description of his death and corroborate it with proofs, given that his demise as well as his burial took place

here in The Hague. [...] None of the others who lived in the house entertained the least idea that his end was so near and that death was to overtake him so suddenly. [...] Sunday morning before divine service he came downstairs again and talked with the landlord and his wife. He had sent for a doctor, a certain L. M. from Amsterdam. [...] In the afternoon the people of the house went to church together, while the aforementioned doctor L. M. stayed alone with him. Upon their return from the church, however, they were informed that Spinoza had passed away at three o'clock, in the presence of this physician. The latter did not bother about the deceased any more, but made off with some money that Spinoza had left lying on the table, viz. a ducaton and some change, as well as with a silver-handled knife.

The identity of the physician from Amsterdam, indicated by Colerus by his initials, L.M., is uncertain. Colerus obviously had in mind Spinoza's lifelong friend Lodewijk Meyer, whom he refers to as 'L.M.' elsewhere in his biography, too. There are, however, indications that the physician at Spinoza's deathbed may have been Georg Hermann Schuller rather than Meyer. In a letter to Leibniz, dated 17 April 1677, Tschirnhaus says that Schuller had informed him "that our friend died in The Hague, in the presence of Mr Schuller, clear-headed and after having arranged what was to be done with his manuscripts." The reliability of Schuller is problematic, so his testimony – here related by Van Gent – should be taken with a pinch of salt. There is more to it, though: the name of one of the witnesses mentioned in the first inventory of Spinoza's legacy, drawn up by the notary public Willem van den Hove on the day Spinoza died, is given as *d'heer Georgius Hermanus* (without surname). But the words have been struck out again, and Schuller did not sign. The evidence, then, is inconclusive. For Meyer we have the (generally reliable) testimony of the Van der Spycks, transmitted by Colerus, for Schuller his own (not always dependable) information, as well as the ambiguous indications in the notarial inventory. All we know for sure, then, is that Spinoza died in the presence of a medical doctor, who, unfortunately, did not leave a written report himself.

Immediately after Spinoza's demise, Van der Spyck sent for a public notary, Willem van den Hove, who came the same day to draw up a first, unspecified inventory of the goods Spinoza had left, after which he sealed the deceased tenant's rooms. Spinoza was buried on Thursday, 25 February, in a rented grave inside the Nieuwe Kerk, a nearby Reformed Church in The Hague. The burial was arranged by Van der Spyck, while Spinoza's publisher Jan Rieuwerts stood surety for the expenses. Graves were rented for a certain number of years, after which the relatives (or acquaintances) of the deceased had to renew the lease. If they did not do so, the grave was cleared. Spinoza's grave was emptied sometime in the eighteenth century, and his remains (together with those of other bodies) were dispersed over the surface of the churchyard of the Nieuwe Kerk and dug in. Although he is, strictly speaking, indeed still buried on the site, there is no locatable plot that can be said to contain Spinoza's body. A monument just outside the Nieuwe Kerk commemorates the philosopher. In front of it is a large black slab with the Latin inscription: "The earth here covers the bones of Benedict de Spinoza, formerly buried in the New Church."

When Spinoza's relatives – his sister Rebecca and her stepson Daniel de Casseres – heard about his demise, they came to The Hague to claim the inheritance, if there was any. They asked for a complete inventory, which was made by the same notary public Van den Hove on 2 March. (It is in this inventory that we find a list of the books then in Spinoza's library.) Eventually, when they found there were still debts to be settled, Rebecca and Daniel waived all their rights to an inheritance.

Before he died, Spinoza had made arrangements with his landlord, his publisher, and his friends in Amsterdam that they would see to the publication of his *Ethics*. A writing box

that contained manuscripts and letters was sent to Rieuwertsz by Van der Spyck very soon after Spinoza passed away. A number of people were involved in preparing Spinoza's posthumous works for publication: Johannes Bouwmeester, Lodewijk Meyer, Jarig Jelles, Jan Hendriksz Glazemaker, Jan Rieuwertsz, Georg Hermann Schuller, and Pieter van Gent. In about nine months, they managed to bring out simultaneously the *Opera posthuma* (in Latin) and *De nagelate schriften* (in Dutch). The two tomes contained the *Ethics*, the *Political Treatise*, the *Treatise of the Emendation of the Intellect*, and the letters. The (unfinished) *Hebrew Grammar* was published only in the *Opera posthuma*. We have no clue as to when or why Spinoza wrote this grammar; perhaps when he was at Van den Enden's school, or when he was doing research for the *Theological-Political Treatise*. Around 1680, Rieuwertsz ordered an engraved portrait from an unknown artist. It was printed on a loose sheet, and could be bought by customers to have it bound in with their copy of the *Opera posthuma* or *De nagelate schriften*. The portrait came with a Latin poem, but there is also a Dutch version that is pasted on to the Latin text in some copies. Though made after Spinoza's death, it is assumed to present a fair likeness of Spinoza – one would not expect Rieuwertsz to sell it as a portrait if the resemblance had been poor. Another early portrait is the oil painting in the collection of the Herzog August Bibliothek in Wolfenbüttel. The two portraits closely resemble each other. Perhaps the Wolfenbüttel painting was made after the engraving, or they may both stem from a common unknown original.

On 4 November 1677, Spinoza's possessions were auctioned. For our knowledge of Spinoza's development the most relevant element of the auction was his library, with his collection of optical instruments and tools for lens production as the runner-up. An almost complete reconstruction of the library as described in the inventory is now kept in the *Spinozahuis* museum in Rijnsburg.

The posthumous works were printed in December 1677 and distributed as from January 1678. Spinoza's life's work was completed, and salvaged for posterity – in spite of all attempts to suppress his works and discredit his thought.

References

- Ancona, J. d' (1940). *Komst der Marranen in Noord-Nederland: De Portugese gemeenten te Amsterdam tot de vereniging (1639)*. In: *Geschiedenis der Joden in Nederland* (ed. H. Brugmans and A. Frank), 201–269. Amsterdam: Van Holkema & Warendorf.
- Anon. (1719). *La Vie et l'esprit de Monsieur Benoit de Spinoza*. Amsterdam: Levier. (Entirely fictitious account of Spinoza's life. Often dated to the 1680s, and attributed to Jean-Maximilien Lucas; both claims are unwarranted. For its labyrinthine transmission see Walther and Czelinski 2006, II, 10–15.)
- Bloksma, N. (2018). *Spinoza: A Miraculously Healthy Philosopher*. Rijnsburg: Uitgeverij Spinozahuis. (Mededelingen vanwege Het Spinozahuis, vol. 113. (New findings about Spinoza's health and the cause of his death.)
- Bodian, M. (1997). *Hebrews of the Portuguese Nation: Conversos and Community in Early Modern Amsterdam*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Colerus, J. (1705). *Korte, dog waaragtige levens-beschryving van Benedictus de Spinoza, uit autentieke stukken en mondeling getuigenis van nog levende personen opgesteld*. Amsterdam: Lindenberg.
- Freudenthal, J. (1899). *Die Lebensgeschichte Spinoza's in Quellschriften, Urkunden und nichtamtlichen Nachrichten*. Leipzig: Von Veit. (First major collection of documents relating to Spinoza's life, still valuable.)
- Garrett, D. (ed.) (2021/forthcoming). *The Cambridge Companion to Spinoza*. 2nd edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Gootjes, A. (2020). Spinoza between French Libertines and Dutch Cartesians: The 1673 Utrecht visit. *Modern Intellectual History* 17 no. 3, 591–617. (DOI: 10.1017/S1479244318000471).
- Koerbagh, A. (2011). *A Light Shining in Dark Places, to Illuminate the Main Questions of Theology and Religion* (ed. and trans. M. Wielema). Leiden: Brill.
- Meinsma, K.O. (1896). *Spinoza en zijn kring; Historisch-kritische studiën over Hollandsche vrijgeesten*. The Hague: Nijhoff. Repr. Utrecht: Hes, 1980 (seminal work on Spinoza's circle).
- Walther, M. and Czelinski, M. (eds.) (2006). *Die Lebensgeschichte Spinozas* (2nd expanded and newly annotated edition of Freudenthal 1899). Vol. I: *Lebensbeschreibungen und Dokumente*, vol. II: *Kommentar*. Stuttgart-Bad Canstatt: frommann-holzboog. (The best collection of documents relating to Spinoza's life to date. Contains virtually all early biographical accounts and documents on which the present chapter draws. Invaluable extensive bibliography in vol. II, 283–464.)

Further Reading

- Curley, E. (2015). Spinoza's Lost Defense. In: *The Young Spinoza* (ed. Y.Y. Melamed), 1–32. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Ekkart, R. (1999). *Spinoza in beeld: Het onbekende gezicht/Spinoza in Portrait: The Unkown Face*. Voorschoten: Vereniging Het Spinozahuis. (Critical survey of portraits allegedly representing Spinoza. Only the OP engraving and the Wolfenbüttel painting, of which the painting in The Hague is a copy, offer plausible likenesses.)
- Kaplan, Y. (1982). The social functions of the herem in the Portuguese-Jewish community of Amsterdam in the seventeenth century. In: *Dutch Jewish History: Proceedings of the Symposium on the History of the Jews in the Netherlands* (ed. J. Michman and T. Levie), 111–155. Jerusalem: Institute for Research on Dutch Jewry.
- Kaplan, Y. (2016). On the burial of Spinoza's grandfather and grandmother. *Zutot: Perspectives on Jewish Culture* 3: 6–39.
- Mignini, F. (1987). Données et problèmes de la chronologie spinozienne entre 1656 et 1665. *Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques* 71: 9–21. (Argues that TIE is older than KV.)
- Nadler, S. (2018). *Spinoza: A Life* (2nd edn). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nahon, G. (1979–80). Amsterdam, métropole occidentale des Sefarades au XVII^e siècle. *Cahiers Spinoza* 3: 15–50.
- Offenberg, A. (1973). Spinoza's library: the story of a reconstruction. *Quaerendo* 3: 309–321.
- Révah, I.S. (1959). *Spinoza et le Dr Juan de Prado*. The Hague: Mouton.
- Révah, I.S. (1995). *Des marranes à Spinoza* (ed. Henry Méchoulan, Pierre-François Moreau and Carsten Lorenz Wilke). Paris: Vrin.
- Saraiva, A.J. (2001). *The Marrano Factory: The Portuguese Inquisition and its New Christians, 1536–1765* (trans., revised, and augmented H.P. Salomon and I.S.D. Sassoon). Leiden: Brill.
- Spinoza, B. (1677a). *B.d.S. Opera Posthuma*. Amsterdam: Rieuwertsz.
- Spinoza, B. (1677b). *De Nagelate Schriften van B.d.S.* Amsterdam: Rieuwertsz.
- Spinoza, B. (2020). *Œuvres, IV: Ethica/Éthique* (Latin text ed. F. Akkerman and P. Steenbakkers, trans. P.-F. Moreau, introd. and notes by Moreau and Steenbakkers, with appendices by F. Audié, A. Charrak, and P.-F. Moreau). Paris: Presses Universitaires de France. (On the textual history of the *Ethics*: Introduction, 13–47.)
- Spruit L. and Totaro, P. (eds.) (2011). *The Vatican Manuscript of Spinoza's Ethica*. Leiden: Brill. (Introduction on historical background and discovery of the manuscript; edition of the Latin text.)
- Steenbakkers, P. (2021/forthcoming). Spinoza's life. In: *The Cambridge Companion to Spinoza* (ed. D. Garrett, 2nd edn). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (A substantially expanded, fully documented and referenced version of the present chapter.)
- Vaz Dias, A.M. and van der Tak, W.G. (1932). *Spinoza Mercator et Autodidactus: Oorkonden en andere authentieke documenten betreffende des wijsgeers jeugd en diens betrekkingen*. The Hague: Nijhoff. (Archival documents relating to Spinoza's family and his Amsterdam years.)

- Vaz Dias, A.M. and van der Tak, W.G. (1934). *De firma Bento y Gabriel de Spinoza*. Leiden: Brill. Mededeelingen van wege Het Spinozahuis, vol. 1. (Documents relating to Spinoza's business.)
- Vaz Dias, A.M. and van der Tak, W.G. (1982). Spinoza merchant and autodidact: charters and other authentic documents relating to the philosopher's youth and his relations. *Studia Rosenthaliana* 16: 103–195. (English translation of Vaz Dias and van der Tak 1932 and 1934.)
- Ven, J. van de (2011). Spinoza's life and time: an annotated chronology based upon historical documents. In: *The Continuum Companion to Spinoza*. London: Continuum (ed. W. van Bunge et al.), 1–57. (2nd edn 2014, as *The Bloomsbury Companion to Spinoza*. London: Bloomsbury. Van de Ven is preparing an exhaustive book-length Spinoza chronicle.)
- Ven, J. van de (2015). “Crastinâ die loquar cum Celsissimo principe de Spinosa”: new perspectives on Spinoza's trip to the French Army headquarters in Utrecht in late July 1673. *Intellectual History Review* 25: 147–165.
- Vet, J. de (2005). Salomon Dierquens, auteur du Stelkonstige reeckening van den regenboog et du Reeckening van kanssen. In: *Spinoza to the Letter: Studies in Words, Texts and Books* (ed. F. Akkerman and P. Steenbakkers), 169–188. Leiden: Brill. (Conclusively shows that the author of two Dutch scientific treatises published in 1687 was Dierquens, not Spinoza.)
- Vlessing, O. (1997 [2003]). The excommunication of Baruch Spinoza: a conflict between Jewish and Dutch law. *Studia Spinozana* 13, 15–47. (Argues that Spinoza's *herem* had an economic background.)