

Index of Subjects

- accountability 10–11
 - in emergency calls 69–71
 - in jury deliberations 188–91, 195–8
 - normative 15
 - of physician treatment recommendations 160–3
- acknowledgement tokens 25–7, 64, 113–16, 175, 219, 221, 225
 - and institutional roles 27–32, 64, 113, 175, 219, 221, 225
 - “mm hm” 113
 - absence of 29
 - “oh” 25–7
 - absence of 29–32, 157
 - “okay” 113
 - “right” 113
- adjacency pairs 22–4
- answers 22–32, 46–7, 137–45, 150–1, 177–8, 180–2, 220–2, 245–62
 - agenda shifts 248–9, 256–8
 - covert resistance 254
 - and institutions 27–32
 - “oh”-prefaced 25–7, 29–32
 - overt resistance 247–54
 - refusals 252–4
 - “well”-prefaced 109
- antibiotics prescribing 166–8
- applause 262–79
 - as coordinated action 265–6
 - formats for inviting 267–73
 - combinations 271–3
 - contrasts 267–9
 - lists 269–71
 - puzzle-solution 271
 - form vs. content in applaudable messages 275–6
 - projectability of *see* projectability
 - three stages in generating 274–5
 - “At first I thought” 108
 - see also* narrative
 - authority, medical *see* medical authority
- B-event information 140
- breaching experiments 10–11
- Castle Doctrine 206
- classroom interaction 27–8
- context 21–2
 - and talk 14–15
- continuers 113–14
- conversation analysis 7–19
 - basic principles of 12–14
 - history of 12
 - institutional talk 16–17
 - ordinary conversation 15–16
 - research traditions in 15–17
 - use of naturally occurring data in 13
 - use of structural analysis in 13–14
- conversational practices 15–16
- Dallas call 93–9
- dispute resolution, informal 200–11
 - participant roles 201
 - phases of activity in 201
 - third party’s role in 206–11
- doctor–patient interaction *see* medical interaction

- emergency calls 53–100
 actionable problems 60–71
 background 54–6
 call takers 54
 collaboration with dispatchers 54
 response to emotional callers 89–91
 skepticism of 72–4, 84–5, 93–4, 96–9
 caller's accountability 69–71
 caller's epistemic access to problem 73–8, 96–7
 caller's expressions of concern 80–1
 caller's motivations 72–6
 caller's physical access to problem 75, 96–7
 caller's social involvement 75, 96–7
 computer-aided dispatch 55
 vs. conversational calls 60–2
 emotion 58–9, 87–92
 activity contamination 98–9
 “angry” callers 92
 displays of 88–9
 “hysterical” callers 91
 labeling of 91
 responses to 89–91, 93–9
 failure 58–9, 93–9
 five-phase structure 57–61, 99–100
 gatekeeping considerations 72–82, 85
 genuineness of caller's problem 72–8, 85
 history of 911 service 54
 identification/recognition process 63–4
 as interactional achievement 99–100
 interrogative series 57–8, 73–4, 82, 92, 94, 96–7
 and emotion 92
 relevance of questions 58–9, 92, 95
 routine vs. investigatory questions 74, 82, 94
 narratives 76–9
 openings 61–4
 vs. ordinary conversational calls 61–3
 reduction of 62–3
 promises of assistance 57–8, 82–5
 and closings 57
 “thank you” in 57, 82–5
 as public vs. private service 69–72
 relevance of caller's problem 78–82, 85
 requests 57–9, 64–7, 69–70
 descriptions of 65
 explicit 65
 justification of 69–72, 80–1
 narratives 76–9
 problem reports 65
 special patterns of inference 64–7
 “thank you” in 82–5
 epistemics 25, 73–8, 96–7, 140–2
 ethnomethods 9
 face 8
 frontline PBS series 186, 198
 granularity 109
 history taking in medicine *see* medical history taking
 illness
 conditionally legitimate 120
 unconditionally legitimate 120
 institutional talk 16–50
 characteristics of 34–7
 lexical choice 47–9
 objectives of researching 18–19
 vs. ordinary conversation 15–17, 36–49
 sequence organization 43–4
 structural organization 40–3
 turn design 45–7
 turn taking in 37–40
 see also conversation analysis
 interaction order 8–9, 280
 intersubjectivity 15
 Iran–Contra scandal 222
 journalism
 adversarialness in 228–9
 objectivity in 227–8
 jury deliberations 186–99
 accountability in 188–91, 195–8
 activity structure 187–8
 juror conversions 189, 195–8
 practical reasoning in 188, 190–8
 hardline approach 191–3, 195, 198
 justice-inclusive approach 192, 195–6, 198
 knowledge-focused rationale 193–5, 198
 rationales for acquittal 191–5
 tasks of 187
 jury foreperson 187–8
 justice, formal vs. substantive 198
 King, Rodney, trial of 182–5
 legal formalism 173
 legal realism 173
 legitimation of illness

- explicit 121
- tacit 121
- lexical choice 47–9, 205
- maximal property of descriptions 181
- mediation 200–1, 206–12
 - facilitation, active 209–11
 - facilitation, passive 207–9
 - participant roles 201
 - phases of activity in 201
- medical authority 154–69
 - and accountability *see* accountability
 - changes in 154–5
 - patient passivity to 157–60, 164
 - physician-centered vs. patient-centered 156–7
- medical diagnosis 154–63
 - evidence formulating 160–1
 - evidentials 160, 162
 - interactional management of 156–63
 - and medical authority 156–63, 166–9
 - patient resistance to 158–9
 - patient response to 157–60, 164
 - straight assertions in 160
 - verbal design of 161
 - see also* online commentary
- medical history taking 110–12, 115–17, 135–52
 - importance of 135
 - patient responses to 137–45
 - question design in 136–51
 - agenda setting 137–9
 - checklist question 148–50
 - congruent vs. cross-cutting preferences 143–8
 - dimensions of 136
 - epistemic stance of 140–2
 - lifestyle questions 150–1
 - optimization 143–5
 - preference organization 142–3
 - presuppositions in 139–40
 - problem attentiveness 145–7
 - recipient design 147–8
 - transition from problem presentation 110–12, 115–17
- medical interaction 31–2, 103–70
 - origins of research in 103–4
 - phases in 104–5
- medical problem presentation 105–32
 - beginning 109
 - candidate diagnosis vs. symptoms only 122–3
 - ending 110–12
 - extended 108–19
 - importance of 104–5
 - incompleteness in 113–15
 - legitimacy of problems 120–32
 - conditional vs. unconditional 120–2
 - length of 106–7, 109–10
 - narratives in 108–10, 112, 115, 128–32
 - turning points 130–2
 - negotiation of length 110–15
 - resistance to ending 111–12
 - tense in 111–12
 - transition to history taking 110–12, 115–17
 - troubles resistance, displays of 123, 131–2
 - types of 121–32
 - recurrent 124–7
 - routine 122–4
 - unknown 127–32
- medical treatment recommendations 164–8
 - and antibiotics *see* antibiotics prescribing
 - patient endorsement of 165
 - patient resistance to 165–7
 - physician accountability for 160–3
- narratives 76–9, 108–10, 112, 115–16, 128–32, 195
 - see also* medical problem presentation
- neutralism 29, 216, 225, 228, 237–8, 240–1
 - departures from 240–1
- news interviews 215–62
 - acknowledgement tokens, absence of 29–30, 219, 221, 225
 - adversarialness 228–34, 238–9
 - answers in 220–2, 245–62
 - elaborated 220–1
 - minimal 221–2
 - defensible questioning in 234–8
 - historical context of 241–3
 - neutralism in *see* neutralism
 - question–answer rule 217–18, 220
 - departures from 222–5
 - questions in 218–20, 227–41
 - accusatory 240
 - adversarialness of 228–34, 238–9
 - agendas 229–31
 - contrasts 239
 - footing shifts 236–8
 - forks 238–9
 - justifications 234–6
 - prefaces 218–19, 230–4
 - preferences 232–4
 - presuppositions 231–2

- news interviews (*Cont'd*)
 resistance to questions in 221–2, 247–62
 covert 254–8
 dimensions of 247, 252
 overt 247–54
 as talk for overhearers 29, 216
 turn-taking in 215–18
 significance of 215–16
 institutional functions 225
- norms *see* accountability; normative
- online commentary 168
- ordinary conversation 12–16
 primacy of 12–13
 vs. institutional talk *see* institutional talk
- physician diagnostic styles 156–7
- plea bargaining 200–12
 event formulation 204–5
 facilitation, active 209–11
 facilitation, passive 207–9
 implicit negotiation in 202–6
 judge's role in 206–7, 209–10
 laughter during 205–6
 lexical choice in 205
 participant roles 201
 person formulation 202–4
 phases of activity in 201
- problem presentation in medicine *see* medical
 problem presentation
- projectability 265–6
- question design 22–32, 45–7, 106–7, 135–52,
 175–8, 204, 218–20, 227–41
 action agendas 136–8, 228–30
 agenda setting 137–9, 228–31
 declarative 140–3
 epistemics 25, 140–2
 footing 236–8
 forks and contrasts 238–9
 interrogative 140–3, 220
 justifications 234–6
 optimization 143–5
 polarity 142–3, 204
 prefaces 218–19, 230, 234
 preference organization 142–5, 232–4
 presuppositions 139–40, 231–2
 topic agendas 136–8, 228–9
 yes/no formulation 138–41, 180, 220, 232–3
- receipt tokens *see* acknowledgement tokens
- recipient design 136, 147–51
- reflexivity 11
- shift implicatives 113, 115
- sick role 119, 120
- speeches, public 263–79
 applause and *see* applause
- tic-tac-toe 10–11
- transition relevance place 216
- trial examinations 173–85
 answers in 177–8, 180–2
 implicit rejection 180–2
 resistance 177–8, 180–5
 general features of 176–8
 language practices in 174, 184
 questioning in 177–8
 receipt tokens, absence of 175, 221
 resisting material evidence 182–5
 Rodney King trial 182–5
 as talk for overhearers 30–1, 175
 turn-taking system 176
- turn design *see* institutional talk
- turn taking 36–40, 215–26
 as a normative organization 39
 departures 222–5
see also institutional talk
- troubles resistance, displays of *see* medical
 problem presentation
- YouTube 215