

Chapter One

Introduction: Geochemical Sediments in Landscapes

David J. Nash and Sue J. McLaren

1.1 Scope of This Volume

Geochemical sediments of various types are an often overlooked but extremely important component of global terrestrial environments. Where present, chemical sediments and residual deposits may control slope development and landscape evolution, increase the preservation potential of otherwise fragile sediments, provide important archives of environmental change, act as relative or absolute dating tools and, in some cases, be of considerable economic importance. Chemical sedimentation may occur in almost any terrestrial environment, providing there is a suitable dissolved mineral source, a mechanism to transfer the mineral in solution to a site of accumulation and some means of triggering precipitation. However, given the increased importance of chemical weathering in the tropics and sub-tropics, they tend to be most widespread in low-latitude regions (Goudie, 1973).

Despite their global significance, terrestrial geochemical sediments have not been considered collectively for over 20 years. Indeed, the last book to review the full suite of chemical sediments and residual deposits was Goudie and Pye's seminal volume *Chemical Sediments and Geomorphology* (Goudie and Pye, 1983a). Since then, selected geochemical sediments have been discussed in volumes such as Wright and Tucker (*Calcretes*; 1991), Martini and Chesworth (*Weathering, Soils and Palaeosols*; 1992), Ollier and Pain (*Regolith, Soils and Landforms*; 1996), Thiry and Simon-Coinçon (*Palaeoweathering, Palaeosurfaces and Related Continental Deposits*; 1999), Dorn (*Rock Coatings*; 1998), Taylor and Eggleton (*Regolith Geology and Geomorphology*; 2001), and Chen and Roach (*Calcrete: Characteristics, Distribution and Use in Mineral Exploration*; 2005). However, many of these texts tend to discuss geochemical sediments within either a geological or pedological framework, often with little attempt to position them in their

geomorphological context. As will be seen in section 1.3 and many of the chapters in this volume, understanding the influence of landscape setting upon geochemical sedimentation is of paramount importance if the resulting chemical sediments and residua are to be correctly interpreted. The need for a follow-up volume to Goudie and Pye (1983a) became very apparent during meetings of the British Geomorphological Research Group (BGRG) fixed-term working group on *Terrestrial Geochemical Sediments and Geomorphology*, convened by the editors and Andrew Goudie, which ran between 2001 and 2004. Indeed, the majority of the authors within this collection were members of the working group, and all royalties from this book will go to the BGRG (now the British Society for Geomorphology).

The individual chapters within *Geochemical Sediments and Landscapes* focus largely on the relationships between geomorphology and geochemical sedimentation. Given the emphasis on landscape, the range of precipitates and residuals deposits considered are mainly those which form in terrestrial settings. An exception is the chapter on beachrock and intertidal precipitates (Gischler, Chapter 11), which develop at the terrestrial–marine interface but, where present, have a significant impact upon coastal geomorphology and sedimentology. The definition of geochemical sediments used in the volume is a deliberately broad one, reflecting the wide range of environments under which chemical sedimentation can occur. As Goudie and Pye (1983b) suggest, geochemical sediments are conventionally defined as sedimentary deposits originating through inorganic chemical processes. This distinguishes them from clastic, volcanoclastic, biochemical and organic sediments. However, this definition is not especially useful, since the majority of the geochemical sediments reviewed here comprise a mixture of detrital clastic particles which are bound together by various intergranular chemical precipitates. Certainly, there are some very ‘pure’ chemical precipitates, such as speleothems (see Fairchild et al., Chapter 7) and some lacustrine deposits (Verrecchia, Chapter 9), but these are the exception rather than the rule. The conventional definition also places greatest emphasis on the role of physico-chemical processes in geochemical sedimentation. However, as will be seen from many chapters in this collection, biogeochemical processes are increasingly recognised as being of vital importance for the formation of a wide range of supposedly ‘chemical’ precipitates. Indeed, biological agency may be directly implicated in the formation of many chemical sediments, and play a key role in the weathering and release of solutes for a wide range of other precipitates.

1.2 Organisation

Geochemical Sediments and Landscapes is organised into 14 chapters. These are arranged so that the main duricrusts (calcrete, laterite and silcrete) are

discussed first (Chapters 2–4), followed by a consideration of deposits precipitated in various aeolian, slope, spring, fluvial, lake, cave and near-coastal environments (Chapters 5–12). The volume concludes with an overview of the range of techniques available for analysing geochemical sediments (McAlister and Smith, Chapter 13) and a general summary which includes a consideration of directions for future research (McLaren and Nash, Chapter 14).

The specific content of individual chapters, inevitably, reflects the primary research interests of the contributing authors. However, all contributors were requested, where appropriate, to include information about the nature and general characteristics, distribution, field occurrence, landscape relations, macro- and micromorphology, chemistry, mineralogy, mechanisms of formation or accumulation, and palaeoenvironmental significance of their respective geochemical sediment. Individual deposits are treated as discrete entities in their specific chapters. However, in recognition of the fact that individual chemical sediments may grade laterally or vertically into geochemically allied materials, for example along pH (e.g. calcrete and silcrete) or other environmental gradients (e.g. beachrock and coastal aeolianite), authors were also asked to highlight any significant relationships to other terrestrial geochemical sediments. Despite its title, the chapters within *Geochemical Sediments and Landscapes* do not include lengthy discussions of the physics of geochemical sedimentation; authors were instead asked to cite suitable references so that interested readers can access such materials.

1.3 Significance of Geochemical Sediments in Landscapes

The geochemical precipitates and residual deposits discussed within this volume are significant from a range of geomorphological, palaeoenvironmental and economic perspectives. From a geomorphological standpoint, the more indurated and resistant chemical sediments such as calcrete (Wright, Chapter 2), ferricrete (Widdowson, Chapter 3) and silcrete (Nash and Ullyott, Chapter 4) exert a major influence upon the topographic evolution of many parts of the world. This influence is most noticeable in tropical and sub-tropical areas because such duricrusts are most widespread in these regions (Goudie, 1973). Geochemical crusts that have developed over palaeosurfaces may be preserved as horizontal to sub-horizontal caprocks on plateaux and mesas (Goudie, 1984). Along the southern coast of South Africa, for example, silcrete and ferricrete accumulation within deeply weathered bedrock has led to the preservation of remnants of the post-Gondwana 'African Surface' (Summerfield, 1982, 1983a; Marker et al., 2002). In contrast, where geochemical sediment formation took place preferentially in a topographic low, usually as a product of

groundwater-related cementation, relief and drainage inversion may occur if surrounding uncemented and less resistant materials are removed by erosion (Pain and Ollier, 1995). In Australia, silcretes developed within palaeochannels may now crop out in inverted relief (e.g. Barnes and Pitt, 1976; Alley et al., 1999; Hill et al., 2003). In either case, the presence of a duricrust caprock exerts a control upon slope development and hydrology and may significantly retard landscape denudation. The undercutting and subsequent collapse of caprocks may lead to the development of characteristic features such as 'breakaways' with the resulting slope surfaces mantled by duricrust-derived regolith.

Geochemical sedimentation may also play a more subtle but equally important role in preserving 'ephemeral' sediment bodies which would otherwise be highly susceptible to erosion and destruction. Calcium carbonate, gypsum or halite cementation of near-coastal and desert dune sands may, for example, significantly enhance their preservation potential once they are transformed to aeolianite (e.g. McKee, 1966; Gardner, 1998; McLaren and Gardner, 2004; see McLaren, Chapter 5). Similarly, the induration of fluvial terrace sediments through the development of pedogenic or groundwater calcretes may increase their resistance to erosion and reworking and hence preserve key palaeohydrological evidence (e.g. Candy et al., 2004a; see Wright, Chapter 2). In extreme cases, geochemical sedimentation may lead to the complete preservation of relict landforms, as, for example, in the case of the silica- and carbonate cemented palaeochannels described by Maizels (1987, 1990) from central Oman.

In addition to their geomorphological roles, chemical sediments of various types may act as important archives of palaeoenvironmental information. Even in the most arid deserts, where detailed hydrological or climatic data are often sparse, the occurrence of crusts such as calcrete or gypcrete at or near the land surface is a clear indication that the mobilisation and precipitation of minerals in the presence of water has occurred in the past. Evaporite sequences in Death Valley, USA, for example, have been used to unravel sequences of regional climatic changes over the past 200,000 years (Lowenstein et al., 1999; see Chivas, Chapter 10). The accumulation of thick sequences of geochemical precipitates usually requires lengthy periods of landscape stability. As such, vast thicknesses of any fossil deposit may indicate relative tectonic, climatic and/or hydrological stability. However, it is essential that the morphological and geochemical characteristics of chemical sediments, as well as the environmental factors controlling their formation, are fully appreciated before they are used as evidence in palaeoenvironmental reconstruction. For example, when attempting to distinguish the significance of a calcrete within a sedimentary sequence, it is essential to determine whether it formed by pedogenic or non-pedogenic processes (see Wright, Chapter 2), since different processes of cementation may operate at different rates and represent different palaeohydrological

conditions (e.g. Nash and Smith, 1998). This becomes even more critical when dealing with calcretes in the geological record (Pimentel et al., 1996) where fabrics may have been altered over time through processes of diagenesis and paragenesis.

Successful palaeoenvironmental interpretation is highly dependent upon the availability of representative and well-documented modern analogues. For many geochemical sediments, this is unproblematic as the processes involved in, and the controls upon, their formation are well understood. Studies of dripwater chemistry and environmental conditions within contemporary cave systems, for example, have greatly improved the hydrogeochemical interpretation of ancient speleothems (see Fairchild et al., 2006a,b; and Fairchild et al., Chapter 7). Similarly, Zhang et al. (2001) and Chen et al. (2004) have investigated the physico-chemical controls on contemporary carbonate precipitation at waterfalls, which has considerably enhanced our understanding of tufa and travertine formation (see Viles and Pentecost, Chapter 6). However, for materials such as silcrete (Nash and Ullyott, Chapter 4), there are virtually no representative modern equivalents, and debate continues over the precise environments under which they form (e.g. Summerfield, 1983b, 1986; Nash et al., 1994; Ullyott et al., 1998). Disagreements over the role of biological and physico-chemical mechanisms in the formation of rock varnish have also historically hindered their effective use as a palaeoenvironmental indicator, although recent developments will hopefully rectify this situation (see Liu, 2003; and Dorn, Chapter 8).

Geochemical sediments are increasingly being used as both relative and absolute age indicators. Duricrusts formed on palaeosurfaces as a result of pedogenic processes, for example, may represent important marker horizons and can, with considerable care, be used as a broad-scale correlative tool. However, it is the potential for absolute dating of geochemical sediments that is currently generating greatest interest. The dating of many CaCO_3 -cemented sediments has long been considered inappropriate due to concerns over whether the carbonate-cementing environment could be viewed as geochemically 'closed'. Advances in the use of U-series dating mean that previously problematic materials such as calcrete can now be systematically dated (Kelly et al., 2000; Candy et al., 2004b, 2005). Similarly, the analysis and dating of microlaminations is permitting both palaeoenvironmental information and calibrated ages to be derived from rock varnish (see Liu et al., 2000; and Dorn, Chapter 8). These improvements may mean that such chemical sediments will, in the future, be used as routinely as speleothems (Fairchild et al., Chapter 7) and laminated lacustrine deposits (Verrecchia, Chapter 9) as chronometric and palaeoenvironmental tools.

Finally, many geochemical sediments are of major economic importance, both as sources of minerals and construction materials, and because

of their potential impacts on human livelihoods through their influence upon soil properties and groundwater chemistry. In regions where alternative construction materials are scarce, geochemical sediments such as calcrete (Wright, Chapter 2), laterite (Widdowson, Chapter 3) and beachrock (Gischler, Chapter 11) may be used as building materials. For example, certain types of air-hardening laterite are widely employed as building bricks in Asia (Goudie, 1973), and calcrete was used as one of the main sources of road aggregate during the construction of the Trans-Kalahari Highway in Botswana in the late 1990s (Lawrance and Toole, 1984). In terms of mineral prospecting, evaporite sequences (Chivas, Chapter 10, and Goudie and Heslop, Chapter 12) provide economically significant sources of gypsum, nitrate, sulphate and borax, bauxite (Widdowson, Chapter 3) remains a key source of aluminium ore (e.g. Anand and Butt, 2003) and groundwater calcrete (Wright, Chapter 2) may contain significant concentrations of uranium (Carlisle et al., 1978; Carlisle, 1983).

Even where geochemical sediments are, in themselves, of little direct economic value, they may be of considerable utility in basin analysis, oil reservoir or aquifer characterisation and for locating economically important ore bodies (e.g. Smith et al., 1993; Abdel-Wahab et al., 1998; Butt et al., 2005). For example, chemical analyses of pedogenic calcretes are increasingly used as a gold-prospecting tool in southern Australia due to the preferential concentration of Au within profiles during bedrock weathering and cementation (Lintern et al., 1992); elevated levels of Au within the calcrete regolith may represent the near-surface expression of an area of concealed primary or secondary gold mineralisation (Lintern, 2002). Similarly, the upper ferruginous zone of lateritic profiles is frequently used as a sample medium for the detection of underlying Au ore bodies in southern and Western Australia (Butt et al., 2005). However, for these techniques to be successful, it is essential that sampling is undertaken with full regard to the local landform context (Craig, 2005), which requires that detailed regolith-landform mapping is carried out during the early phases of any mineral exploration programme (e.g. Hill et al., 2003). This ongoing work reinforces the premise behind this volume, namely that understanding the influence of landscape context upon the formation of any geochemical sediment is key to the successful exploitation of that precipitate or residual deposit. We are confident that as our understanding of the genesis of all geochemical sediments improves, then their economic value can only increase.

References

- Abdel-Wahab, A., Salem, A.M.K. & McBride, E.F. (1998) Quartz cement of meteoric origin in silcrete and non-silcrete sandstones, Lower Carboniferous, western Sinai, Egypt. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 27, 277–290.

- Alley, N.F., Clarke, J.D.A., MacPhail, M. & Truswell, E.M. (1999) Sedimentary infillings and development of major Tertiary palaeodrainage systems of south-central Australia. In: Thiry, M. & Simon-Coinçon, R. (Eds) *Palaeoweathering, Palaeosurfaces and Related Continental Deposits*. International Association of Sedimentologists Special Publication 27. Oxford: Blackwell Science, pp. 337–366.
- Anand, R.R. & Butt, C.R.M. (2003) Distribution and evolution of ‘laterites’ and lateritic weathering profiles, Darling Range, Western Australia. *Australian Geomechanics* **38**, 41–58.
- Barnes, L.C. & Pitt, G.M. (1976) The Mirackina Conglomerate. *Quarterly Geological Notes of the Geological Survey of South Australia* **59**, 2–6.
- Butt, C.R.M., Scott, K.M., Cornelius, M. & Robertson, I.D.M. (2005) Sample media. In: Butt, C.R.M., Robertson, I.D.M., Scott, K.M. & Cornelius, M. (Eds) *Regolith Expression of Australian Ore Systems*. Bentley, Western Australia: Cooperative Research Centre for Landscape Environments and Mineral Exploration, pp. 53–79.
- Candy, I., Black, S. & Sellwood, B.W. (2004a) Complex response of a dryland river system to Late Quaternary climate change: implications for interpreting the climatic record of fluvial sequences. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **23**, 2513–2523.
- Candy, I., Black, S. & Sellwood, B.W. (2004b) Quantifying timescales of pedogenic calcrete formation using U-series disequilibria. *Sedimentary Geology* **170**, 177–187.
- Candy, I., Black, S. & Sellwood, B.W. (2005) U-series isochron dating of immature and mature calcretes as a basis for constructing Quaternary landform chronologies for the Sorbas Basin, southeast Spain. *Quaternary Research* **64**, 100–111.
- Carlisle, D. (1983) Concentration of uranium and vanadium in calcretes and gypcretes. In: Wilson, R.C.L. (Ed.) *Residual Deposits: Surface Related Weathering Processes and Materials*. Special Publication 11. London: Geological Society, pp. 185–195.
- Carlisle, D., Merifield, P.M., Orme, A.R. & Kolker, O. (1978) *The distribution of calcretes and gypcretes in southwestern United States and their uranium favorability. Based on a study of deposits in Western Australia and South West Africa (Namibia)*. Open File Report 76-002-E. Los Angeles: University of California.
- Chen, X.Y. & Roach, I.C. (Eds) (2005) *Calcrete: Characteristics, Distribution and Use in Mineral Exploration*. Perth, Western Australia: Cooperative Research Centre for Landscape Environments and Mineral Exploration.
- Chen, J.A., Zhang, D.D., Wang, S.J., Xiao, T.F. & Huang, R.E. (2004) Factors controlling tufa deposition in natural waters at waterfall sites. *Sedimentary Geology* **166**, 353–366.
- Craig, M.A. (2005) Regolith-landform mapping, the path to best practice. In: Anand, R.R. & de Broekert, P. (Eds) *Regolith Landscape Evolution Across Australia*. Bentley, Western Australia: Cooperative Research Centre for Landscape Environments and Mineral Exploration, pp. 53–61.
- Dorn, R.I. (1998) *Rock Coatings*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Fairchild, I.J., Smith, C.L., Baker, A., Fuller, L., Spötl, C., Matthey, D., McDermott, F. & E.I.M.F. (2006a) Modification and preservation of environmental signals in speleothems. *Earth-Science Reviews* **75**, 105–153.
- Fairchild, I.J., Tuckwell, G.W., Baker, A. & Tooth, A.F. (2006b) Modelling of dripwater hydrology and hydrogeochemistry in a weakly karstified aquifer (Bath,

- UK): implications for climate change studies. *Journal of Hydrology* **321**, 213–231.
- Gardner, R.A.M. (1988) Aeolianites and marine deposits of the Wahiba Sands: character and palaeoenvironments. *The Journal of Oman Studies Special Report* **3**, 75–95.
- Goudie, A.S. (1973) *Duricrusts in Tropical and Subtropical Landscapes*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Goudie, A.S. (1984) Duricrusts and landforms. In: Richards, K.S., Arnett, R.R. & Ellis, S. (Eds) *Geomorphology and Soils*. London: Allen and Unwin, pp. 37–57.
- Goudie, A.S. & Pye, K. (Eds) (1983a) *Chemical Sediments and Geomorphology*. London: Academic Press.
- Goudie, A.S. & Pye, K. (1983b) Introduction. In: Goudie, A.S. & Pye, K. (Eds) *Chemical Sediments and Geomorphology*. London: Academic Press, pp. 1–5.
- Hill, S.M., Eggleton, R.A. & Taylor, G. (2003) Neotectonic disruption of silicified palaeovalley systems in an intraplate, cratonic landscape: regolith and landscape evolution of the Mulculca range-front, Broken Hill Domain, New South Wales. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences* **50**, 691–707.
- Kelly, M., Black, S. & Rowan, J.S. (2000) A calcrete-based U/Th chronology for landform evolution in the Sorbas basin, southeast Spain. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **19**, 995–1010.
- Lawrance C. J. & Toole T. (1984) *The location, selection and use of calcrete for bituminous road construction in Botswana*. Transport and Road Research Laboratory Report 1122. London: Department of Transport.
- Lintern, M.J. (2002) Calcrete sampling for mineral exploration. In: Chen, X.Y. & Roach, I.C. (Eds) *Calcrete: Characteristics, Distribution and Use in Mineral Exploration*. Perth, Western Australia: Cooperative Research Centre for Landscape Environments and Mineral Exploration, pp. 31–109.
- Lintern, M.J., Downes, P.M. & Butt, C.R.M. (1992) Bounty and Transvaal Au deposits, Western Australia. In: Butt, C.R.M. & Zeegers, H. (Eds) *Regolith Exploration Geochemistry in Tropical and Subtropical Terrains*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 351–355.
- Liu, T. (2003) Blind testing of rock varnish microstratigraphy as a chronometric indicator: results on late Quaternary lava flows in the Mojave Desert, California. *Geomorphology* **53**, 209–234.
- Liu, T., Broecker, W.S., Bell, J.W. & Mandeville, C. (2000) Terminal Pleistocene wet event recorded in rock varnish from the Las Vegas Valley, southern Nevada. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **161**, 423–433.
- Lowenstein, T.K., Brown, C., Roberts, S.M., Ku, T.-L., Luo, S. & Yang, W. (1999) 200 k.y. paleoclimate record from Death Valley salt core. *Geology* **27**, 3–6.
- Maizels, J. (1987) Plio-Pleistocene raised channel systems of the western Sharqiya (Wahiba), Oman. In: Frostick, L.E. & Reid, I. (Eds) *Desert Sediments, Ancient & Modern*. Special Publication 35. London: Geological Society, pp. 31–50.
- Maizels, J. (1990) Raised channel systems as indicators of palaeohydrologic change: a case study from Oman. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **76**, 241–277.
- Marker, M.E., McFarlane, M.J. & Wormald, R.J. (2002) A laterite profile near Albertinia, Southern Cape, South Africa: its significance in the evolution of the African Surface. *South African Journal of Geology* **105**, 67–74.

- Martini, I.P. & Chesworth, W. (Eds) (1992) *Weathering, Soils and Palaeosols*. Developments in Earth Surface Processes 2. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- McKee, E.D. (1966) Structures of dunes at White Sands National Monument, New Mexico. *Sedimentology* **7**, 1–69.
- McLaren, S.J. & Gardner, R.A.M. (2004) Late Quaternary carbonate diagenesis in coastal and desert sands – a sound palaeoclimatic indicator? *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **29**, 1441–1459.
- Nash, D.J. & Smith, R.F. (1998) Multiple calcrete profiles in the Tabernas Basin, southeast Spain: their origins and geomorphic implications. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **23**, 1009–1029.
- Nash, D.J., Thomas, D.S.G. & Shaw, P.A. (1994) Siliceous duricrusts as palaeoclimatic indicators: evidence from the Kalahari Desert of Botswana. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **112**, 279–295.
- Ollier, C.D. & Pain, C.F. (1996) *Regolith, Soils and Landforms*. Chichester: Wiley.
- Pain, C.F. & Ollier, C.D. (1995) Inversion of relief – a component of landscape evolution. *Geomorphology* **12**, 151–165.
- Pimentel, N.L., Wright, V.P. & Azevedo, T.M. (1996) Distinguishing early groundwater alteration effects from pedogenesis in ancient alluvial basins: examples from the Palaeogene of southern Portugal. *Sedimentary Geology* **105**, 1–10.
- Smith, G.L., Byers, C.W. & Dott, R.H. (1993) Sequence stratigraphy of the Lower Ordovician Prairie Du-Chien Group on the Wisconsin Arch and in the Michigan Basin. *Bulletin of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists* **7**, 49–67.
- Summerfield, M.A. (1982) Distribution, nature and genesis of silcrete in arid and semi-arid southern Africa. *Catena, Supplement* **1**, 37–65.
- Summerfield, M.A. (1983a) Silcrete. In: Goudie, A.S. & Pye, K. (Eds) *Chemical Sediments and Geomorphology*. London: Academic Press, pp. 59–91.
- Summerfield, M.A. (1983b) Silcrete as a palaeoclimatic indicator: evidence from southern Africa. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **41**, 65–79.
- Summerfield, M.A. (1986) Reply to discussion – silcrete as a palaeoclimatic indicator: evidence from southern Africa. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **52**, 356–360.
- Taylor, G. & Eggleton, R.A. (2001) *Regolith Geology and Geomorphology*. Chichester: Wiley.
- Thiry, M. & Simon-Coinçon, R. (Eds) (1999) *Palaeoweathering, Palaeosurfaces and Related Continental Deposits*. International Association of Sedimentologists Special Publication Vol. 27. Oxford: Blackwell Science.
- Ulliyott, J.S., Nash, D.J. & Shaw, P.A. (1998) Recent advances in silcrete research and their implications for the origin and palaeoenvironmental significance of sarsens. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association* **109**, 255–270.
- Wright, V.P. & Tucker, M.E. (Eds) (1991) *Calcretes*. International Association of Sedimentologists Reprint Series Vol. 2. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific.
- Zhang, D.D., Zhang, Y., Zhu, A. & Cheng, X. (2001) Physical mechanisms of river waterfall tufa (travertines) formation. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* **A71**, 205–216.