CHAPTER 1

IRON-CATALYZED CROSS-COUPLING REACTIONS

EIICHI NAKAMURA, TAKUJI HATAKEYAMA, SHINGO ITO, KENTARO ISHIZUKA, LAUREAN ILIES, AND MASAHARU NAKAMURA

Department of Chemistry, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	3
INTRODUCTION	3
MECHANISM AND STEREOCHEMISTRY	4
SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS	12
Cross-Coupling Reactions of Alkenyl Electrophiles	12
Reactions with Organomagnesium Compounds	12
Reactions with Organocopper Compounds	16
Reactions with Organomanganese Compounds	17
Reactions with Miscellaneous Nucleophilic Compounds	17
Cross-Coupling Reactions of Acyl Electrophiles	17
Reactions with Organomagnesium Compounds	17
Reactions with Organozinc Compounds	18
Cross-Coupling Reactions of Aryl Electrophiles	18
Reactions with Organomagnesium Compounds	18
Reactions with Organocopper Compounds	22
Reactions with Organoboron Compounds	23
Reactions with Miscellaneous Nucleophilic Compounds	24
Cross-Coupling Reactions of Allylic, Propargylic, and Benzylic Electrophiles	25
Allylation of Organomagnesium Compounds	25
Allylation of Active Methylene Compounds	27
Propargylation of Organomagnesium Compounds	30
Benzylation of Miscellaneous Nucleophilic Compounds	31
Cross-Coupling Reactions of Alkyl Electrophiles	33
Reactions with Organomagnesium Compounds	34
Reactions with Organozinc Compounds	37
Oxidative and Reductive Coupling Reactions	38
Oxidative Coupling Reactions	38
Reductive Coupling Reactions	39

nakamura@chem.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Organic Reactions, Vol. 83, Edited by Scott E. Denmark et al.

© 2014 Organic Reactions, Inc. Published 2014 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

APPLICATIONS TO SYNTHESIS 40
COMPARISON WITH OTHER METHODS 43
EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS 45
The Iron Source 45
Additives and Ligands 45
Organometallic Compounds 46
Other Conditions 47
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES 47
Iron(+3) Chloride, $FeCl_3$ 47
tris(Acetylacetonato)iron(+3), $Fe(acac)_3$ 47
tris(Dibenzoylmethido)iron(+3), $Fe(dbm)_3$ 47
Chlorido[(*R*,*R*)-(−)-*N*,*N*′-bis(3,5-di-*tert*-butylsalicylidene)-1,2-cyclohexanediamine]iron, Fe(salen)Cl 48
tris(*N*,*N*,*N*′,*N*′-Tetramethylethylenediamine)bis(trichloridoiron), $(FeCl_3)_2(TMEDA)_3$ 48
Dichlorido(*N*,*N*,*N*′,*N*′-tetramethylethylenediamine)zinc, $ZnCl_2$ (TMEDA) 48
12-Acetoxydodec-5-ene [Cross-Coupling of an Alkenyl Chloride with an Alkylmagnesium Compound in the Presence of NMP] 48
Methyl 4-Hexylbenzoate [Cross-Coupling of an Aryl Chloride with an Alkylmagnesium Compound in the Presence of NMP] 49
4-Methylbiphenyl [Cross-Coupling of an Aryl Chloride with an Arylmagnesium Compound in the Presence of an NHC Ligand] 49
(6*E*)-Tetradec-6-ene [Cross-Coupling of an Allylic Phosphate with an Alkylmagnesium Compound] 50
Phenylcycloheptane [Cross-Coupling of an Alkyl Bromide with an Arylmagnesium Compound in the Presence of TMEDA] 51
4-(4-Methylphenyl)butyl Phenyl Ether [Cross-Coupling of an Alkyl Bromide with an Arylmagnesium Compound in Diethyl Ether] 51
4-(4-Cyanophenyl)-*N*-(benzyloxycarbonyl)piperidine [Cross-Coupling of an Alkyl Bromide with an Arylzinc Reagent in the Presence of TMEDA] 52
2,2′-Dimethoxy-6,6′-dimethyl-1,1′-biphenyl [Homocoupling of an Arylmagnesium Compound in the Presence of an Organic Dihalide as the Oxidant] 52
4,4′-Dicyanobiphenyl [Homocoupling of an Arylmagnesium Compound Using Air as the Oxidant] 53
TABULAR SURVEY 53
Chart 1. Iron Catalysts Used in Tables 56
Chart 2. Ligands/Additives Used in Tables 57
Table 1A. Reaction of Acyclic Alkenyl Electrophiles with Organometallic Compounds 58
Table 1B. Reaction of Cyclic Alkenyl Electrophiles with Organometallic Compounds 82
Table 2. Reaction of Alkenyl Electrophiles with Alkynes 91
Table 3. Reaction of Acyl Electrophiles with Organometallic Compounds . . 93
Table 4. Reaction of Aryl Electrophiles with Organometallic Compounds . . 102
Table 5. Reaction of Heteroaryl Electrophiles with Organometallic Compounds 116
Table 6. Reaction of Aryl Electrophiles with Alkynes 130
Table 7. Reaction of Heteroaryl Electrophiles with Alkynes 135
Table 8. Reaction of Allylic Electrophiles with Organometallic Compounds . . 136
Table 9. Reaction of Allylic Electrophiles with Active Methylene Compounds 142

Table 10. Reaction of Propargylic Electrophiles with Organomagnesium Compounds 152
Table 11. Reaction of Benzylic Electrophiles with Miscellaneous Compounds 157
Table 12A. Reaction of Alkyl Electrophiles with Organometallic Compounds 164
Table 12B. Reaction of Cycloalkyl Electrophiles with Organometallic Compounds 185
Table 13. Reaction of Heterocyclic Electrophiles with Organometallic Compounds 203
REFERENCES 205

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge partial financial support from KAKENHI (Specially Promoted Research 22000008 to E.N.) and the Funding Program for Next-Generation World-Leading Researchers (to M.N.).

INTRODUCTION

A cross-coupling reaction[1,2,3] is a fundamental method to create a carbon−carbon bond that has found widespread applications in the synthesis of pharmaceuticals, natural products, optoelectronic materials, polymers, etc. The tremendous impact of this reaction on the scientific community and on society in general is reflected by the Nobel Prize for chemistry being awarded in 2010 to Richard F. Heck, Ei-ichi Negishi, and Akira Suzuki for "palladium-catalyzed cross couplings in organic synthesis."[4] Cross-coupling can be defined as the transition-metal-catalyzed reaction between an organic halide or pseudohalide and an organometallic reagent (Eq. 1).

$$\underset{\substack{\text{organic halide}\\ \text{(or congener)}}}{R^1\text{–X}} + \underset{\substack{\text{organometallic}\\ \text{reagent}}}{R^2\text{–M}} \xrightarrow[\textit{cross-coupling}]{\text{transition-metal catalyst}} R^1\text{–}R^2 + \text{M–X} \qquad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

Although palladium and nickel catalysts are widely used, the earliest report of a catalytic cross-coupling, which appeared in 1971, used $FeCl_3$ as the catalyst.[5] However, iron was initially largely neglected as a catalyst for cross-coupling, presumably because of the success of other transition metals, especially palladium and nickel, and the difficulties associated with controlling the reactivity of organoiron species. A renaissance of iron catalysis has occurred in recent years, motivated by the perception of the impending scarcity of rare metal elements,[6] as well as by more immediate interests in catalyst cost, availability, toxicity, and environmental friendliness. Moreover, if suitably controlled, iron-catalyzed

reactions can take place much faster than the corresponding reactions catalyzed by heavier metals.[7–12]

Iron is the most common heavy element in the Universe, the main component of the Earth's core, the fourth most common element in the Earth's crust, and is found in all domains of life as an essential component of hemoproteins.[13] The most abundant iron isotope, ^{56}Fe, is one of the most tightly bound nuclei with 8.7903 MeV binding energy per nucleon, being the last nuclide that can be produced exothermically, and therefore gradually building up as the most abundant heavy metal in the Universe.


Having 26 protons and hence 26 electrons, the iron atom exhibits a rich chemistry. The organoiron catalyst may, therefore, often be overly reactive and unselective, and the outcome of a catalyzed reaction may be erratic and unpredictable. To make iron catalysis synthetically useful, one must control the oxidation and the spin states of the iron atom as well as the reactivity of the organic component of the system. These challenges are compounded by a lack of mechanistic understanding, mainly because of the difficulties in isolating and characterizing organoiron complexes. Nevertheless, the field of synthetic organic chemistry has witnessed an explosive revival of interest in iron catalysis, and in particular, iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions have received much attention recently.

The present review covers the literature on iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions from the pioneering work of Kochi in 1971 through 2010. The reactions are grouped according to the type of carbon electrophile and the nature of the carbon nucleophile counterpart. Applications of the method for the synthesis of target compounds are also highlighted. Many reviews on iron catalysis that partially cover this topic have been published.[14–23] The reaction of two organometallic reagents under oxidative conditions[24] and the reaction of two organic halides under reductive conditions are covered in this chapter, but reactions that proceed through carbon–hydrogen bond functionalization,[25,26] reactions with heteroatom nucleophiles,[27] or reactions that involve a carbometalation step[28–34] are not included.

MECHANISM AND STEREOCHEMISTRY

Unlike the mechanisms of palladium- and nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions that have been thoroughly investigated and hence are now well established, the mechanisms of iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions are still largely obscure. No consensus has been reached on the nature and the oxidation state of the active species, the catalytic cycle, and the involvement of electron-transfer processes. These problems in part originate from the fact that iron can readily adopt various oxidation and spin states, and that small variations of the reaction conditions may lead to different reactive species and reaction pathways. Moreover, organoiron intermediates are unstable and notoriously difficult to isolate and characterize, further hampering the attempts to obtain mechanistic clues. This section briefly summarizes a few representative mechanistic possibilities, and discusses the mechanisms that have been substantiated with experimental evidence.

Kochi was the first to investigate in detail the iron-catalyzed reaction of alkenyl and alkyl bromides with alkylmagnesium reagents.[35,36] Upon reduction of an Fe(+3) salt with an excess of ethylmagnesium bromide, an intense ESR spectrum was obtained. By comparison with the ESR spectrum of $HFe(dppe)_2$, the authors concluded that the active species is in the Fe(+1) oxidation state. By analogy with the mechanism of palladium- and nickel-catalyzed cross-couplings, an Fe(+1)/Fe(+3) mechanism was proposed, consisting of oxidative addition of the alkenyl bromide to an Fe(+1) species that forms through reduction of the precatalyst with the Grignard reagent, followed by transmetalation and reductive elimination to give the product stereospecifically and to regenerate the iron catalyst (Scheme 1).[35] The oxidative addition of the alkenyl bromide to Fe(+1) is considered to proceed stereospecifically based on analogy with Pd(0) and Ni(0), and is in agreement with the stereospecific cross-coupling of alkenyl bromides. The observed side products, such as diene, alkenylmagnesium bromide, alkene from the alkenyl bromide, and alkene from the alkylmagnesium bromide, are rationalized to arise by the disproportionation of an Fe(+3) species. The reaction rate of the iron-catalyzed cross-coupling of ethylmagnesium bromide with bromoethane was found to be independent of the concentration of organometallic reagent, and approximately first-order dependent on the concentrations of the iron catalyst and the alkyl bromide.[36] This kinetic study suggests that the oxidative addition of an alkyl halide to Fe(+1) is the rate-determining step.




Scheme 1. Iron(+1)/iron(+3) catalytic cycle for the reaction of alkenyl bromides with Grignard reagents.

The Fe(+1)/Fe(+3) mechanism has been revisited recently,[37] and computational analysis has suggested that the reductive elimination step is energetically more favorable for an Fe(+3) species than other lower-valent species. However, species other than Fe(+1) may also be active in the oxidative addition step. Titration experiments to determine the stoichiometry of the initial reduction of iron precatalyst with the organomagnesium reagent were inconclusive, possibly because only part of the iron is reduced to the active form.

On the basis of competition experiments for various substrates in the presence of $FeCl_3$/MeLi at various molar ratios, it is claimed that Fe(+2) "super-ate complexes" are the active species in the reaction of MeLi with alkenyl bromides.[38,39] An Fe(+2)/Fe(+4) catalytic cycle is assumed (Scheme 2),[38] without substantiation of the intermediacy of an Fe(+4) species. The presence of such species is questioned by other authors.[40] Other investigations (discussed below) suggest that


a single-electron-transfer mechanism that involves an Fe(+2)/Fe(+3) catalytic cycle is more reasonable.[41]



Scheme 2. Iron(+2)/iron(+4) catalytic cycle for the reaction of alkenyl bromides with MeLi.

The difference in reactivity between methyl- or arylmagnesium reagents and reagents possessing a β-hydrogen prompted a mechanistic investigation of the iron-catalyzed reaction of Grignard reagents with alkyl halides. Although Grignard reagents that do not possess a β-hydrogen (methyl, phenyl, etc.) may form ferrate complexes,[38,39] use of four equivalents of a Grignard reagent possessing β-hydrogens reduces the precatalyst to produce an Fe(−2) species with the formal composition [Fe(MgX)$_2$], the so-called "inorganic Grignard reagent" (Eq. 2).[42] These nonstabilized, highly reactive, inorganic iron intermediates would then undergo oxidative addition with an alkyl halide to give a formal Fe(0) species, and transmetalation with the organomagnesium reagent and reductive elimination would afford the coupled product and regenerate the active Fe(−2) species (Scheme 3).[43]

$$FeCl_2 + 4\ n\text{-}C_7H_{15}MgBr \longrightarrow [Fe(MgX)_2] + 2\ MgX_2 + 2\ (1\text{-}C_7H_{14} + C_7H_{16}) \quad \text{X = Cl, Br} \qquad \text{(Eq. 2)}$$




Scheme 3. Organoferrate and low-valent redox manifold for the reaction of alkyl halides with Grignard reagents.

To probe this hypothesis, the isolation of various iron complexes of relevance for the presumed catalytic cycle was carried out.[41] As to the "organoferrate manifold," it was possible to isolate $[(Me_4Fe^{+2})(MeLi)][Li(OEt_2)]_2$, as well as $[Ph_4Fe^{0}][Li(OEt)_2]$ and its Fe(+2) precursor. These compounds exhibit reactivity similar to that of a catalyst prepared in situ from an iron salt and the corresponding Grignard reagent. As to the "low-valent redox manifold," a structural mimic of $[Fe(MgX)_2]$ has been prepared that matches the reactivity profile of the in situ generated iron species.

Each step of the catalytic cycle was probed using the relevant iron complexes.[41] The low-valent complexes undergo an insertion reaction smoothly with allylic halides and chlorobenzene. As to the high-valent redox manifold, an allyliron(+2) complex react with allyl chloride to give the corresponding allyliron(+3) chloride, suggesting the involvement of a single-electron-transfer process. Finally, an allylphenyliron(+3) complex gives allylbenzene and an Fe(+1) complex at room temperature, demonstrating the feasibility of all of the elementary steps of the proposed iron-catalyzed cross-coupling of an allylic halide with a phenyl anion.


On the basis of these findings, it was concluded that Fe(−2) complexes are the most reactive species to effect the cross-coupling. Besides the Fe(−2)/Fe(0) "low-valent redox manifold," an Fe(0)/Fe(+2) and an Fe(+1)/Fe(+3) competing mechanism may be involved (Scheme 4).[41] Several questions were left open regarding the role of magnesium ions, and the effect of ligands and stabilizing polar solvents, such as *N*,*N*,*N*′,*N*′-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) or *N*-methylpyrrolidone (NMP).



Scheme 4. Interconnecting catalytic cycles for the iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction.

Insights into the role of the TMEDA ligand have been reported.[44] A diaryliron(+2) complex prepared from a bulky Grignard reagent and TMEDA can be


isolated, and is capable of cross-coupling with alkyl halides (Scheme 5).[44] The reaction of this complex with an alkyl chloride is presumed to take place through a single-electron transfer, and a "radical clock experiment" suggests the presence of pathways involving long- and short-lived radicals, controlled by the addition rate of the Grignard reagent and of the diamine ligand.



Scheme 5. Iron(+2)/iron(+3) catalytic cycle for the reaction of alkyl halides with Grignard reagents in the presence of TMEDA.

The involvement of radical pathways in iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions has been known for some time. An early investigation showed that a dibenzyliron(+2)/TMEDA complex reacts with a benzyl halide (Eq. 3) or allyl bromide (Eq. 4) at room temperature to give the cross-coupling product.[40] It was argued that radical pathways are involved in these reactions in view of the following observations: (1) scrambling of the deuterium label when the iron complex reacts with 1,1-d_2-allyl bromide, (2) suppression of the coupling reaction when 1,4-cyclohexadiene is used as the reaction solvent, and (3) increased yield of 1,5-hexadiene and an increased amount of benzyl bromide formed when the ratio of allyl bromide/(TMEDA)Fe(CH_2Ph)$_2$ is increased.


$$PhCH_2X + (TMEDA)Fe(CH_2Ph)_2 \xrightarrow[rt]{benzene} PhCH_2CH_2Ph \qquad \text{(Eq. 3)}$$



(Eq. 4)


The reaction of an enantiomerically enriched Grignard reagent with vinyl bromide results in significant loss of enantiopurity.[45] This secondary Grignard reagent is known to be configurationally stable under the reaction conditions,[45a] suggesting the loss of enantiopurity is due to a single-electron transfer in the

transmetalation step (Scheme 6),[45] although an alternative mechanism in which transmetalation occurs with retention of configuration is still feasible.




Scheme 6. Single-electron transfer during iron-catalyzed cross-coupling.

Investigation of the electron transfer in the iron/phosphine- or phosphite-catalyzed cross-coupling of an arylmagnesium reagent with an alkyl halide has been reported.[46] Radical-probe experiments suggest that a radical pathway is operative, although the oxidation state of the iron atom remains unclear (Eqs. 5 and 6).[46]



(Eq. 5)


$FeCl_3$ + PCy_3	not detected	(54%)
$FeCl_3$ + $P(O\text{-}2,4\text{-}(t\text{-}Bu)_2C_6H_3)_3$	not detected	(61%)



(Eq. 6)

$FeCl_3$ + PCy_3	(23%)	(40%)
$FeCl_3$ + $P(O\text{-}2,4\text{-}(t\text{-}Bu)_2C_6H_3)_3$	(25%)	(42%)


A radical clock probe has also been employed to explore the involvement of radical pathways in the iron-catalyzed cross-coupling of an alkyl halide with an alkenylmagnesium reagent (Eq. 7).[47] The nature of the active species was not discussed, although it was hypothesized that the oxidative addition step proceeds through a single-electron-transfer mechanism.



(Eq. 7)


An Fe(0)/Fe(+2) mechanism in which the oxidative addition of an alkyl halide to ferrate(0) species proceeds through two single-electron-transfer processes was

proposed for the cross-coupling of arylmagnesium reagents with alkyl halides (Scheme 7).[48] A ferrate(0) complex, $[Ar_2Fe(MgX)_2]$, formed by reduction of $Fe(acac)_3$ by an arylmagnesium reagent, first undergoes single-electron transfer to an alkyl halide to generate $[RX]^{\bullet-}$ $[Ar_2Fe^{+1}(MgX)_2]$. After formation of $R^{\bullet}$ and X^- from $[RX]^{\bullet-}$, Fe–R bond formation takes place through a formal single-electron transfer to form $[RFe^{+2}Ar_2MgX]$, which undergoes reductive elimination of Ar–R to regenerate the ferrate(0) catalyst. However, this scenario was not substantiated by experiments, except by the fact that the reaction is less sensitive to steric effects than a similar palladium-catalyzed reaction.



Scheme 7. Two successive single-electron-transfer steps.

A mechanism that involves a two-electron oxidative addition process rather than a single-electron transfer was also proposed for a similar reaction (Scheme 8),[49] without being substantiated by experimental evidence. The



Scheme 8. Two-electron oxidative addition mechanism.

catalytically active iron species [Fe] formed in situ undergoes oxidative addition of alkyl–X to produce alkyl–Fe–X. After formation of alkyl–Fe–Ar via transmetalation with ArMgX, reductive elimination occurs to afford the coupled product, alkyl–Ar, and to regenerate the catalyst, [Fe]. The authors rationalized the formation of the byproducts through a disproportionation event after the oxidative addition.

In conclusion, despite numerous efforts, there is at present no unified view about the mechanisms of iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. Some of the proposed mechanisms appear to be feasible; however, it is likely that small modifications of the reaction parameters lead to significant changes in the mechanism because of the high sensitivity of the spin states of iron to the coordination environment of the metal. Moreover, competing reaction manifolds may be involved, and trace quantities of other metals in iron catalysts, particularly copper and palladium, may significantly affect the outcome of the reactions.[49a,50] These issues further complicate the mechanistic picture. Taking these problems into account, general mechanistic principles for the iron-catalyzed cross-coupling are impossible to draw unequivocally. The mechanistic studies performed to date seem to suggest a few general guidelines:

(1) The active species: when the organometallic reagent does not possess a β-hydrogen and in the absence of a ligand, iron-ate complexes are plausible candidates. When the organometallic reagent does possess a β-hydrogen, a low-valent species may form. Although Fe(+1) complexes were originally considered to be the most probable active species, recent evidence suggests that Fe(−2) complexes are the most reactive. When a ligand such as TMEDA is present, there is evidence that Fe(+2) complexes may be the active species, at least when arylmagnesium reagents are used as the nucleophilic partner.

(2) When low-valent iron species are involved, they are reactive enough for oxidative addition of an organic halide to proceed. An Fe(+2) species, on the other hand, may undergo a single-electron transfer to an organic halide.

(3) Different mechanistic cycles may be interconnected, and different mechanisms may also compete depending on the specific reaction conditions.

Regarding stereochemistry, the iron-catalyzed cross-coupling of alkenyl halides or pseudohalides with organometallic reagents generally takes place with retention of the configuration of the double bond, but depending on the substrate and reaction conditions, partial isomerization may also occur. For example, the reaction of alkenyl halides with a methylmagnesium halide in THF takes place stereospecifically, but when higher alkylmagnesium halides are used, the configurational homogeneity is not preserved.[5,51,52,35] The reaction of an (*E*)-alkenyl halide takes place stereospecifically, whereas a (*Z*)-alkenyl halide may lead to partial isomerization.[53] However, upon addition of an excess amount of

NMP, the reactions take place stereospecifically in most cases, regardless of the type of alkylmagnesium reagent employed.[54]

The reaction of an alkenylmagnesium halide[55,47] or an alkenylzinc reagent[56] with an alkyl halide proceeds with retention of the double bond geometry. Oxidative homocoupling of alkenylmagnesium compounds also proceeds stereospecifically.[57]

Alkenyl phosphates[58] and sulfones[59] react with alkylmagnesium reagents with retention of configuration, but partial isomerization occurs when arylmagnesium compounds are used.[58] The reaction of α-chloroenynes with alkylmagnesium reagents occurs without loss of isomeric purity.[60] Desulfinylative coupling of (*E*)-2-phenylethenesulfonyl chloride with aryl- and alkylmagnesium reagents proceeds with complete retention of the double bond configuration.[61] In contrast to these stereospecific reactions, alkenyl pivalates react with alkylmagnesium reagents with isomerization of the double bond, presumably because of the involvement of radical species.[58]


The reaction of an (*E*)- or (*Z*)-alkenyl chloride with an alkylmanganese compound proceeds stereospecifically.[62,63] The reaction of an (*E*)-alkenyl iodide with a terminal alkyne also takes place with retention of configuration.[64]

Iron-catalyzed cross-couplings involving an enantiomerically enriched partner often give racemic products, which strongly suggests the involvement of radical species. For example, significant loss of enantiopurity is observed in the reaction of an enantiomerically-enriched Grignard reagent with vinyl bromide.[45] However, the enantiopurity is preserved if the stereogenic atom is far from the reaction center.[65] The reaction of an enantiomerically enriched alkyl halide with an arylmagnesium reagent proceeds with loss of enantiomeric purity.[66] By contrast, the iron-catalyzed reaction of an enantiomerically enriched allylic carbonate with a malonate anion proceeds with a high degree of retention of configuration at the stereogenic center.[48]


SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

Cross-Coupling Reactions of Alkenyl Electrophiles

Reactions with Organomagnesium Compounds. The cross-coupling reaction of alkenyl bromides with alkylmagnesium reagents takes place smoothly at ambient temperature in THF in the presence of $FeCl_3$,[5,51] $Fe(dbm)_3$,[52,35] or other iron salts (Eq. 8).[52] Three to five equivalents of an alkenyl bromide are necessary to achieve synthetically viable product yields. The reaction with a phenylmagnesium reagent gives a poor yield (32%) under the same conditions.[52] However, the reaction of an alkenyl halide with an arylmagnesium reagent is consistently high-yielding in DME and Et_2O, even when one equivalent of an alkenyl bromide is used (Eq. 9).[53]




(Eq. 8)




(Eq. 9)

Addition of an excess amount of NMP dramatically improves the yield of the cross-coupling reaction of an alkenyl halide with an alkylmagnesium reagent. For instance, the reaction of 2-*n*-butyl-1-chlorohexene with *n*-butylmagnesium chloride affords 5-*n*-butyl-5-decene in 85% yield in the presence of nine equivalents of NMP, whereas only a 5% yield is obtained in the absence of the polar additive (Eq. 10).[54] Functional groups such as ester and ketone carbonyls remain intact (Eq. 11).[54]




(Eq. 10)




(Eq. 11)


Addition of a stoichiometric amount of LiCl or LiBr is very effective for the coupling reaction of alkenyl bromides with alkynylmagnesium reagents, for which NMP is not at all effective (Eq. 12).[67] Similarly, alkenyl pivalates, known to be less reactive than alkenyl halides and sulfonates, react with alkylmagnesium reagents in the presence of an excess of LiCl (Eq. 13).[68] Addition of a catalytic amount of the *N*-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligand precursor SIMes•HCl gives comparable results in the absence of LiCl (Eq. 14).[68]



(Eq. 12)




(Eq. 13)




(Eq. 14)

4-(2,2-Dibromovinyl)-1,2-dimethoxybenzene reacts sequentially with 2-propylmagnesium bromide and *n*-dodecylmagnesium bromide to give (*E*)-1,2-dimethoxy-4-(tetradec-1-enyl)benzene via stereoselective hydrodebromination and cross-coupling reactions (Eq. 15).[69] The reaction with two equivalents of *n*-dodecylmagnesium bromide gives the same product in 45% yield.



(Eq. 15)


Arylmagnesium reagents, prepared from the corresponding aryl iodides and 2-propylmagnesium bromide, react with alkenyl iodides in the presence of $Fe(acac)_3$ (Eq. 16).[70] Styrene derivatives possessing ester, cyano, and sulfonyl groups can be prepared in a stereospecific manner.



(Eq. 16)


In the presence of $FeCl_3$, an alkenyl sulfone reacts with *n*-propylmagnesium to give the coupling product in moderate yield, along with a significant amount of reduction product (Eq. 17).[59] On the other hand, the reaction with 2-propylmagnesium bromide produces predominantly the reduction product, probably

because of the formation of an iron hydride species via rapid β-hydride elimination from the secondary alkyl group.




(Eq. 17)

Desulfinylative coupling is successful with the use of a catalytic amount of $Fe(acac)_3$ and an excess of NMP. Thus, (*E*)-2-phenylethenesulfonyl chloride reacts with aryl and alkylmagnesium reagents to afford (*E*)-styrene derivatives with complete retention of the double bond configuration (Eq. 18).[61] The reaction in the presence of a palladium catalyst instead of $Fe(acac)_3$ does not give the desired desulfinylative coupling product, but rather oxidative homocoupling products of the Grignard reagent.[71]




(Eq. 18)

Enol phosphates[54,72] and sulfonates,[73] readily prepared from the corresponding carbonyl compounds, also serve as electrophiles in the presence of $Fe(acac)_3$ and NMP (Eqs. 19[72] and 20[73]). The reaction takes place smoothly at low temperature, and thus a variety of functional groups remain intact.




(Eq. 19)




(Eq. 20)

Dienol phosphates react with primary and secondary alkylmagnesium reagents to afford the corresponding coupling products in good to excellent yields (Eq. 21).[58] Slow addition of the Grignard reagent at 20° is important to achieve high yields. The coupling reaction of a terminal dienol phosphate takes place in a highly stereoretentive manner. Arylmagnesium reagents also take part in the reaction, but cause partial isomerization of the double bond. The reaction of

chloroenynes with three equivalents of an alkylmagnesium reagent in the presence of NMP occurs in good yield without loss of isomeric purity (Eq. 22).[60]




(Eq. 21)




(Eq. 22)

Alkenyl sulfides serve as a good electrophile for this coupling process. Alkenyl carbon–sulfur bond cleavage prevails over aryl carbon–sulfur bond cleavage; the reaction of phenyl vinyl sulfide with 4-methoxyphenylmagnesium bromide gives 4-methoxystyrene and 4-methoxybiphenyl in 74% and 2% yields, respectively (Eq. 23).[74] This result is in sharp contrast to the conventional palladium- and nickel-catalyzed processes, where both alkenyl carbon–sulfur and aryl carbon–sulfur bonds undergo cross-coupling with electrophiles.[75]



(Eq. 23)


(*E*)-(2-Bromoethenyl)thiobenzene bearing two potential leaving groups reacts with 2-propylmagnesium chloride via selective carbon–bromine cleavage to afford (*E*)-(3-methylbut-1-enyl)phenylsulfide as the sole product (Eq. 24).[76] $Fe(dpm)_3$ is the best catalyst in terms of yield and stereoselectivity.



(Eq. 24)


Reactions with Organocopper Compounds. Gilman-type cyanocuprates, prepared from the corresponding arylmagnesium reagents and CuCN•LiCl, react with enol and dienol sulfonates in the presence of $Fe(acac)_3$ to afford the coupling products in good yields (Eq. 25).[77] The coupling reaction can proceed in the

absence of an iron catalyst, albeit in low yield. Ester, cyano, trifluoromethyl, and bromo substituents are compatible with the reaction conditions.




(Eq. 25)

Reactions with Organomanganese Compounds. Organomanganese compounds can also be coupled with alkenyl electrophiles. Preparation of the organomanganese reagent is achieved by simple mixing of an organomagnesium or organolithium compound with a manganese(+2) halide, or by direct insertion of activated Mn(0) into the corresponding organic halide. This coupling reaction has a broader substrate scope than that using Grignard reagents: aryl and *n*- or *sec*-alkylmanganese chlorides react smoothly with alkenyl chlorides, bromides, and iodides in a highly chemoselective and stereospecific manner (Eqs. 26a and 26b).[62,63]




(Eq. 26a)



(Eq. 26b)

Reactions with Miscellaneous Nucleophilic Compounds. In the presence of 1,10-phenanthroline, $FeCl_3$ catalyzes the Sonogashira-like cross-coupling of alkenyl iodides with terminal alkynes (Eq. 27).[64] Other diamine ligands, such as *N*,*N*,*N*′,*N*′-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) and *N*,*N*′-dimethylethylenediamine (DMEDA) also give coupling products, but in lower yields. Aryl- and alkyl-substituted terminal alkynes possessing *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylamino, methoxy, phenoxy, and chloro substituents react well. Whereas a variety of alkenyl iodides give moderate to good yields, alkenyl bromides do not afford any coupling products under these reaction conditions, which represents a significant limitation of this iron-catalyzed reaction.[78]




(Eq. 27)

R = $PhN(Me)CH_2$, $PhOCH_2$, *n*-C_6H_{13}, 4-ClC_6H_4, 4-$MeOC_6H_4$

Cross-Coupling Reactions of Acyl Electrophiles


Reactions with Organomagnesium Compounds. The cross-coupling reaction of acyl chlorides with organomagnesium compounds takes place smoothly

in the presence of a catalytic amount of $Fe(acac)_3$ (Eq. 28[79]).[73,80–82] The reaction has several synthetically attractive features, such as high yield, chemoselectivity, and no need for precious transition metals and ligands. Therefore, this reaction is suitable for the large-scale production of ketones.




(Eq. 28)

Double acylation of an organodimagnesium compound can be achieved in the presence of 6 mol % of $Fe(acac)_3$ (Eq. 29).[81] *S*-Phenyl carbonochloridothioate participates in the coupling reaction to give the corresponding dicarboxylic thiol esters in good yields.



(Eq. 29)


The reaction of an arylmagnesium reagent with an acyl cyanide takes place smoothly at −10 to 0° to afford benzophenone derivatives in good yields, whereas the reaction with benzoyl chloride gives lower yields (38–53%) (Eq. 30).[83] A variety of functional groups such as chloro, methoxy, cyano, and ethoxycarbonyl remain intact under the reaction conditions.



(Eq. 30)

R^1 = H, 4-Cl, 4-MeO, 4-EtO_2C
R^2 = H, 4-NC, 4-MeO, 2-EtO_2C, 4-EtO_2C
X = Cl, Br

Reactions with Organozinc Compounds. The reaction of an acyl chloride with an alkylzinc compound takes place in the presence of 10 mol % of $FeCl_3$ and excess NMP (Eq. 31).[84] The coupling product can be obtained in slightly higher yields in the presence of $CoBr_2$ instead of $FeCl_3$.[84]




(Eq. 31)

Cross-Coupling Reactions of Aryl Electrophiles


Reactions with Organomagnesium Compounds. The cross-coupling reactions of an aryl and heteroaryl chloride, triflate, tosylate, and phosphate with

an alkylmagnesium reagent proceed smoothly in the presence of $Fe(acac)_3$ or $FeCl_3$ and an excess of NMP as a cosolvent.[85–89,43,73,49] The reaction of an aryl chloride, triflate, or tosylate with *n*-hexylmagnesium bromide leads to almost quantitative formation of the desired alkylbenzoic acid ester, whereas the corresponding aryl bromide or iodide provides less satisfactory yields (Eq. 32).[85] This observation is in sharp contrast to the conventional nickel and palladium catalysts, wherein aryl bromides and iodides provide the coupling products equally in good yields.[90,91]



X = I, Br (27–38%)
Cl, TfO, TsO (>95%) (Eq. 32)


Because conditions for reaction with an alkylmagnesium reagent are mild and selective (with complete reaction in a few minutes at or below ambient temperature), various functional groups such as esters, nitriles, sulfonates, sulfonamides, thioethers, acetals, alkynes, and trifluoromethyl groups are well tolerated. Many heterocyclic electrophiles can be used, such as pyridine, pyrimidine, triazine, quinoline, isoquinoline, carbazole, purine, pyridazine, pyrazine, quinoxaline, quinazoline, uracil, thiophene, and benzothiazole derivatives.[43] Although electron-deficient aryl chlorides, triflates, and tosylates give the desired coupling products in good to excellent yields (Eq. 33),[85] the scope of the reaction is rather narrow.



(Eq. 33)


R	X	Yield (%)
MeO_2C, NC, CF_3, *i*-$PrOSO_2$, $(i\text{-}Pr)_2NSO_2$	Cl, TfO, TsO	72–94
Me	Cl, TsO	0
Me	TfO	81

In stark contrast to the high reactivity of primary alkylmagnesium reagents, secondary alkyl-, allyl-, and alkenylmagnesium reagents provide poor to moderate results in the presence of $Fe(acac)_3$ and NMP. However, secondary alkylmagnesium reagents do react with electron-deficient aryl chlorides to give the desired coupling products in moderate yields by using an [Fe(salen)Cl] complex as the precatalyst (Eq. 34).[43]




(Eq. 34)

The reaction of benzoyl-protected 2,6-dichloropurine riboside with one equivalent of methylmagnesium chloride site-selectively affords the desired 6-methylated product in 60% yield (Eq. 35).[86] This reaction can be performed with protected purine bases and with acyl-protected ribosides and 2-deoxyribosides. After deprotection, various free purine bases and nucleosides are obtained in good to excellent yields.



(Eq. 35)


In the case of heteroaromatic substrates, not only primary alkyl- but also secondary alkylmagnesium or phenylmagnesium reagents smoothly take part in the cross-coupling reaction.[88] The cross-coupling reaction of 4-chloro-1-methyl-1*H*-pyrrolo[3,2-*c*]quinoline with alkyl- and arylmagnesium reagents in the presence of $Fe(acac)_3$ and NMP affords novel pyrrolo[3,2-*c*]quinoline derivatives in good to high yields (Eq. 36).[88]



(Eq. 36)


Heteroaromatic tosylates, phosphates, and sulfamates are also suitable electrophiles in iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions with Grignard reagents (Eqs. 37 and 38).[89] Moreover, pivalates and carbamates are good leaving groups.[68] The reaction of 2-naphthyl pivalate proceeds in moderate yield, but

the yield is dramatically improved by the use of a more stable carbamate, which has rarely been utilized as an electrophile in such a process (Eq. 39).[68]




(Eq. 37)

X	Catalyst	Yield (%)
TsO	$Fe(acac)_3$	98
$(EtO)_2PO_2$	$FeCl_3$	88




(Eq. 38)



R = *t*-Bu (40%)
Me_2N (80%)

(Eq. 39)

In the presence of $Fe(acac)_3$ or [Fe(salen)Cl], a π-electron-deficient heterocycle can be coupled with an arylmagnesium or heteroarylmagnesium reagent to give the corresponding biaryl product in moderate to good yield (Eq. 40).[43,92] On the other hand, the reactions of electron-rich aryl halides with arylmagnesium reagents tend to fail because of competing homocoupling reactions.




Ar = Ph, 3-pyridyl, 2-thienyl

(Eq. 40)

In contrast to the modest results obtained when $Fe(acac)_3$ or [Fe(salen)Cl] are used as the catalyst, the combination of iron fluoride with an NHC ligand gives the desired biaryl cross-coupling product in excellent yields and selectivity.[93] A variety of aryl- and heteroarylmagnesium reagents couple selectively and efficiently with electron-deficient aryl halides such as π-electron-deficient heterocycles, and also with electron-rich aryl chlorides. Although bromo- and iodobenzene, and phenyl triflate lead to poor results, chlorobenzene reacts with 4-tolylmagnesium bromide to afford the desired biaryl cross-coupling product selectively, together with a negligible amount of biphenyl and a small amount of 4,4′-dimethylbiphenyl (Eq. 41).[93] A carbon–fluorine bond is entirely inert under the reaction conditions (Eq. 41). An increase in reaction temperature allows a 2-substituted aryl chloride to react with 2-substituted arylmagnesium reagents or 1-naphthylmagnesium reagent and provides sterically hindered biaryl products in high yields (Eq. 42).[93,94] The origin of the unique catalytic effect


of the fluoride counterion can be ascribed to the formation of a higher-valent heteroleptic metalate ([$ArFeF_2$]MgBr) as the key intermediate in the proposed catalytic cycle.[94] Note that other catalyst systems, such as $Cp(PMe_2Ph)_2FeBr$[95] and $FeCl_3$ with a stoichiometric amount of TMEDA,[47] are not applicable to the biaryl-forming coupling reaction.




X = F (0%)
Cl (98%)
Br, I, TfO (23–28%)

1.2–1.5 equiv

(Eq. 41)



SIPr•HCl




R^1 = 4-F, H, 4-*n*-Bu, 2-MeO, 4-MeO, 3-Me_2N
R^2 = 4-F, H, 2-Me, 4-Me, 4-MeO

(87–98%)


(Eq. 42)

Reactions with Organocopper Compounds. Gilman-type cyanocuprates, prepared from the corresponding arylmagnesium reagents and CuCN•LiCl, are good nucleophiles for selective cross-coupling rather than homocoupling, and show useful functional-group compatibility.[96] Aryl iodides are the best electrophiles, bromides and chlorides exhibit moderate reactivity, and triflates and tosylates react very poorly (or not at all) (Eq. 43).[96] Amine, amide, nitrile, ester, and ketone groups on the electrophile are tolerated. Remarkably, a methyl ketone such as 2-iodoacetophenone, undergoes iron-catalyzed cross-coupling without any competitive deprotonation of the methyl ketone or addition to the carbonyl group. Organocopper compounds possessing an amine, alkoxy, triflate, acetal, nitrile, or ester group can be used (Eq. 44).[96] Heteroarylcopper compounds are also suitable reagents for this cross-coupling reaction.




X = I (100%)
Br, Cl (75–86%)
TfO, TsO (0–35%)

(Eq. 43)




(Eq. 44)

The iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction between a functionalized arylcopper compound and an aromatic iodide bearing an amide or an unprotected 2-quinolinone moiety leads smoothly to polyfunctionalized biaryls in excellent yields (Eq. 45).[97] Using 2-carbethoxyphenylcopper as the nucleophile, one can achieve intramolecular cyclization with the amide to obtain phenanthridinone derivatives in good yield (Eq. 46).[97]




(Eq. 45)




(Eq. 46)

Reactions with Organoboron Compounds. The Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction of an aryl bromide with a phenylboronic acid proceeds in the presence of $FeCl_3$ as the catalyst under high pressure (15 kbar) conditions (Eq. 47).[98] It is usually difficult to reduce iron(+3) to a low-valent state with phenylboronic acid, and therefore the authors suggest that the influence of pressure on the iron-catalyzed reaction may accelerate the reduction of the iron(+3) to a catalytically active oxidation state, although no experimental evidence was presented.



(Eq. 47)


The Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction of a 2-haloheteroarene with sodium tetraphenylborate is catalyzed by $FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (dppbz = 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene), with the aid of 10 mol % of the zinc compound $(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$ (Eq. 48).[99] Use of a phenylboronic acid or its pinacol ester instead of sodium tetraphenylborate did not give any coupling product. It was suggested that an in situ prepared arylzinc compound plays a key role in the transmetalation between the arylboron compound and the iron catalyst.[100]



(Eq. 48)

Although iron-catalyzed biaryl formation using an arylboronic acid as a nucleophile has been reported twice,[101,102] both papers were retracted[103,104] after additional experimental verification was performed.[105]


Reactions with Miscellaneous Nucleophilic Compounds. In the presence of $Fe(acac)_3$ and NMP as the cosolvent, methyl 4-chlorobenzoate reacts with an organomanganese reagent or trialkylzincate to give an alkylated product in high yield.[85,43] However, the use of *n*-BuLi or Et_3Al fails to afford any cross-coupling product (Eq. 49).[85,43] An iron-ate complex, such as Me_3FeLi or $[(Me_4Fe)(MeLi)][Li(OEt_2)]_2$, can react with aryl chlorides and iodides in low to moderate yields in the absence of any catalyst and additive.[106,107,41]



RM =		
	n-$C_{14}H_{29}MnCl$	(96%)
	$(n\text{-}C_{14}H_{29})_3MnMgCl$	(>98%)
	$Et_3ZnMgBr$	(93%)
	n-BuLi	(0%)

(Eq. 49)

The iron-catalyzed Negishi coupling reaction of a 2-haloheteroarene with a diarylzinc compound has also been reported.[99] The precatalyst, $FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$, catalyzes the site-selective reaction at the pyridine 2-position to afford the biaryl compounds in moderate yields (Eq. 50).[99]




R =	
H	(75%)
Br	(56%)


(Eq. 50)

The combination of $FeCl_3$ and DMEDA,[108] or $Fe(acac)_3$ and 2,2′-bipyridine (bpy),[109] catalyzes the Sonogashira-like coupling reaction of an aryl or heteroaryl

iodide with a terminal alkyne (Eq. 51). Whereas aryl and heteroaryl iodides bearing amine, methoxy, chloro, or fluoro groups show good reactivity, aryl bromides, chlorides, fluorides, and tosylates are inert under the reaction conditions. Aryl, alkyl, and triethylsilylacetylenes are viable nucleophiles. The reaction of 2-iodophenol with an aryl acetylene gives a 2-arylbenzofuran in moderate yields via Sonogashira-like coupling followed by cyclization (Eq. 52).[108]



(Eq. 51)




(Eq. 52)

Cross-Coupling Reactions of Allylic, Propargylic, and Benzylic Electrophiles

Allylation of Organomagnesium Compounds. Although the cross-coupling reaction of an allylic electrophile with a Grignard reagent in the absence of a transition metal catalyst can proceed, the reaction rate, yield, and selectivity are usually unsatisfactory.[109a] Some alternative methods for cross-coupling with an organomagnesium reagent using iron catalysis have been reported: $Fe(acac)_3$ is used for the reaction of allylic chlorides,[110] allylic phosphates,[111,112] and 2-alkenesulfonyl chlorides;[113] $FeCl_3$ is used for the reaction of allylic bromides;[36] and $[Li(TMEDA)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ is used for the reaction of allylic chlorides and bromides.[114,41]


In the presence of 0.5 mol % of $Fe(acac)_3$, tricyclo[4.1.0.0^{2,7}]hept-1-ylmagnesium reagents (prepared from the corresponding alkyl bromides, *n*-BuLi, and $MgBr_2$) react with an allylic halide or a propargylic halide at 0° to afford the corresponding coupling product (Eq. 53).[110] The reaction affords only traces of coupling product in the absence of the iron catalyst.




R = Me (20%)
Me3Si (22%)
Me2C=CH (31%)
Me3SiC≡C (43%)

(Eq. 53)

Allylic phosphates can be used as electrophiles for the iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction with Grignard reagents. A diphenylphosphate is superior to a diethyl or diisopropylphosphate as a leaving group for S_N2-selective cross-coupling reactions (Eq. 54).[111] Primary and secondary alkyl-, alkenyl-, alkynyl-, and phenylmagnesium reagents afford the corresponding coupling products in 54–95% yields with high S_N2-selectivities, in which the double bond configuration is retained. In the absence of the iron catalyst, the reaction does not proceed, even at 0°. Nearly exclusive S_N2-selectivities are obtained using $Fe(acac)_3$ and $Ni(acac)_2$, whereas high S_N2'-selectivities are observed when CuCN•2LiCl is used as a catalyst (Eq. 55).[112]




R	Yield (%) I + II	I/II
Et	80	70:30
i-Pr	68	73:27
Ph	93	94:6




I **II**

(Eq. 54)




Catalyst	Yield (%) I + II	I/II
$Fe(acac)_3$	94	99:1
$Ni(acac)_2$	83	>99:1
CuCN•2LiCl	98	1:99



I **II**


(Eq. 55)

Allylic sulfonyl chlorides, prepared from the corresponding alkene and sulfur dioxide through a BCl_3-promoted ene reaction, can be used as electrophilic partners in an iron-catalyzed desulfinylative cross-coupling reaction with various organomagnesium reagents (aromatic, aliphatic, and heteroaromatic) (Eq. 56).[113] The reaction tolerates a wide range of functional groups, including carbonyl groups that are prone to react quickly with an organomagnesium reagent. As was also found for the desulfinylative carbon–carbon bond-forming reaction of an alkyl- or alkenylsulfonyl chloride with an organomagnesium reagent,[61] $Fe(acac)_3$ in THF appears to be a better catalyst than $FeCl_3$.



(Eq. 56)


The catalytic activity of various structurally defined, preformed iron complexes has been investigated for the cross-coupling reaction of methyl 4-bromocrotonate with phenylmagnesium bromide (Eq. 57).[41] Although all complexes are catalytically potent, their efficiencies are strikingly different. The Fe(−2) species $[Li(TMEDA)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ outperforms, in terms of yield and reaction rate, all other catalysts bearing an iron center with a higher formal oxidation state (0, +1, +2, and +3).




Catalyst	x	Time (min)	Yield (%)
$[Li(TMEDA)]_2[Fe^{-2}(C_2H_4)_4]$	5	<10	94
$[Li(TMEDA)][CpFe^{0}(C_2H_4)_2]$	5	30	45
$Cp^*Fe^{+1}(C_2H_4)_2$	10	30	50
(cyclooctenyl)$CpFe^{+2}(C_2H_4)$	10	30	46
(allyl)$Cp^*Fe^{+3}Cl$	10	30	73

(Eq. 57)


The aryl moiety of phenylmagnesium bromide is introduced site-selectively at the least hindered site of the allylic system in the presence of a low-valent iron complex, $[Li(TMEDA)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (Eqs. 58 and 59).[114,41] Ketone, ester, and silyl groups remain intact under these reaction conditions (Eq. 60).[114,41]



(87%) (Eq. 58)




(84%) (Eq. 59)



(96%) (Eq. 60)


Allylation of Active Methylene Compounds. Alkylation of allylic substrates such as chlorides, acetates, and formates with diethyl malonate anion is catalyzed by the iron complexes (η^3-crotyl)$Fe(CO)_2NO$ and $Na[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (Eq. 61).[115] The reaction of cinnamyl acetate takes place in a highly S_N2-selective manner to give diethyl 2-cinnamylmalonate as the sole product (Eq. 62).[115]



Catalyst	x	Time (h)	Yield (%) I + II	I/II
(η^3-crotyl)Fe(CO)$_2$NO	10	0.4	84	74:26
Na[Fe(CO)$_3$NO]	20	48	90	82:18[a]


[a] Originally reported as 82:28.[52]

(Eq. 61)



(Eq. 62)

Diiron nonacarbonyl promotes the cross-coupling of an allylic acetate with a malonate anion in good yield (Eq. 63).[116,117] The site- and stereoselectivity of transition-metal-catalyzed allylations is well known to be substrate, nucleophile, catalyst, and solvent dependent.[118] In this reaction system, the site selectivity is low to modest and is temperature dependent. Stereoselective substitution with retention of olefin configuration can be achieved, but requires a lower reaction temperature in the case of (*Z*)-2-butenyl acetate (Eq. 63).




Temp (°)	Time	Yield (%) I + II + III	I/II/III
66	24 h	84	41:59:0
20	4 d	78	69:0:31


(Eq. 63)

The catalyst tetrabutylammonium (tricarbonylnitrosyl)ferrate, [Bu$_4$N][Fe(CO)$_3$NO],[119–122] is easier to prepare and less sensitive to air and moisture than the corresponding sodium derivative Na[Fe(CO)$_3$NO].[115] In the presence of [Bu$_4$N][Fe(CO)$_3$(NO)], alkylation of allylic carbonates with malonate anions occurs predominantly at the carbon atom to which the leaving group is attached, even in the case of a tertiary substrate (Eq. 64).[122] No significant isomerization of the double bond is observed even at elevated temperature (Eq. 65).[122] The retention of configuration suggests that this reaction may not proceed via a π-allyl


complex but rather via a σ-allyl complex, because it is known that isomerization of the double bond commonly takes places in π-allylpalladium complex mediated allylation reactions.[123] The reaction of an enantiomerically enriched allylic carbonate with malonate anion proceeds with high retention of configuration at the stereogenic center (Eq. 66).[48] This selectivity is often observed in palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation reactions as well.[118]



(Eq. 64)




(Eq. 65)




(Eq. 66)

Two modified reaction conditions for the $[Bu_4N][Fe(CO)_3NO]$ catalyzed allylation reaction of malonates have been reported. Efficient iron-catalyzed allylation with an allylic carbonate proceeds with low catalyst loading (2.5 mol %) in the presence of triphenylphosphine as the ligand and DMF as the solvent (Eq. 67).[120] Furthermore, the pronucleophile does not need to be deprotonated in a separate step, and utilization of the toxic carbon monoxide atmosphere is avoided. Inversion of the site selectivity as compared with the original reaction is observed when SIMes•HPF_6 is used as the ligand, leading to predominant formation of the S_N2' addition product (Eq. 68).[121] The use of the more sterically hindered ligand SI-*t*-Bu•HPF_6, bearing *tert*-butyl groups at the nitrogen atoms, leads to the S_N2 addition product with high selectivity. Ester, ketone, nitrile, and sulfonyl groups on the active methylene compound are tolerated.




(Eq. 67)



Ligand	Yield (%) I + II	I/II
SIMes•HPF_6	79	9:91
SI*t*-Bu•HPF_6	84	91:9

(Eq. 68)


Propargylation of Organomagnesium Compounds. Although the cross-coupling of Grignard reagents with a propargylic halide in the absence of a suitable catalyst gives a low yield, the reaction proceeds smoothly in the presence of 0.5 mol % of $Fe(acac)_3$.[110] The coupling reaction of tricyclo[4.1.0.$0^{2,7}$]hept-1-ylmagnesium bromide with secondary propargylic halides possessing a terminal alkyne gives the allene products selectively, albeit in poor yield (Eq. 69).[110] If the terminal alkyne carbon carries a trimethylsilyl group, alkyne products are formed. This selectivity is in contrast to the results obtained in the copper-mediated reaction of related terminally substituted propargylic compounds that afford S_N2' addition products.[124,125]




R	Yield (%) I + II	I/II
H	27	0:100
Me_3Si	48	100:0

(Eq. 69)

In the presence of a trace amount of $FeCl_3$ (<0.1 mol %), terminal primary, secondary, tertiary, and nonterminal tertiary propargylic chlorides react with primary or secondary alkylmagnesium reagents (added slowly to the reaction mixture) to produce allene products in good to excellent yields (Eq. 70).[126,127] Whereas primary and secondary alkylmagnesium reagents give allene products selectively, methylmagnesium iodide gives a 1:1 mixture of allene and alkyne products (Eq. 71).[127] Cobalt, nickel, and copper salts can also be used as catalysts for this reaction if the organomagnesium reagents are added very slowly.




(Eq. 70)




(Eq. 71)

The cross-coupling reaction of a propargylic electrophile with an aryl- or alkenylmagnesium reagent proceeds in the presence of $FeCl_3$ and TMEDA (Eq. 72),[47] or low-valent iron complex $[Li(TMEDA)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (Eq. 73).[114,41] In these reactions, propargylic coupling products are the major products, with only small amounts of allenic side products.




(Eq. 72)




(Eq. 73)

Benzylation of Miscellaneous Nucleophilic Compounds. Various acidic 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds and methyl 3-acetamidobut-2-enoate react with benzylic alcohols or acetates in the presence of $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ to give the corresponding 2-benzylated products in good to excellent yields (Eq. 74).[128] Benzyl alcohol, its acetate and carbonate, afford only low yields because of the decreased stability of the corresponding carbocation intermediate compared to 1-phenylethyl electrophiles; but the more electron-rich 4-methoxy-substituted benzyl alcohol reacts

in higher yield. Using this method, bioactive 4-hydroxy-3-(1-phenylalkyl)-2*H*-chromen-2-ones can be synthesized from 4-hydroxycoumarin and 1-phenylethyl or 1-phenylpropyl alcohols (Eq. 75).[128]




R^1 = H, Ac R^2 = Me, Et, Ph
R^3 = Me, Ph, MeO, EtO (Eq. 74)




R = Me (81%)
Et (94%) (Eq. 75)

Benzylic halides undergo cross-coupling with organomagnesium reagents in the presence of a catalytic amount of $FeCl_3$,[36] $FeCl_2{\bullet}H_2O$ and PMe_2Ph,[95] or low-valent iron complex $[Li(TMEDA)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (Eq. 76).[41] The desulfinylative cross-coupling reaction of benzyl sulfonyl chloride with an arylmagnesium reagent is accomplished by using a catalytic amount of $Fe(acac)_3$ and excess NMP (Eq. 77).[61]




(62%) (Eq. 76)



(58%) (Eq. 77)

Arylzinc compounds undergo iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions with benzyl halides and phosphates.[129] The preformed iron complex, $FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$, shows excellent activity and selectivity. The reaction tolerates electron-donating and -withdrawing groups on both the benzylic substrate and the diarylzinc compound, as well as sensitive functional groups such as ester and nitrile on the benzylic halide (Eq. 78).[129] A bromine substituent on the aromatic ring of the benzylic electrophiles is tolerated under the reaction conditions. This observation is noteworthy as this selectivity cannot be achieved using nickel or palladium catalysis because of competitive arylation of the aryl bromide fragments.[130] The low activity of ArZnX and the transfer of only one aryl group from the Ar_2Zn species is a disadvantage when employing more complex or expensive aryl groups. However, trimethylsilylmethyl or *N*,*N*-dimethylbenzylamino groups can


be used as a nontransferable ligand, and the desired cross-coupling product is obtained selectively in good yields (Eq. 79).[129]



R^1 = 4-MeO, 4-Me, 4-Br, 2-CF_3, 2-NC, 4-MeO_2C
X = Cl, Br, $(EtO)_2PO_2$
R^2 = MeO, Me, CF_3

(73–99%)


(Eq. 78)




R = Me_3SiCH_2 (74%)
2-$(Me_2NCH_2)C_6H_4$ (89%)

(Eq. 79)


The preformed iron complex $FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ also catalyzes Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reactions of benzyl halides with tetraarylborate salts in the presence of 10 mol % of the zinc compound $(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$ (Eq. 80).[99] The reaction does not proceed with phenylboronic acid or its pinacol ester in place of sodium tetraphenylborate (Eq. 80).[99] Apart from the nitro group, other functional groups on the benzyl halide substrates, such as cyano, ester, trifluoromethyl, and bromide are well tolerated (Eq. 81).[99] Benzyl chlorides show slightly lower reactivity, but benzyl phosphates are poor substrates.



(Eq. 80)



(0%) (0%) (0%) (0%) (0%) (96%)



(Eq. 81)


R = Me, Br, CF_3, MeO_2C (90–99%)
R = O_2N (0%)

Cross-Coupling Reactions of Alkyl Electrophiles


Recent progress in iron catalysis has made it possible to efficiently cross-couple alkyl electrophiles possessing β-hydrogens with organometallic compounds in the presence of certain iron salts or complexes. This section

summarizes the scope and limitations of iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of unactivated alkyl electrophiles with various nucleophiles.

Reactions with Organomagnesium Compounds. Tetramethylethylenediamine is one of the most widely used additives for iron-catalyzed cross-coupling of alkyl halides with organomagnesium compounds.[66,114,131,48,55,47,41] In the presence of a stoichiometric amount of TMEDA, primary alkyl bromides and iodides react with phenylmagnesium bromide to afford the coupling products in high yields, whereas primary alkyl chlorides give moderate yields (Eq. 82).[66] Secondary alkyl halides such as cyclohexyl halides show higher reactivity than primary alkyl halides, affording the cross-coupling products in higher yields (Eq. 83).[66] In regard to the aryl nucleophile, electron-rich aryl Grignard reagents react rapidly, whereas reagents with an electron-withdrawing group react sluggishly.




(Eq. 82)




(Eq. 83)

Although the use of a substoichiometric amount of TMEDA decreases the yield of $FeCl_3$-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions,[131,48] the combined use of TMEDA and hexamethylenetetramine (HMTA) as additives is found to improve the yield of the coupling product (Eq. 84).[48] In addition to TMEDA, other simple amines such as Et_3N or DABCO also effect the cross-coupling reaction of alkyl halides in diethyl ether at elevated temperature (Eq. 85).[131] These catalytic systems are applicable to cross-coupling reactions of primary and secondary alkyl chlorides, bromides, and iodides.




(Eq. 84)




(Eq. 85)

A low-valent iron(−2)–TMEDA complex also serves as the catalyst for cross-coupling of primary and secondary alkyl halides with various arylmagnesium reagents.[114,41] Chemoselective cross-couplings are feasible in the presence of potentially reactive functional groups such as carbonyl, cyano, and isocyanato groups. A variety of primary and secondary alkyl bromides and iodides show excellent reactivities, whereas alkyl chlorides are inert under these reaction conditions (Eq. 86).[114,41]



(Eq. 86)


In addition to the amine ligands mentioned above, many types of ligands, including phosphines, phosphites, arsines, NHC's,[46] and salens[132] can promote iron-catalyzed cross-coupling between alkyl halides and arylmagnesium reagents (Eq. 87). These ligands show a beneficial effect on selectivity and yield. An imidazolium-derived ionic liquid possessing a ferrate counteranion, which could be a good precursor for the generation of iron–carbene species, serves as a catalyst for cross-coupling (Eq. 87).[133] Notably, the catalyst is recyclable and the reaction can be performed without losing significant activity over five cycles.




(Eq. 87)

The iron-catalyzed cross-coupling of alkyl chlorides, bromides, and iodides with arylmagnesium reagents takes place even in the absence of amine ligands: $Fe(acac)_3$ in diethyl ether is reported to give cross-coupling products, although the

yield obtained is moderate (Eq. 88).[49] "Iron nanoparticles," formed by the reduction of $FeCl_3$ with organomagnesium compounds in the presence of polyethylene glycol (PEG 14000), can catalyze the cross-coupling of cyclohexyl chloride with 4-tolylmagnesium bromide without an additive to give the product in 77% yield (Eq. 89).[134]




(Eq. 88)




(Eq. 89)

Iron-catalyzed tandem cyclization/cross-coupling can be achieved with alkyl halides possessing an appropriately placed internal alkene. The substrates undergo ring closure prior to the cross-coupling, presumably through an alkyl radical intermediate. The reaction provides a useful method for the preparation of novel carbocycles and heterocycles (Eq. 90[114]).[66,131,46,134,41]



(Eq. 90)

In the presence of $Fe(acac)_3$, a variety of sulfonyl chlorides, including primary and secondary alkyl, alkenyl, and aryl sulfonyl chlorides, undergo desulfinylative cross-coupling with aryl- and alkenylmagnesium reagents (Eq. 91).[61] However, the reaction with allyl- and benzylmagnesium reagents gives only decomposition products.




R =	n-C8H17−R
Ph	(72%)
CH_2=C(Me)	(46%)
$PhCH_2CH_2$	(6%)
CH_2=$CHCH_2$	(0%)


(Eq. 91)

Alkenylmagnesium reagents can be employed for iron-catalyzed cross-coupling of alkyl electrophiles. A catalytic amount of $FeCl_3$ and a stoichiometric amount of TMEDA is reported to be a suitable catalyst combination for the efficient cross-coupling reaction of unactivated alkyl halides with alkenylmagnesium reagents (Eq. 92).[47] The catalytic system consisting of

$Fe(acac)_3$, TMEDA, and HMTA is also effective (Eq. 93).[55] Under both sets of conditions, a variety of primary and secondary alkyl halides react stereospecifically (at the sp^2 carbon) with alkenylmagnesium reagents to afford coupling products in good to excellent yields.




(Eq. 92)




(Eq. 93)

In general, transition-metal-catalyzed $C(sp^3)–C(sp^3)$ cross-coupling is particularly challenging. The combination of $Fe(OAc)_2$ and XantPhos (4,5-bis(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene) catalyzes $C(sp^3)–C(sp^3)$ cross-coupling between primary or secondary alkyl halides and primary alkylmagnesium reagents, although the yields obtained are rather low. The cross-coupling reaction of 1-bromodecane and its congeners with *n*-butylmagnesium bromide affords the products in 63% to 64% yield (Eq. 94).[135]




(Eq. 94)


Reactions with Organozinc Compounds. Organozinc compounds participate in iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions with alkyl halides and sulfonates in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of TMEDA.[136,137,56] The use of organozinc compounds, which are less nucleophilic than organomagnesium compounds, allows highly chemoselective cross-couplings between functionalized alkyl halides and organozinc compounds (Eq. 95).[136] It should be noted that the presence of Lewis acidic salts such as $MgBr_2$ is critical to achieve an effective catalytic transformation. Tandem cyclization/cross-coupling is also possible under these reaction conditions (Eq. 96).[136] When alkenylzinc reagents are used, the addition of an excess amount of TMEDA is crucial to obtain a high yield of cross-coupling products (Eq. 97).[56]



(Eq. 95)




(Eq. 96)



(Eq. 97)


The ligand dppbz is especially useful for selective cross-coupling between alkyl halides and perfluorinated arylzinc compounds (Eq. 98),[138] which is unachievable using other additives such as TMEDA. This cross-coupling protocol provides easy and practical access to the synthesis of perfluorinated aromatic compounds.



(Eq. 98)


Oxidative and Reductive Coupling Reactions

Oxidative Coupling Reactions. Iron catalysts function effectively in oxidative homocoupling reactions of arylmagnesium reagents. A variety of aryl- and heteroarylmagnesium reagents undergo iron-catalyzed homocoupling to give symmetrical biaryls in the presence of a 1,2-dihaloethane as a stoichiometric oxidant.[139,140] Bulky arylmagnesium reagents including a reagent possessing two *ortho*-substituents can participate in the reaction, although the yield is moderate (Eq. 99).[139] The homocoupling reaction is highly chemoselective, as shown by the fact that a range of reactive functional groups such as cyano, carbonyl, and nitro groups are tolerated. Various functionalized arylmagnesium reagents prepared from a halogen–magnesium exchange reaction undergo the homocoupling reaction in low to moderate yields (Eq. 100).[140] Intramolecular coupling reactions to construct a fused ring system have also been reported.[140]




(Eq. 100)

Oxygen can be used instead of an organic oxidant. Thus, dry air is successfully employed for oxidative homocoupling reactions of aryl- and alkenylmagnesium reagents to afford various biaryl or diene compounds in good yields (Eqs. 101 and 102).[57] A manganese salt, $MnCl_2 \cdot 2LiCl$, also efficiently catalyzes the oxidative homocoupling reaction of organomagnesium reagents using atmospheric oxygen as the oxidant.[57]




(Eq. 101)



(Eq. 102)


Iron-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling between sp^2- and sp^3-carbon nucleophiles can be achieved using organozinc compounds. A variety of aryl(methyl) zinc compounds can couple with dialkylzincs in the presence of $Fe(acac)_3$ and 1,2-dibromoethane as the oxidant to give the alkylated arenes in moderate to good yields (Eq. 103).[141] Primary and secondary alkylzinc compounds react well as the sp^3-carbon nucleophilic partners, whereas tertiary alkyl-, allyl-, and benzylzinc compounds are not usable.



(Eq. 103)


Reductive Coupling Reactions. The reductive homocoupling reaction of alkyl halides in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of a reducing agent such

as sodium or magnesium is known as Wurtz-type coupling. Some iron salts, such as $Fe(dbm)_3$, efficiently catalyze Wurtz-type coupling of primary and secondary alkyl and benzyl bromides using magnesium as a stoichiometric reductant.[142] The iron catalysis can be applied to reductive homocoupling reactions of aryl bromides (Ullmann-type coupling)[143,144] to give symmetrical biaryl compounds.[142] Primary and secondary alkyl, and benzyl bromides can be coupled to give the products in low to moderate yields. Notably, strong electron-withdrawing groups such as trifluoromethyl are tolerated under the reaction conditions (Eq. 104).[142]



(69%) (Eq. 104)

Reductive cross-coupling reactions between aryl halides and alkyl halides are catalyzed by $FeCl_3$/TMEDA in the presence of magnesium as the stoichiometric reductant (Eq. 105).[145] This one-pot protocol has the merit that it avoids the preparation and manipulation of aryl or alkyl Grignard reagents. The use of aryl or alkyl chlorides is also possible, but the yields of the cross-coupling products are considerably decreased.




X	Y	Time 2 (h)	Yield (%)
Br	Br	2	77
Cl	Br	2	25
Br	Cl	3	20

(Eq. 105)

APPLICATIONS TO SYNTHESIS


Iron is a promising cross-coupling catalyst because of its practical advantages over palladium and other transition metals, as well as its unique catalytic activity. In recent years, several natural product syntheses have been accomplished using iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions between alkylmagnesium reagents and sp^2-carbon electrophiles. For instance, an efficient total synthesis of the odoriferous alkaloid (*R*)-(+)-muscopyridine was accomplished in 2003 (Scheme 9).[65] The key step is the successive cross-couplings of a bifunctional pyridine derivative with an enantiomerically pure alkylmagnesium reagent and 6-heptenylmagnesium bromide in one pot. The authors take advantage of the high catalytic activity of iron under very mild reaction conditions to achieve selective $C(sp^2)$–OTf bond cleavage in the presence of a $C(sp^2)$–Cl bond[146] and without racemization of the optically active reagent. Although the selectivity is not complete, the undesired minor byproduct is removed by "chemical self-clearance," wherein after selective ring-closing metathesis of the major product,

the remaining byproduct is polymerized and easily removed. This key step allows an expeditious total synthesis of (*R*)-(+)-muscopyridine with good overall yield and step economy.



Scheme 9. Synthesis of (*R*)-(+)-muscopyridine.


A concise and productive route to latrunculin B, isolated as the ichthyotoxic component of the Red Sea sponge *Latrunculia magnifica*,[147] has been developed based on iron-catalyzed cross-coupling. Two key intermediates are prepared from an alkenyl triflate and 3-pentyn-1-ylmagnesium bromide, and from an acyl chloride and methylmagnesium bromide, respectively (Scheme 10).[148] The iron-catalyzed cross-coupling of an alkyne-containing alkylmagnesium reagent with an enol triflate possessing an ester group proceeds under mild conditions in quantitative yield and with good tolerance for the ester group. Methylation of the acyl



Scheme 10. Synthesis of latrunculin B.


chloride with methylmagnesium bromide can proceed in the absence of a catalyst, but the iron-catalyzed reaction is faster and more reliable. Notably, the reaction using dimethylcuprate as the methyl donor results in complete decomposition of the starting material.

The high chemoselectivity of iron-catalyzed cross-couplings is exploited in the total synthesis of the potent immunosuppressive agent FTY720 (Scheme 11).[149] In the presence of $Fe(acac)_3$ and NMP as a cosolvent, the reaction of an aryl triflate possessing an ester group with octylmagnesium bromide takes place smoothly to give the cross-coupling product. Addition of the alkylmagnesium reagent to the ester group is not observed.




Scheme 11. Synthesis of FTY720.

A key intermediate in the synthesis of montipyridine, a cytotoxic marine natural product isolated from the stony coral *Montipora sp*,[150] is prepared by iron-catalyzed cross-coupling of a chloropyridine derivative with 8-nonenylmagnesium bromide (Scheme 12).[85] This reaction proceeds rapidly at room temperature without the need of a ligand.



Scheme 12. Synthesis of montipyridine.

Analogues of the antipsychotic agent clozapine can be prepared in good yield by the reaction of imidoyl chlorides with alkylmagnesium reagents in the presence of an iron catalyst and an excess amount of NMP (Eq. 106).[146] The aryl chloride group is not affected under these mild conditions. The reaction in the absence of the iron catalyst proceeds much slower and in low yield.



(Eq. 106)

Recently, the substrate scope of iron-catalyzed cross-coupling has been extended by using functionalized organomagnesium and organozinc reagents.[151] These advances will provide further opportunities in the synthesis of highly functionalized molecules, such as pharmaceuticals, pesticides, liquid crystals, optoelectronic materials, etc.

COMPARISON WITH OTHER METHODS

Thorough comparison between iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions and the enormous literature on palladium- or other transition-metal-catalyzed cross-couplings[152] is impractical here. However, this section briefly touches on several selected aspects of comparison of the methods.

The major advantages of iron catalysts over other transition metals are their abundance, low cost, and nontoxicity. Another important characteristic of iron catalysis is the high activity of a suitably designed catalyst, which allows one to accomplish a fast reaction under mild reaction conditions. Therefore, in many reactions, iron-catalyzed cross-couplings show good functional-group tolerance, sometimes surpassing that found with palladium or nickel catalysis.[114] It should be noted though that, besides the catalyst, the choice of the reagents is also important. For example, palladium catalysis allows one to achieve efficient reactions with less reactive boron or tin compounds, whereas iron catalysis has been exploited much less with these reagents, but rather has been applied with the more reactive organomagnesium and organozinc reagents.

The high activity of iron catalysts may present disadvantages, such as difficulties in controlling the reaction pathway and faster catalyst deactivation, requiring rather high catalyst loadings. These issues have been solved for palladium catalysis by the development of a plethora of efficient stabilizing ligands,[152] which allow highly selective reactions and extremely low catalyst loadings, reaching ppm and ppb levels. Although efficient ligands and additives have been developed for iron, truly high-efficiency ligands comparable to those used in palladium chemistry are still lacking.

Regarding the electrophilic partner, iron catalysts can achieve chemoselectivity that is complementary to other transition metals, such as palladium and nickel. Whereas palladium and nickel catalysts react the fastest with aryl iodides and bromides, iron catalysts can prefer chlorides, triflates, or tosylates. For example,

the iron-catalyzed reactions of an alkylmagnesium bromide with aryl chlorides, triflates, and tosylates lead to almost quantitative formation of the desired cross-coupling product, whereas the corresponding aryl bromides and iodides react in low yields[85] because the high reactivity of iron toward these electrophilic partners leads to poor chemoselectivity. In contrast, in the presence of a nickel or palladium catalyst, aryl bromides and iodides give the coupling products in good yields.[90,91] The iron-catalyzed cross-coupling of arylzinc compounds with benzyl halides and phosphates tolerates a bromine substituent on the aromatic ring of benzylic electrophiles,[129] whereas this selectivity cannot be achieved using nickel or palladium catalysis.[130]

Although a variety of transition metals including nickel, palladium, cobalt, and copper efficiently catalyze the cross-coupling reactions of unactivated alkyl electrophiles with arylmetal reagents,[130,20] the reactivity and selectivity of iron catalysis toward unactivated alkyl halides is remarkable. Vanadium[153] and silver[154,155] catalysts are also reported to promote the $C(sp^3)–C(sp^2)$ cross-coupling reaction, but the yield and selectivity still need to be improved. In contrast, iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions with organomagnesium, -zinc, -aluminum, and -boron compounds show broader applicability for a variety of nonactivated alkyl halides, including secondary alkyl chlorides.

Organic iodides, bromides, and chlorides can be used in iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions, but iron-catalyzed reactions of organic fluorides have not been reported to date. In contrast, other transition metals, especially nickel, are known to catalyze carbon–fluorine bond activation of aromatic fluorides.[156–158]

Regarding the organometallic reagent to be employed for the coupling reaction, palladium and nickel catalysts are extremely versatile, allowing organomagnesium, -zinc, -boron, -tin, and -silicon reagents among others to effectively take part in the reaction.[152] In contrast, iron catalysis engages a much narrower repertoire of organometallic reagents. Organomagnesium and organozinc reagents have been used extensively, but the less reactive boron, tin, and silicon have rarely been used for iron catalysis[98,99] despite their advantages, such as selectivity, stability, and ease of handling.

The palladium- and other transition-metal-catalyzed reaction of an organic halide with an alkene (the Heck reaction) is a versatile method to construct stereodefined polysubstituted alkenes.[159,160] Iron catalysis is lagging behind in this field, and only one example of an iron-catalyzed Heck reaction has been reported to date;[161] only styrenes can be utilized as the alkene partner and the yields are modest.

Transition-metal-catalyzed $C(sp^3)–C(sp^3)$ cross-coupling is particularly challenging, and can be achieved through the cross-coupling of an unactivated alkyl halide with an alkylmagnesium reagent catalyzed by manganese,[162] copper,[163–167] cobalt,[168–171] nickel,[172–175] and palladium.[176,177,173] The $C(sp^3)–C(sp^3)$ cross-coupling between an alkyl halide and an alkylmagnesium reagent using iron catalysis has been reported once, but the yields are low and the scope is rather narrow.[135]

Enantioselective cross-couplings have been achieved using palladium[177a] or nickel catalysis,[178,179] but there are no such reports to date using iron catalysis, which remains a future challenge for the development of iron-catalyzed cross-couplings.

EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

Many experimental variables are critical to the success of iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. The following section provides an overview of iron sources, additives, ligands, organometallic compounds, and other conditions that can provide guidelines for the initial selection of reaction conditions.

The Iron Source

Among the commercially available iron(+2) and iron(+3) sources, $Fe(acac)_3$ is the most versatile and common catalyst precursor because of its catalytic performance, low cost, and stability. More expensive analogues of $Fe(acac)_3$, $Fe(dbm)_3$ and $Fe(dpm)_3$, can also be employed. Iron(+3) chloride shows comparable (or higher in some cases) catalytic performance and can be the second-choice catalyst precursor, although careful handling is required due to its hygroscopic nature. Iron(+2) sources, particularly $FeCl_2$, can be a third choice, having a potential advantage in reducing side reactions such as homocoupling of organometallic reagents accompanying an initial reduction process. However, there is a trade-off between this advantage and the lack of solubility in ethereal solvents, and thus catalyst screening is often required on a case-by-case basis. Less soluble iron(+2 or +3) sources such as iron oxides, iron hydroxides, and iron fluorides are generally inefficient catalyst precursors owing to strong coordination of the counteranions. As a consequence, one of the three iron sources ($Fe(acac)_3$, $FeCl_3$, and $FeCl_2$) gives the optimum yield in almost all reactions with the following exceptions: $FeF_3{\bullet}3H_2O$ gives high selectivity for cross-coupling over homocoupling in the reaction of aryl halides with arylmagnesium reagents, whereas other iron sources give poor selectivity.[93,94] The reaction of alkyl halides with alkylmagnesium reagents takes place in moderate yields with $Fe(OAc)_2$, but in poor yields with other iron sources.[135] Fluoride and acetate ions are assumed to remain coordinated to the iron center during the reactions. A new class of iron complexes, $[Li(TMEDA)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ and $FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$,[99,129,138] shows higher catalytic performance than the conventional iron sources in the reactions of alkyl halides with arylmagnesium,[114,41] -zinc,[129,138] and -boron[99] compounds. Iron(0) carbonyl $[Fe_2(CO)_9]$ and its complexes, such as $Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ and $Na[Fe(CO)_3NO]$, are essential catalysts for allylation of active methylene compounds.[115–117,119–122]

Additives and Ligands

Most of the early investigations from the 1970's to the 1990's did not employ particular additives. In more recent reports,[62,63,179a,84] many iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of sp^2-carbon electrophiles with alkyl- and arylmagnesium reagents have benefited from the addition of excess amounts of NMP.[54,180,69,60,73,146,85,43,65,87,61,149,180a,72,88,89,41] NMP is best purified by

distillation just before use.[181] Lithium chloride and lithium bromide are also effective additives for the coupling reactions of sp^2-carbon electrophiles.[58,68] Lithium salts can be purified by recrystallization from water, methanol, or ethanol, and dried in vacuo at 100–130°.[181] A difficult class of coupling partners, sp^3-carbon electrophiles, efficiently couple with aryl(alkenyl)magnesium and -zinc compounds using a stoichiometric amount of TMEDA as the additive.[66,131,47,136,137,56,145] The combined use of a catalytic amount of TMEDA and HMTA is comparable to a stoichiometric amount of TMEDA.[48,55] TMEDA and HMTA can be purified by distillation from BuLi and recrystallization from absolute ethanol, respectively.[181] In contrast to palladium- and nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions, there are only a few iron-based reactions mediated by phosphorus ligands, such as the Tsuji–Trost reaction by Ph_3P,[120] the reaction of alkyl halides with alkylmagnesium reagents by XantPhos,[135] and the reaction of alkyl halides with arylzinc[129,138] and -boron[99] compounds by dppbz. The bulky NHC ligands SIPr and SIMes show good catalytic activity for the reaction of aryl halides with arylmagnesium reagents[93,94] and the reaction of alkenyl carboxylates with alkylmagnesium reagents,[68] respectively. The phosphine ligands and carbene precursors mentioned above can be purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received.

Organometallic Compounds

Organomagnesium compounds (Grignard reagents) are readily prepared from the corresponding organic halides or are available from commercial suppliers, and are often the first choice in iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. However, the cross-coupling reactions with organomagnesium compounds have a limitation of functional-group compatibility because of their highly nucleophilic and basic properties. Halogen–magnesium exchange between an aryl halide and a 2-propylmagnesium halide at low temperature provides a partial remedy: a variety of arylmagnesium compounds possessing ester, cyano, and nitro groups were prepared from the corresponding aryl halides in situ and coupled with alkenyl halides[70] and aroyl cyanides[83] at low temperatures. Treatment of the functionalized arylmagnesium compounds with copper cyanide affords the corresponding arylcopper compounds of considerable thermal stability. These reagents can be employed in coupling reactions even at 80°, at which temperature some functional groups remain intact.[77,96,97] Organozinc compounds are a useful class of organometallic compounds because of their ready availability and functional-group compatibility. A variety of aryl and alkenylzinc compounds have been employed in the coupling reactions of alkyl halides[136–138,56] or acyl chlorides,[84] and can be a second choice. Organomanganese compounds are also useful coupling partners.[62,63,179a] Although organoboron compounds are the most versatile organometallic compounds because of their stability, high functional-group compatibility, and commercial availability, there are only two reports of iron-catalyzed cross-coupling employing organoboron compounds. One example is the reaction of aryl bromides with phenylboronic acid at 15000 bar,[98] and the other is the reaction of benzyl halides with tetraarylborates.[99]

Other Conditions

The cross-coupling reactions must be carried out under an inert gas atmosphere to suppress deactivation of the iron catalysts or unfavorable side reactions, such as homocoupling reactions induced by molecular oxygen.[57] Most of the coupling reactions take place smoothly in ethereal solvents (Et_2O, THF, DME, MTBE, 1,4-dioxane) or toluene with or without additives under low to ambient temperature. The Tsuji–Trost-type reactions[115–117,119–122] and the Sonogashira reaction[64,108,109] require elevated temperatures and/or aprotic polar solvents (DMF, $MeNO_2$). The order of adding the reagents can significantly increase the yield in many cases. In general, the organometallic compound is added to a mixture of the electrophile and the catalyst precursor to avoid over-reduction or probable ferrate formation from the iron precursor. In particular, in the reactions between sp^3-carbon electrophiles and Grignard reagents, the slow addition of Grignard reagents significantly improves the product yield.[66,114,48,55,47,61,41] The most common workup procedures involve quenching the reaction with acid, such as aqueous HCl or NH_4Cl, followed by extraction with an organic solvent. Purification by distillation or silica gel column chromatography affords analytically pure products.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The experimental procedures provided in this section exemplify the reaction protocols described in the text. Many are derived from general procedures described in the primary literature, and, where necessary, weights and volumes of reagents based on equivalency have been added for specific substrates and reagents as an aid to the reader. Before preparing any of the compounds included in this section, the reader should consult the original reference.

Iron(+3) Chloride, $FeCl_3$.[182] Iron(+3) chloride was purchased from a commercial supplier, dehydrated with $SOCl_2$ and dried thoroughly under reduced pressure to give anhydrous $FeCl_3$.

tris(Acetylacetonato)iron(+3), $Fe(acac)_3$.[183] Anhydrous $FeCl_3$ (4.0 g, 24.7 mmol) was dissolved in water (6 mL) with gentle warming. Ammonia solution (9 mL) was added slowly with constant stirring. The mixture was heated on a steam bath for 15–20 min, and the precipitate of iron(+3) hydroxide was then collected by filtration and washed with water until free from chloride. The moist iron(+3) hydroxide and acetylacetone (12.0 g, 120 mmol) were placed in a small conical flask, the neck of which was plugged with cotton wool, and the whole was heated on a steam bath for 35 min. On cooling, large red crystals were obtained, which were dried on filter paper and recrystallized from EtOH to give $Fe(acac)_3$ (7.85 g, 90%): mp 175°.


tris(Dibenzoylmethido)iron(+3), $Fe(dbm)_3$.[28] A 200-mL, two-necked, round-bottomed flask containing $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (1.2 g, 4.4 mmol), water (10 mL), and a magnetic stir bar was fitted with a rubber septum and a three-way

stopcock connected to a balloon filled with nitrogen. The mixture was stirred with external heating until homogeneous. A solution of 1,3-diphenylpropane-1,3-dione (3.7 g, 17 mmol) in EtOH (35 mL) was added. The mixture was heated until homogeneous. Ammonia solution (25%) was added dropwise to adjust the mixture to pH 8. After 3 h, the resultant red precipitate was collected by filtration, and the precipitate was dried under reduced pressure. After recrystallization from benzene/hexane, $Fe(dbm)_3$ was obtained as purple needles (2.7 g, 85%): mp 240° (dec).[52]

Chlorido[(*R*,*R*)-(−)-*N*,*N*′-bis(3,5-di-*tert*-butylsalicylidene)-1,2-cyclohexanediamine]iron, Fe(salen)Cl.[43] A solution of (*R*,*R*)-(−)-*N*,*N*′-bis(3,5-di-*tert*-butylsalicylidene)-1,2-cyclohexanediamine (1.0 g, 1.8 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added to a suspension of NaH (86 mg, 3.6 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at 0°. After the evolution of gas ceased, the mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h and cooled to rt before $FeCl_3$ (0.43 g, 2.6 mmol) was introduced and reflux was continued for 4 h. Standard extractive workup followed by evaporation of the solvent provided the title complex as a dark-red solid (1.0 g, 87%; reported in reference as 95%): IR (film) 2955, 2906, 2866, 1610, 1553, 1536, 1462, 1433, 1389, 1361, 1345, 1311, 1253, 1174 cm^{-1}; MS m/z (% relative intensity): M^+ 635 (100), 620 (25), 600 (15), 584 (43), 569 (16), 277 (20).


tris(*N*,*N*,*N*′,*N*′-Tetramethylethylenediamine)bis(trichloridoiron), $(FeCl_3)_2(TMEDA)_3$.[48] A dry 250-mL flask, equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a septum, was charged with $FeCl_3$ (3.24 g, 20.0 mmol) in THF (200 mL). After complete dissolution of the $FeCl_3$, anhydrous *N*,*N*,*N*′,*N*′-tetramethylethylenediamine (3.48 g, 30.0 mmol) was slowly added via a syringe. A light brown powder precipitated immediately. The complex, $(FeCl_3)_2(TMEDA)_3$, was isolated by filtration and washing with THF (50 mL) (3.27 g, 49%; reported in reference as 97%).

Dichlorido(*N*,*N*,*N*′,*N*′-tetramethylethylenediamine)zinc, $ZnCl_2$(TMEDA).[184] The complex was prepared by mixing a saturated solution of $ZnCl_2$ in THF (19 mL) and TMEDA (5 mL) and allowing the solution to stand for several hours at rt. The crude crystals that separated were collected and recrystallized from THF to obtain $ZnCl_2$(TMEDA) in nearly quantitative yield.




12-Acetoxydodec-5-ene [Cross-Coupling of an Alkenyl Chloride with an Alkylmagnesium Compound in the Presence of NMP].[54] *n*-Butylmagnesium chloride (1.2 M solution in THF, 22.9 mL, 27.5 mmol) was added dropwise over 10 min, between −5 and 0°, to a solution of (*E*)-8-acetoxy-1-chlorooct-1-ene (5.11 g, 25.0 mmol) and $Fe(acac)_3$ (0.088 g, 0.25 mmol) in a mixture of THF

(30 mL) and NMP (25 mL). After stirring was continued for 15 min, the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed at $-10°$ with aqueous HCl solution (1 M, 80 mL). After decanting, the aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated, aqueous $NaHCO_3$ solution, water, and dried with $MgSO_4$. The solvents were removed in vacuo and the product was isolated by distillation (4.53 g, 80%): bp 120–123°/5 mm Hg; IR (neat) 1742, 968, 724 cm^{-1}; ^1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 0.95 (t, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 3H), 1.25–1.50 (m, 8H), 1.66–1.68 (m, 2H), 2.03–2.11 (m, 6H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 4.10–4.15 (m, 2H), 5.43–5.45 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 13.5, 20.4, 21.8, 25.4, 28.3, 28.4, 29.1, 31.5, 31.9, 32.1, 64.1, 129.7, 130.1, 137.1.




Methyl 4-Hexylbenzoate [Cross-Coupling of an Aryl Chloride with an Alkylmagnesium Compound in the Presence of NMP].[43] A flame-dried, two-necked flask was charged under argon with methyl 4-chlorobenzoate (1.00 g, 5.86 mmol), $Fe(acac)_3$ (103 mg, 0.292 mmol), THF (35 mL), and NMP (3.3 mL). A solution of hexylmagnesium bromide (2.0 M in Et_2O, 3.5 mL, 7.0 mmol) was added to the resulting red solution using a syringe, causing an immediate color change to dark brown and then finally to violet. The resulting mixture was stirred for 5–10 min, then was diluted with Et_2O, and was carefully quenched by the addition of an aqueous HCl solution (1 M, 10 mL). Standard extraction followed by silica gel chromatography of the crude product (hexane/EtOAc 30:1) provided methyl 4-hexylbenzoate as a colorless syrup (1.24 g, 96%; reported in reference as 91%): IR 1724 cm^{-1}; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 0.84 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H), 1.21–1.29 (m, 6H), 1.57 (m, 2H), 2.59 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 7.19 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.90 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 13.9, 22.5, 28.9, 31.0, 31.2, 35.9, 51.8, 127.6, 128.7, 129.2, 148.4, 167.1; EIMS m/z (% relative intensity): M^+ 220 (50), 189 (39), 150 (100), 91 (54), 43 (17).




4-Methylbiphenyl [Cross-Coupling of an Aryl Chloride with an Arylmagnesium Compound in the Presence of an NHC Ligand].[93] Iron(+3) fluoride


trihydrate (0.150 g, 0.899 mmol) and 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolium hydrochloride (1.15 g, 2.70 mmol) were added to a solution of ethylmagnesium bromide (1.08 M in THF, 5.00 mL, 5.40 mmol) at 0°. Tetrahydrofuran (1.0 mL) was added to rinse the vessel. After the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 5 h, chlorobenzene (3.38 g, 30.0 mmol) and 4-methylphenylmagnesium bromide (1.02 M solution in THF, 35.3 mL (reported in reference as 38.7 mL), 36.0 mmol) were added at 0°. The reaction mixture was stirred at 60° for 24 h. After the reaction mixture was cooled to rt, aqueous sodium potassium tartrate (saturated, 60.0 mL) was added. The aqueous layer was extracted five times with hexane. The combined organic extracts were filtered through a pad of Florisil. After the solvent was removed in vacuo, the crude product was purified by chromatography on silica gel (pentane) to obtain 4-methylbiphenyl (4.97 g, 98%, >98% pure on GC analysis) as a white solid: mp 49–50°; ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 2.31 (s, 3H), 7.15 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.22 (m, 1H), 7.32 (t, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.49 (m, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 21.1, 126.9 (3C), 127.1 (2C), 128.7 (2C), 129.4 (2C), 137.0, 138.3, 141.1; IR (neat) 3081, 3062, 3030, 2925, 1556, 1531 cm^{-1}; LRMS (EI) m/z (% relative intensity): M^+ 168 (98), 152 (38), 115 (15), 91 (18), 51 (10); HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $C_{13}H_{12}$, 168.0939; found, 168.0926.[184a]




(6*E*)-Tetradec-6-ene [Cross-Coupling of an Allylic Phosphate with an Alkylmagnesium Compound].[112] A mixture of $Fe(acac)_3$ (9.0 mg, 0.025 mmol) and (*E*)-2-decen-1-yl diphenyl phosphate (194.2 mg, 0.500 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (4 mL) under an argon atmosphere. The solution was cooled to −78° and a solution of *n*-butylmagnesium chloride (1.0 mmol) in THF was added dropwise. After stirring for 1 h at −78°, the reaction mixture was poured into a saturated, aqueous NH_4Cl solution and was extracted with Et_2O. The combined organic extracts were dried over $MgSO_4$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane) to afford (6*E*)-tetradec-6-ene (92.3 mg, 94%): the S_N2/S_N2' ratio was determined to be > 99:1 by GC analysis. TLC R_f 0.72 (hexane); IR (neat) 2959, 2926, 2874, 2855, 1466, 1379, 967, 723 cm^{-1}; ^{1}H NMR (200 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 0.88 (t, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 6H), 1.15–1.47 (m, 16 H), 1.85–2.13 (m, 4H), 5.36–5.42 (m, 2H). Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{28}$: C, 85.63; H, 14.37. Found: C, 85.43; H, 14.59.




Phenylcycloheptane [Cross-Coupling of an Alkyl Bromide with an Arylmagnesium Compound in the Presence of TMEDA].[66] A mixture of phenylmagnesium bromide (0.93 M solution in THF, 72 mL, 67 mmol) and TMEDA (7.78 g, 67.0 mmol) was added at 0° via a syringe pump — at such a rate as to keep the reaction mixture a pale yellow solution (1.36 mL/min) — to a mixture of bromocycloheptane (8.85 g, 50.0 mmol) and $FeCl_3$ (0.1 M solution in THF, 25 mL, 2.5 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0° for 10 min after completion of the addition. After aqueous workup, distillation of the product mixture afforded phenylcycloheptane as a colorless oil (8.18 g containing 0.37 g of biphenyl, 90% yield): IR (neat) 3062, 3027, 2923, 2854, 1602, 1492, 1461, 1451, 1073, 1032, 753, 737, 698 cm^{-1}; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 1.51–1.67 (m, 8H), 1.78–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.90–1.93 (m, 2H), 2.63–2.68 (m, 1H), 7.12–7.19 (m, 3H), 7.24–7.28 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 27.2 (2C), 27.9 (2C), 36.8 (2C), 47.1, 125.5, 126.6 (2C), 128.2 (2C), 150.0; HRMS (EI, 70 eV) m/z: M^+ calcd for $C_{13}H_{18}$, 174.1409; found, 174.1418.



4-(4-Methylphenyl)butyl Phenyl Ether [Cross-Coupling of an Alkyl Bromide with an Arylmagnesium Compound in Diethyl Ether].[49] A mixture of 4-bromobutyl phenyl ether (119 mg, 0.52 mmol) and $Fe(acac)_3$ (9.0 mg, 0.026 mmol) in Et_2O (3 mL) was warmed to reflux. 4-Methylphenylmagnesium bromide (1.67 M solution in Et_2O, 0.70 mL, 1.04 mmol) was added to this mixture, resulting in an immediate color change from red to black. The mixture was heated to reflux for 30 min, then was poured into an aqueous HCl solution (1 M), extracted with Et_2O, and the extracts were dried over $MgSO_4$. The solvent was removed and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel to give 4-(4-methylphenyl)butyl phenyl ether (81 mg, 65%): ^1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 1.74–1.84 (m, 4H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.64 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 3.95 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 6.90–6.93 (m, 1H), 7.08 (br m, 4H), 7.24–7.28 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 21.0, 27.9, 28.9, 35.1, 67.6, 114.5, 120.5, 128.3, 129.0, 129.4, 135.2, 139.1, 159.1. Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{20}O$: C, 84.96; H, 8.39. Found: C, 85.13; H, 8.39.




4-(4-Cyanophenyl)-*N*-(benzyloxycarbonyl)piperidine [Cross-Coupling of an Alkyl Bromide with an Arylzinc Reagent in the Presence of TMEDA].[136] In a dry reaction vessel, a mixture of 4-cyanophenylzinc bromide (0.48 M solution in THF, 4.2 mL, 2.0 mmol) and Me_3SiCH_2MgCl (1.1 M solution in Et_2O, 1.8 mL, 2.0 mmol) was stirred at 0° for 1 h. TMEDA (0.30 mL, 2.0 mmol), 4-bromo-*N*-(benzyloxycarbonyl)piperidine (298 mg, 1.00 mmol), and then $FeCl_3$ (0.1 M solution in THF, 0.5 mL, 0.05 mmol) were added to the resulting solution at 0°. The reaction mixture was stirred at 30° for 6 h. After being quenched with a saturated, aqueous solution of NH_4Cl, the mixture was filtered through a pad of Florisil and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by silica gel chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 20:1) afforded 4-(4-cyanophenyl)-*N*-(benzyloxycarbonyl)piperidine (253 mg, 79%): IR (neat) 3014, 2943, 2923, 2856, 2227, 1688 (C=O), 1466, 1455, 1436, 1273, 1218, 1125, 1057, 1009, 917, 838, 760, 702 cm^{-1}; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 1.58–1.70 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.90 (m, 2H), 2.74 (tt, $J = 12$ Hz, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (br s, 2H), 4.35 (br s, 2H), 5.16 (br s, 2H), 7.26–7.39 (m, 7H), 7.59 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 32.6 (2C), 42.7, 44.3 (2C), 67.2, 110.3, 118.8, 127.6 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 128.0, 128.5 (2C), 132.4 (2C), 136.7, 150.8, 155.2. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}N_2O_2$: C, 74.98; H, 6.29; N, 8.74. Found: C, 74.80; H, 6.42; N, 8.54.



2,2′-Dimethoxy-6,6′-dimethyl-1,1′-biphenyl [Homocoupling of an Arylmagnesium Compound in the Presence of an Organic Dihalide as the Oxidant].[139] 2-Methoxy-6-methylphenylmagnesium bromide (117 mg, 0.520 mmol) was added to a refluxing solution of $FeCl_3$ (4.2 mg, 0.026 mmol) and 1,2-dichloroethane (61 mg, 0.62 mmol) in Et_2O (4 mL). After the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 1 h, the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous HCl solution (1 M). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O. The combined organic layers were dried over $MgSO_4$. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel to give 2,2′-dimethoxy-6,6′-dimethyl-1,1′-biphenyl (32.3 mg, 46%) as a white solid: mp 84–85°; ^1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 1.94 (s, 6H), 3.69 (s, 6H), 6.82 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.23 (m, 2H);

^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 19.6, 55.8, 108.4, 122.2, 126.2, 127.9, 138.2, 157.0. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{18}O_2$: C, 79.31; H, 7.49. Found: C, 79.61; H, 7.64.



4,4′-Dicyanobiphenyl [Homocoupling of an Arylmagnesium Compound Using Air as the Oxidant].[57] A dry and nitrogen-flushed 100-mL three-necked flask, equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a thermometer, was charged with 4-iodobenzonitrile (4.58 g, 20.0 mmol) and THF (10 mL). The reaction mixture was cooled to −30° and isopropylmagnesium chloride (2.0 M solution in THF, 11 mL, 22 mmol) was added dropwise. After 40 min at −20°, the I/Mg exchange was complete (checked by GC). A solution of $FeCl_3$ (0.1 M in THF, 10 mL, 1.0 mmol) was added in one portion, and dry air was immediately bubbled into the reaction mixture via a cannula. The rate of bubbling was adjusted to keep the temperature below −20°. After 45 min, the introduction of air was stopped and the reaction mixture was quenched with 1 M aqueous HCl solution (40 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 20 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (20 mL), dried with $MgSO_4$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/Et_2O 97:3) to afford 4,4′-dicyanobiphenyl (1.53 g, 75%) as a white solid: mp 234°; ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 400 MHz) δ 7.68–7.74 (m, 4H), 7.75–7.82 (m, 4H); ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$, 100 MHz) δ 112.4, 118.4, 127.9, 132.8, 143.5; EIMS (70 eV) *m*/*z* (% relative intensity): 204 (100), 177 (10). Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_8N_2$: C, 82.33; H, 3.95; N, 13.72. Found: C, 82.55; H, 4.33; N, 13.25.

TABULAR SURVEY

The tables cover the literature through 2010. Two charts listing the iron catalysts and ligands/additives used in the surveyed reactions precede the tables. The tables are organized by electrophiles in the order in which their reactions are discussed in the section on Scope and Limitations. The titles of the individual tables can be found in the Table of Contents and are not repeated here. Table 1B lists both carbocyclic and heterocyclic alkenyl electrophiles. Reactions of imino chlorides are listed together with those of acyl electrophiles in Table 3. Heteroaryl electrophiles are listed in Table 5, including those where the leaving group is attached to an annulated aromatic ring, whereas heterocyclic electrophiles in which the leaving group is attached to an sp^3-carbon are found in Table 13.

Products of coupling reactions under either oxidizing or reducing conditions are listed together with those of normal cross-coupling reactions in the appropriate tables; the reagents that do not fit the description in the table header are marked with an asterisk.

Electrophiles are listed in the order of increasing carbon count. To group similar electrophiles together, leaving groups, protecting groups, including carbon-bound silyl groups, and groups on nitrogen, oxygen, and sulfur such as in amines, amides, esters or ethers, are not counted unless they take part in the reaction. An em-dash (—) signifies that a yield or other parameter was not reported.

The following abbreviations that are not in the list of standard abbreviations published by the *Journal of Organic Chemistry* are used in the tables:

BINAP	2,2′-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1′-binaphthyl
bmim	1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium
(t-Bu)$_2$P(biphenyl)	di-*tert*-butylphosphino-4-biphenyl
bzac	benzoylacetonate
Cy$_2$P(MeO-biphenyl)	2-dicyclohexylphosphino-2′,6′-dimethoxybiphenyl
dbm	dibenzoylmethide
DMEDA	*N*,*N*′-dimethylethylenediamine
dmpe	1,2-bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane
dpm	dipivaloylmethide
dppb	1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane
dppbz	1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene
dppe	1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane
dppen	1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethylene
dppf	1,1′-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene
dppm	bis(diphenylphosphino)methane
dppp	1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane
dppy	2-(diphenylphosphino)pyridine
Fe(+3) resin	a copolymer of Fe(2-acetoacetoxy)ethyl methacrylate with *N*,*N*-dimethylacrylamide
HMTA	hexamethylenetetramine
I-Adm•HBF$_4$	1,3-bis(1-adamantyl)imidazolium tetrafluoroborate
I-t-Bu•HCl	1,3-dibutylimidazolium chloride
I-MeBu•HBF$_4$	1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate
IPr•HCl	1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolium chloride
Nf	nonaflate, $SO_2(CF_2)_3CF_3$
Np	naphthyl
PMP	4-methoxyphenyl
SIPr•HBF$_4$	1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolinium tetrafluoroborate
SIPr•HCl	1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolinium chloride

sulfolane	2,3,4,5-tetrahydrothiophene-1,1-dioxide
TBDPS	*tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl
TEEDA	N,N,N',N'-tetraethylethylenediamine
TES	triethylsilyl
XantPhos	4,5-bis(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene

CHART 1. IRON CATALYSTS USED IN TABLES







CHART 2. LIGANDS/ADDITIVES USED IN TABLES



1




2




Ar = 2,4,6-$Me_3C_6H_2$


3



	Ar
4	2,4,6-$Me_3C_6H_2$
5	2,6-(*i*-Pr)$_2C_6H_3$



	Ar
6a	2,4,6-$Me_3C_6H_2$
6b	2,6-(*i*-Pr)$_2C_6H_3$



	R
7a	*i*-Pr
7b	*t*-Bu
7c	2,4,6-$Me_3C_6H_2$
7d	Ph_2CH

TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.

*Please refer to the charts preceding the tables for structures indicated by the **bold** numbers.*

C_2




RMgBr


$FeCl_3$ (*x* mol %)



R	*x*	Solvent	Temp		Refs.
n-Pr	—	—	—	(—)	5, 51
n-C_6H_{13}	0.125	THF	0°	(83)	5, 51
CH_2=$CH(CH_2)_4$	0.167	THF	rt	(64)	5



$FeCl_3$ (10 mol %), THF, –78°, 5 d



(35) er 76.5:23.5 — 45



ArCu(CN)MgCl

$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), DMF, rt, 0.5 h



Ar		Refs.
3-BrC_6H_4	(62)	77
4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	(64)	
1-Np	(71)	



RMgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, rt, 17–22 h




I + PhR **II**

R	**I**	**II**	Refs.
2-$MeOC_6H_4$	(11)	(—)	74
3-$MeOC_6H_4$	(40)	(—)	
4-$MeOC_6H_4$	(74)	(2)	
n-$C_{12}H_{25}$	(65)	(—)	
4-$MeC_6H_4C{\equiv}C$	(0)	(—)	



BrMg—≡—R

$FeCl_3$ (*x* mol %), LiBr (1.2 eq), THF, 60°




R	*x*	Time (h)		Refs.
TBS	3	24	(87)	67
TIPS	0.5	24	(84)	
$TBDPSO(CH_2)_2$	1	24	(88)	
Ph	0.5	24	(91)	
n-C_6H_{13}	1	12	(>99)	




RMgCl

Catalyst (0.66 mol %), THF, −78° to rt, 12 h



R	Catalyst		(*E*)/(*Z*)	
i-Pr	$Fe(dbm)_3$	(60)	94.3:5.7	76
i-Pr	$Fe(dpm)_3$	(80)	100:0	
s-Bu	$Fe(dbm)_3$	(76)	100:0	
s-Bu	$Fe(dpm)_3$	(71)	95:5	




RMgCl

Catalyst (0.66 mol %), THF, −78° to rt



R	Catalyst	Time (h)		(*E*)/(*Z*)	
i-Pr	$Fe(dbm)_3$	8	(50)	3.2:96.8	76
i-Pr	$Fe(dpm)_3$	8	(55)	0:100	
s-Bu	$Fe(dbm)_3$	9	(45)	2.6:97.4	
s-Bu	$Fe(dpm)_3$	9	(46)	3.3:96.7	



I + **II**

MeMgX


Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive (*y* eq), THF




III + **IV**

I/II	X	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	*y*	Temp	Time (h)	**III** + **IV**	**III**/**IV**	
—	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	NMP	9	15–20°	0.25	(57)	—	54
—	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	sulfolane	9	15–20°	0.25	(82)	—	54
—	Br	$FeCl_3$	—	—	—	—	0.75	(89)	—	5
I only	Br	$FeCl_3$	0.06	—	—	rt	0.75	(—)	**III** only	5, 51
II only	Br	$FeCl_3$	0.06	—	—	rt	0.75	(—)	**IV** only	5, 51
—	Br	$FeCl_3$	0.34	—	—	rt	0.75	(25)	—	52
—	Br	$Fe(CO_2t\text{-}Bu)_3$	0.34	—	—	rt	0.75	(73)	—	52
—	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	0.34	—	—	rt	0.75	(90)	—	52
—	Br	$FeCl_3(PPh_3)$	0.34	—	—	rt	0.75	(27)	—	52
—	Br	$Fe(CF_3COCHCOCF_3)_3$	0.34	—	—	rt	0.75	(25)	—	52
—	Br	$Fe(acac)Cl_2$	0.34	—	—	rt	0.75	(57)	—	52
—	Br	$Fe(acac)_2Cl$	0.34	—	—	rt	0.75	(>99)	—	52
—	Br	$Fe(dpm)_3$	0.34	—	—	rt	0.75	(>99)	—	52
—	Br	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.34	—	—	rt	0.75	(>99)	—	52

TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_3				
 **I** **II**	RMgBr	$Fe(dbm)_3$ (*x* mol %), THF, rt	 **III** **IV**	

I/II	R	*x*	Time (h)	**III** + **IV**	**III/IV**	Refs.
—	Et	0.33	0.75	(58)[a]	—	52
I only	Et	0.3	1	(30)	**III** only	35
II only	Et	0.3	1	(5)	**IV** only	35
95:5	Et	0.3–0.4	1	(29–55)	—	35
95:5	Et	0.3	1	(49)	89:11	35
95:5	*n*-Pr	0.3	1	(73)	97:3	35
—	*i*-Pr	0.33	0.75	(60)[a]	—	52
95:5	*i*-Pr	0.3	1	(82)	—	35
95:5	*i*-Bu	0.3	1.5	(79)	**III** only	35
95:5	*s*-Bu	0.3	1.5	(77)	71:29	35
—	*t*-Bu	0.33	0.75	(27)	—	52
95:5	*t*-Bu	0.3	1	(60)	71:29	35
95:5	n-C_5H_{11}	0.3	1	(60)	—	35
95:5	2-pentyl	0.3	1	(75)	71:29	35


I **II**


n-$C_6H_{13}MgBr$

$FeCl_3$ (0.125 mol %), THF, rt


III **IV**

I/II = 95:5

III + **IV** (67), **III/IV** = 47:53


I **II**

c-$C_6H_{11}MX$


Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive, THF, rt


III **IV**

M	X	**I/II**	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	Time (h)	**III** + **IV**	**III/IV**	Refs.
Mg	Br	—	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.33	—	0.75	(54[a], 45)	—	52
Mg	Br	95:5	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.3	—	1	(76)	79:21	35
Mn	Cl	—	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	NMP	1	(84)	—	62, 63



Fe(dbm)$_3$ (0.3 mol %),
THF, rt, 1.5 h



I **II** (<1)


I + **II** (30), **I**/**II** = 78:22

35





Fe(dbm)$_3$ (0.3 mol %),
THF, rt, 1.5 h



I **II** (<1)


I + **II** (26), **I**/**II** = 32:68

35




n-C_8H_{17}MX

Catalyst (*x* mol %),
additive (*y* eq), THF





M	X	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	*y*	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	—	—	–5 to 0	0.25	(40)	54
Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	NMP	2	–5 to 0	0.25	(87)	54
Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	NMP	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(87)	54
Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	DMPU	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(80)	54
Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	DMF	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(81)	54
Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	DMA	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(80)	54
Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	$EtOCO_2Et$	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(78)	54
Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	sulfolane	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(72)	54
Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	Et_2NCO_2Et	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(56)	54
Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	DME	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(59)	54
Mg	Br	Fe(dbm)$_3$	3	NMP	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(87)	54
Mg	Br	Fe(dbm)$_3$	3	NMP	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(88)	54


TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)






Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_3 *Continued from previous page.*	n-$C_8H_{17}MX$	Catalyst (x mol %), additive (y eq), THF		

M	X	Catalyst	x	Additive	y	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
Mg	Br	$FeCl_3$	3	NMP	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(88)	54
Mg	Br	$Fe(SO_4)_3$	3	NMP	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(31)	54
Mg	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	NMP	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(87)	54
Mg	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	0.1	NMP	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(88)	54
Mg	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	0.01	NMP	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(82)	54
Mn	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	NMP	—	rt	1	(80)	62, 63

I		$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), LiBr (1.2 eq), THF, 60°, 24 h	 **II**	**I** (*E*)/(*Z*)		**II** (*E*)/(*Z*)	
				>99:1	(92)	93:7	67
				<1:99	(92)	35:65	
	PhMnCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), NMP, THF, rt, 1 h		(71)			62, 63








	RMgX	Catalyst (1 mol %), additive (x eq), THF							
R	X	Catalyst	Additive	x	Temp (°)	Time (h)			
n-$C_6H_{13}C{\equiv}C$	Br	$FeCl_3$	LiBr	1.2	60	24	(76)		67
$PhC{\equiv}C$	Br	$FeCl_3$	LiBr	1.2	80	24	(60)		67
n-C_8H_{17}	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(86)		54

	RMgCl (x eq)	$Fe(acac)_3$ (2 mol %), THF/NMP, 0°, 30 min		R	x		180
				i-Pr	—	(59)	
				n-Bu	—	(64)	
				t-Bu	—	(0)	
				Ph	2	(37)	
				Ph	3	(61)	

C_4 	PhMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), THF, rt, 20 h		R^1 = Me, R^2 = H (50) R^1 = H, R^2 = Me (60)	16
	c-$C_6H_{11}MgCl$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –5 to 0°, 15 min		(82)	54
	$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), Mg (1.2 eq), THF, 0°, 3 h		(52)	145
n-$C_8H_{17}MnCl$ (x eq)	Catalyst (y mol %), rt, 1 h			

x	Catalyst	y	Solvent		
1.05	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	THF	(12)	62, 63
1.05	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	DME	(12)	63
1.05	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	THF/AcOEt	(13)	63
1.05	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	THF/MeCN	(15)	63
1.05	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	THF/sulfolane	(24)	63
1.05	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	THF/NMP	(62)	63
1.05	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	THF/NMP	(76)	62, 63
1.05	$Fe(acac)_3$	6	THF/NMP	(76)	63
1.05	$Fe(dbm)_3$	3	THF/NMP	(70)	62, 63
1.05	$FeCl_3$	3	THF/NMP	(67)	62, 63
1.05	**13**	3	THF/NMP	(77)	63
1.4	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	THF/NMP	(90)	62, 63

TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_4 	n-$C_8H_{17}MgCl$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –5 to 0°, 0.25 h	 (84)	54
$C_{4–6}$ 	R^2MgX	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –20°, 1.5 h	 R^1 R^2 X Et c-C_6H_{11} Cl (20) Et n-C_8H_{17} Br (82) t-Bu n-C_8H_{17} Cl (75)	72
C_4 	RMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (x mol %), additive, THF, –30°	 R x Additive $MeC{\equiv}C(CH_2)_2$ 10 — (97) Ph 7 NMP (83) 4-$MeOC_6H_4$ 10 NMP (51) n-$C_{14}H_{29}$ 7 NMP (98)	73, 148 73 73 73
 $(E)/(Z)$ = 99:1		$Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), THF, 20°, 0.5 h (slow add.)	 (52), $(E)/(Z)$ = 80:20	58

	n-$C_8H_{17}MgCl$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (x mol %), (slow add.)		(E)/(Z) = 98:2	58

x	Solvent	Temp (°)	Time (min)	
1	THF/NMP	–20	10	(54)
1	THF	–20	10	(82)
1	Et_2O	–20	10	(75)
1	DME	–20	10	(79)
5	THF	–20	10	(78)
0.5	THF	0	10	(40)
1	THF	20	10	(86)
1	THF	40	10	(92)
1	THF	20	10	(91)
1	THF	20	20	(92)




















		$Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), THF, 20°, 20 min (slow add.)		(79), (E)/(Z) > 96:1	58
	$PhCu(CN)MgCl$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), DMF, rt, 1 h		(41)	77
	n-$C_8H_{17}MgCl$	1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), THF, 20° 2. 20 min (slow add.) 3. 15 min		(61)	58
		$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), DMF, rt, 1 h		(—)	77


TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_5				
	ArMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (0.5 mol %), THF, –20°		Ar: Ph (65); 4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$ (69) — 70
	*n*-BuMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –5 to 0°, 1.5 h		X: Cl (90); Br (0) — 72
 **I**	RMgX	$Fe(acac)_3$ (*x* mol %), DMPU (9 eq), THF, –20°	 **II**	72

I (*E*)/(*Z*)	R	X	*x*	Time (h)		**II** (*E*)/(*Z*)
71:29	Ph	Br	3	1.5	(73)	93:7
71:29	*n*-C_8H_{17}	Cl	3	1.5	(81)	83:17
68:32	*n*-C_8H_{17}	Br	4	0.5	(81)	>99:1

	*n*-$C_{12}H_{25}MgBr$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF/NMP, 0°	 (85)	60
 (*E*)/(*Z*) = 96:4	*n*-$C_8H_{17}MgCl$	1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), THF, 20° 2. 20 min (slow add.) 3. 15 min	 (85), (*E*)/(*Z*) = 90:10	58
 C1–C2: (*E*)/(*Z*) > 99:1 C3–C4: (*E*)/(*Z*) = 7:93	*n*-$C_8H_{17}MgCl$	1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), THF, 20° 2. 20 min (slow add.) 3. 15 min	 (90) C1–C2: (*E*)/(*Z*) > 99:1 C3–C4: (*E*)/(*Z*) = 7:93	58

C_6



(*E*)/(*Z*) = 70:30


n-BuMgCl (*x* eq)

Fe(acac)$_3$ (3 mol %), THF, –20°, 1 h




x		(*E*)/(*Z*)
0.85	(56)	91:9
1.2	(71)	91:9

72




RMX

Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive (*y* eq)




Y	R	M	X	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	*y*	Solvent	Temp	Time (h)		(*E*)/(*Z*)	
Br	Ph	Mg	Br	Fe(dbm)$_3$	0.5	—	—	DME	–20° to rt	2	(65)	—	53
I	Ph	Mg	Br	Fe(dbm)$_3$	0.5	—	—	DME/Et_2O	–20° to rt	2	(77)[a]	—	53
$(PhO)_2PO_2$	Ph	Mg	Cl	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	NMP	9	THF	–20°	1.5	(81)	85:15	72
I	3-FC_6H_4	Mn	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	3	—	—	THF	rt	—	(67)	—	179a
I	3-$NfOC_6H_4$	Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	0.5	—	—	THF	–20°	—	(50)	—	70
I	4-NCC_6H_4	Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	0.5	—	—	THF	–20°	—	(60)	—	70
I	4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	0.5	—	—	THF	–20°	—	(60)	—	70
I	3-EtO_2C-4-$NfOC_6H_3$	Mg	Br	Fe(acac)$_3$	0.5	—	—	THF	–20°	—	(73)	—	70



= Wang resin

1. Fe(acac)$_3$ (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 0.5 h
2. TFA/CH_2Cl_2/H_2O (9:1:1), rt, 0.25 h



Isomer	
3-	(90)
4-	(94)

70



I

n-C_8H_{17}MCl

Fe(acac)$_3$ (*x* mol %), NMP (*y* eq), THF







II

I (*E*)/(*Z*)	X	M	*x*	*y*	Temp	Time (h)		**II** (*E*)/(*Z*)	
mix	Cl	Mn	3	—	rt	1	(88)	mix	62, 63
mix	Br	Mn	3	—	rt	1	(89)	mix	62, 63
(*E*) only	I	Mg	0.1	2	–5 to 0°	0.25	(75)	(*E*) only	54
(*E*) only	I	Mn	3	—	rt	1	(88)	>98:2	62, 63


TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6				
	RMX^2	Catalyst (x mol %), additive (y eq)		

X^1	R	M	X^2	Catalyst	x	Additive	y	Solvent	Temp	Time (h)		$(Z)/(E)$	
Br	Ph	Mg	Br	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.5	—	—	DME	–20° to rt	2	(83)[a]	2:1	53
I	Ph	Mg	Br	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.5	—	—	DME/Et_2O	–20° to rt	2	(93)[a]	1:2	53
Br	c-C_6H_{13}	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	NMP	9	THF	–5 to 0°	0.25	(89)	(Z) only	54
I	n-C_8H_{17}	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	0.1	NMP	2	THF	–5 to 0°	0.25	(84)	(Z) only	54
I	n-C_8H_{17}	Mn	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	NMP	—	THF	rt	1	(90)	>98:2	62, 63

	n-BuMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –5 to 0°, 0.25 h	 (79)	54
	n-BuMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –5 to 0°, 1.5 h	 R: NC (96); MeO_2C (84)	72
 (E)/(Z) > 99:1	n-$C_8H_{17}MgCl$	1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), THF, 20° 2. 20 min (slow add.) 3. 15 min	 (93), (E)/(Z) = 99:1	58
 R = $(PhO)_2OP$ (E)/(Z) > 99:1	n-$C_8H_{17}MgCl$	1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), THF, 20° 2. 20 min (slow add.) 3. 15 min	 (88), (E)/(Z) = 99:1	58


C_7



I

n-$C_8H_{17}MgX$


Fe(acac)$_3$ (*x* mol %), additive (9 eq), THF, –20°



II


72

I (*E*)/(*Z*)	Y	X	*x*	Additive	Time (h)		**II** (*E*)/(*Z*)
60:40	Br	Br	3	NMP	1	(50)	74:26
70:30	$(PhO)_2PO_2$	Cl	3	DMPU	1.5	(75)	88:12
70:30	$(PhO)_2PO_2$	Br	4	DMPU	0.5	(86)	>98:2



n-$C_8H_{17}MgBr$


Fe(acac)$_3$ (1 mol %), THF, rt, 20 h



I **II**


I + **II** (63), **I**/**II** = 97:3

16




n-BuMgCl

Fe(acac)$_3$ (1 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –5 to 0°, 0.25 h




(80)

54



RMgX

Fe(acac)$_3$ (3 mol %), THF/NMP, 0°



R	X		
Me	Br	(70)	60
Et	Br	(73)	
i-Pr	Cl	(75)	
t-Bu	Br	(65)	
c-C_6H_{11}	Br	(61)	
n-$C_{12}H_{25}$	Br	(88)	

TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


C$_8$



I

RMX

Catalyst (x mol %), additive (y eq), THF




II

I (*E*)/(*Z*)	Y	R	M	X	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	*y*	Temp	Time (h)		**II** (*E*)/(*Z*)	Refs.
(*E*) only	Br	Me	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	NMP	9	15–20°	0.25	(88)	(*E*) only	54
(*E*) only	Br	Et	Mg	Br	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.33	none	—	rt	0.75	(59)[a]	(*E*) only	52
(*E*) only	Br	*i*-Pr	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	NMP	9	–5 to 0°	0.25	(73)	(*E*) only	54
(*E*) only	Br	*n*-Bu	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	NMP	9	–5 to 0°	0.25	(84)	(*E*) only	54
mix	Br	*n*-Bu	Mn	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	none	—	rt	1	(64)	mix	63
mix	Br	*n*-Bu	Mn	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	NMP	—	rt	1	(87)	mix	62, 63
84:16	$(PhO)_2PO_2$	*n*-Bu	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	none	—	–20°	1.5	(87)	90:10	72
(*E*) only	Br	*t*-Bu	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	NMP	9	–5 to 0°	0.25	(64)	(*E*) only	54
mix	Br	*t*-Bu	Mn	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	NMP	—	rt	1	(30)	mix	62, 63
2:1	PivO	n-C_6H_{13}	Mg	Cl	$FeCl_2$	1	**3**	2 mol %	0°	1	(71)	2:1	68
(*E*) only	ClO_2S[b]	n-C_6H_{13}	Mg	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	NMP	—	80°	2	(68)	(*E*) only	61
(*E*) only	ClO_2S[b]	n-C_8H_{17}	Mg	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	NMP	—	80°	2	(61)	(*E*) only	61




PhMgX

Catalyst (x mol %)




Y	X	Catalyst	*x*	Solvent	Temp	Time (h)		Refs.
Br	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	THF/NMP	5–20°	0.33	(90)	54
Br	Br	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.33	THF	rt	0.75	(32)	52, 53
Br	Br	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.5	DME/Et_2O	–20° to rt	2	(90[a], 68)	53
Br	Br	$Cp(MeP_2Ph)_2FeBr$	5	Et_2O	rt	24	(84)	95
ClO_2S	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	THF/NMP	80°	2[b]	(55)	61







$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), Mg (1.2 eq), THF, 0°, 3 h




(5) 145



I




Fe(acac)$_3$ (*x* mol %), additive, THF




II


I (*E*)/(*Z*)	M	*x*	Additive	Temp	Time (h)		**II** (*E*)/(*Z*)	
(*E*) only	Mg	1	none	15–20°	—	(60)	(*E*) only	54
mix	Mn	3	NMP	rt	1	(25)	mix	62, 63



(*E*)/(*Z*) = 85:15




Catalyst (0.5 mol %), additive, THF, 24 h




(*E*)/(*Z*) = 88:12 67

Catalyst	Additive	Temp (°)	
$FeCl_3$	none	50	(12)
$FeCl_3$	NMP (9 eq)	50	(2)
$FeCl_3$	HMTA (5 mol %), TMEDA (10 mol %)	50	(15)
$FeCl_3$	SIPr•HCl (2 mol %)	50	(11)
$FeCl_3$	PCy_3 (1 mol %)	50	(17)
$FeCl_3$	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	50	(60)
$FeCl_3$	LiCl (1.2 eq)	50	(82)
$FeCl_3$	LiBr (1.2 eq)	50	(85)
$FeCl_3$	LiBr (0.6 eq)	50	(27)
$FeCl_3$	LiBr (0.2 eq)	50	(21)
$FeCl_3$	$MgBr_2$ (1.2 eq)	50	(28)
$FeCl_3$	$ZnCl_2$ (1.2 eq)	50	(1)
$FeCl_3$	LiBr (1.2 eq)	60	(95)
$FeCl_2$	LiBr (1.2 eq)	60	(89)
$Fe(acac)_3$	LiBr (1.2 eq)	60	(86)






$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), LiBr (1.2 eq), THF, 60°, 24 h




R		(*E*)/(*Z*)	
$TBSO(Me)_2C$	(82)	92:8	67
$TBSO(CH_2)_2$	(91)	85:15	


TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_8				
		Catalyst (*x* mol %)		

R	Catalyst	*x*	Solvent	Temp	Time (h)		
4-Cl	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.5–1	DME/Et_2O	–20° to rt	2	(75)	53
3-MeO	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.5–1	DME/Et_2O	–20° to rt	2	(75)[a]	53
3-NfO	$Fe(acac)_3$	0.5	THF	–20°	—	(62)	70
3-TIPS	$Fe(acac)_3$	0.5	THF	–20°	—	(62)	70
2-Me	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.5–1	DME/Et_2O	–20° to rt	2	(100)[a]	53
2,4,6-Me_3	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.5–1	DME/Et_2O	–20° to rt	2	(0)	53
1-Np	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.5–1	DME/Et_2O	–20° to rt	2	(83[a], 56)	53



1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 0.5 h
2. TFA/CH_2Cl_2/H_2O (9:1:1), rt, 0.25 h



Isomer		Refs.
3-	(84)	70
4-	(86)	

 = Wang Resin




PhMgBr

$Fe(dbm)_3$ (0.5 mol %), DME/Et_2O, –20° to rt, 2 h




(52)[a]

53



n-$C_{12}H_{25}MgBr$ **I** (1 eq)

1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), *i*-PrMgCl (1 eq), THF/NMP, –10 to 0°, 0.5 h
2. **I**, –10 to 0°, 3 h




(44)

69


n-$C_{12}H_{25}MgBr$ (2 eq)


$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, –10 to 0°, 3 h




(45)

69





$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF



74

R^1	R^2	Temp	Time (h)	
2-pyrimidyl	H	rt	2	(43)
2-pyrimidyl	4-MeO	60°	—	(59)
Ph	4-MeO	60°	—	(31)











RMgX

Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive (*y* eq)




Y	R	X	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	*y*	Solvent	Temp	Time (h)		
Br	Me	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	NMP	9	THF	15–20°	0.25	(60)	54
$(PhO)_2PO_2$	*n*-Bu	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	none	—	THF	–20°	1.5	(90)	72
Br	Ph	Br	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.5	none	—	DME/Et_2O	–20° to rt	2	(75)[a]	53

TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_8				
	RMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –5 to 0°, 0.25 h	 X R: Cl *n*-Bu (75); Br *n*-Bu (64); I *n*-Bu (83); Cl *s*-Bu (74); I *s*-Bu (80); Br *t*-Bu (80)	54
	BrMg—≡—R	$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), LiBr (1.2 eq), THF, 60°, 24 h	 R: TBS (91); n-C_6H_{13} (75)	67
	*n*-BuMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –5 to 0°, 0.25 h	 (80)	54
	RMgX	$Fe(acac)_3$ (*x* mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF		
		Y: Br; R: *i*-Pr; X: Cl; *x*: 1; Temp (°): –5 to 0; Time (h): 0.25; (72)		54
		Y: $(PhO)_2PO_2$; R: *n*-Bu; X: Br; *x*: 3; Temp (°): –20; Time (h): 1.5; (75)		72
	RMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –5 to 0°, 0.25 h	 R: *i*-Pr (72); *n*-Bu (80)	54

C_9




RMgBr

Fe(acac)$_3$ (3 mol %), THF/NMP, 0°




R		
c-C_6H_{11}	(74)	60
n-$C_{12}H_{25}$	(68)	




EtMgBr

Fe(acac)$_3$ (3 mol %), THF/NMP, 0°




(79) 60

C_{10}



$RMgX^2$

Fe(acac)$_3$ (*x* mol %), additive (*y* eq), THF



X^1	R	X^2	*x*	Additive	*y*	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
I	Me	Cl	1	NMP	9	15–20	0.25	(75)	54
Cl	*n*-Bu	Cl	1	none	—	–5 to 0	0.25	(5)	54
Cl	*n*-Bu	Cl	1	NMP	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(85)	54
Cl	*n*-Bu	Br	3	none	—	–20	1.5	(14)	72
Cl	*n*-Bu	Br	3	NMP	9	–20	1.5	(85)	72
Cl	*n*-Bu	Br	3	$(EtO)_3PO$	9	–20	1.5	(88)	72
Br	*n*-Bu	Cl	0.1	NMP	2	–5 to 0	0.25	(55)	54
Br	*n*-Bu	Cl	1	NMP	2	–5 to 0	0.25	(82)	54
I	*n*-Bu	Cl	1	NMP	2	–5 to 0	0.25	(83)	54
Cl	*c*-C_6H_{11}	Cl	1	NMP	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(87)	54



RMgBr








Fe(acac)$_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, –30°



R	
Me	(87)
n-Bu	(90)
4-$MeOC_6H_4$	(84)

73

TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{10}				
	RMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF/NMP, 0°	 R = *c*-C_6H_{11} (72); *n*-$C_{12}H_{25}$ (76)	60
	R^2MgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), NMP (*y* eq), THF		60
		R^1 = Ac, R^2 = Et, *y* = 15, –10 to 0, 1 h: (58) R^1 = H, R^2 = *c*-C_6H_{11}, *y* = —, 0, —: (82) R^1 = H, R^2 = *n*-$C_{12}H_{25}$, *y* = —, 0, —: (74)		
 C1–C2: (*E*)/(*Z*) > 99:1 C3–C4: (*E*)/(*Z*) = 57:43	*s*-BuMgCl	1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), THF, 20° 2. 20 min (slow add.) 3. 15 min	 (78) C1–C2: (*E*)/(*Z*) > 99:1 C3–C4: (*E*)/(*Z*) = 60:40	58
C_{11}				
	RMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), THF, rt, 20 h	 **I** **II** R = *i*-Pr: **I** (—), **II** (—), **I** + **II** (3)[c] R = *n*-Bu: **I** (2), **II** (61), **I** + **II** (—)	16














 $(E)/(Z)$ = 69:31	EtMgBr (x eq)	$Fe(acac)_3$ (4 mol %), DMPU (9 eq), THF, –20°, 0.5 h		x 0.72 (68) 2:98 0.83 (91) 7:93	72
	*i*-PrMgBr	1. $FeCl_3$ (3 mol %), Et_2O, 20°, 1 h 2. Hydrolysis R: Ac (17) I + II 98:2 I/II (0.3) III; TBS (36) 98:2 (0.5); Ph_3C (32) 92:8 (0.3)	 **I** + **II** + **III**		59
	*n*-$C_{12}H_{25}$MgBr **I** (1 eq)	1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), *i*-PrMgCl (1 eq), THF/NMP, –10 to 0°, 0.5 h 2. **I**, –10 to 0°, 3 h		(63)	69
	n-$C_{12}H_{25}$MgBr (x eq)	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, –10 to 0°		x Time (h): 1.1 0.5 (15); 2 3 (45)	69
C_{12}					
	MeMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, 15–20°, 0.25 h		(87)	54

TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{12}				
	*n*-BuMCl	Fe(acac)$_3$ (*x* mol %), NMP (*y* eq), THF		



Y	M	*x*	*y*	Temp	Time (h)		Refs.
$(EtO)_2PO_2$	Mg	6	9	–5 to 0°	0.25	(78)	54
Br	Mn	3	—	rt	1	(89)	62, 63


	*n*-PrMgBr	FeCl$_3$ (3 mol %), Et$_2$O, 20°, 16 h	 **I** + **II** (60), **I/II** = 98:2	59

	i-PrMgBr	Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive (3 mol %), 20°, 16 h		59

Catalyst	*x*	Additive	Solvent	**I + II**	**I/II**	**III + IV**
Fe(dbm)$_3$	3	—	THF	(5)	—	(0)
Fe(dbm)$_3$	3	—	Et$_2$O	(10)	97:3	(0)
Fe(acac)$_3$	3	—	Et$_2$O	(27)	97:3	(1)
Fe(acac)$_3$	3	(*n*-Bu)$_3$P	Et$_2$O	(6)	—	(8)
FeCl$_3$	3	—	THF	(8)	98:2	(0)
FeCl$_3$	2	—	Et$_2$O	(28)	98:2	(0)




	*i*-PrMgBr	1. FeCl$_3$, (3 mol %), Et$_2$O, 20°, 3 h 2. TBAF, 0°, 2 h	 (36)	185



R^1MgX


$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF/NMP



60


R^1	X	R^2	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
Et	Br	Et	−10 to 0	1	(66)
c-C_6H_{11}	Br	*c*-C_6H_{11}	0	—	(76)
n-$C_{12}H_{25}$	Br	*n*-$C_{12}H_{25}$	0	—	(71)
$ClMgO(CH_2)_3$	Cl	$HO(CH_2)_3$	0	—	(63)

C_{13}




i-PrMgBr

$FeCl_3$ (3 mol %), Et_2O, 20°, 1 h




I **II**




59

I + **II** (32), **I**/**II** = 98:2




RMgCl

$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), NMP (15 eq), THF, −10°, 0.25 h; then 0°, 1 h




R	
Me	(25)
Et	(75)

60



MeMgCl







$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), NMP (15 eq), THF, −10°, 0.25 h; then 0°, 1 h




(76)

60


TABLE 1A. REACTION OF ACYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{13}				
	R^1MgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), NMP (15 eq), THF, −10°, 0.25 h; then 0°, 1 h	 R^1 = Et, R^2 = Et (68); R^1 = $ClMgO(CH_2)_3$, R^2 = $HO(CH_2)_3$ (36)	60
	RMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), NMP (15 eq), THF, −10°, 0.25 h; then 0°, 1 h	 R = Me (37); Et (70)	60
C_{14}				
	ArCu(CN)MCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), DMF, rt, 1 h	 Y = TfO, Ar = Ph, M = — (86); Y = NfO, Ar = Ph, M = Mg (81); Y = TfO, Ar = 2-MeC_6H_4, M = — (59); Y = TfO, Ar = 4-$MeOC_6H_4$, M = — (78); Y = TfO, Ar = 3-$CF_3C_6H_4$, M = Mg (74); Y = NfO, Ar = 3-NCC_6H_4, M = Mg (56); Y = TfO, Ar = 4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$, M = Mg (77)	77



n-$C_6H_{13}MgCl$

$FeCl_2$ (1 mol %),
ligand **3** (2 mol %),
THF, rt, 2 h



I

+



II

68

Ar	**I + II**	**I/II**
Ph	(91)	2:1
4-FC_6H_4	(85)	2:1
4-$MeOC_6H_4$	(70)	2:1

[a]The yield was determined by GLC.

[b]The nucleophile was added slowly at a rate of 2 mL/h.


[c]The configuration of the product was not reported.

TABLE 1B. REACTION OF CYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.


*Please refer to the charts preceding the tables for structures indicated by the **bold** numbers.*


C_4




RMgBr

Fe(acac)$_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, –30°




R		
Me	(70)	73
$TMSCH_2$	(80)	
Ph	(51)	
n-C_6H_{13}	(68)	
	(67)	
4-$MeOC_6H_4(CH_2)_2$	(84)	
n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	(66)	

C_5




n-$C_8H_{17}MgBr$

Fe(acac)$_3$ (6 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –20°, 3 h




(82) 72



RMgBr

Fe(acac)$_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, –30°



R		
Ph	(47)	73
n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	(73)	

C_6




RMX

Fe(acac)$_3$ (*x* mol %), additive (*y* eq), THF




Y	R	M	X	*x*	Additive	*y*	Temp	Time (h)		
Cl	*n*-Bu	Mg	Cl	1	NMP	9	–5 to 0°	0.25	(75)	54
Cl	*n*-Bu	Mn	Cl	3	NMP	—	rt	1	(80)	62, 63
TfO	*n*-Bu	Mg	Br	5	none	—	–30°	—	(66)	72
TfO	*n*-Bu	Mg	Br	5	NMP	—	–30°	—	(80)	73, 72
$(PhO)_2PO_2$	*n*-Bu	Mg	Cl	3	NMP	9	–20°	1.5	(90)	72
TfO	Ph	Mg	Br	5	NMP	—	–30°	—	(74)	73
$(PhO)_2PO_2$	*n*-C_8H_{17}	Mg	Cl	3	NMP	9	–20°	1.5	(90)	72
TfO	*n*-$C_{14}H_{29}$	Mg	Br	5	NMP	—	–30°	—	(67)	73




n-C_6H_{13}MgCl

$FeCl_2$ (1 mol %), Ligand **3** (2 mol %), THF, 0°, 10 min




(65) 68




MeMgCl

Fe(acac)$_3$ (1 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, 15–20°, 0.25 h



(68) 54




ArCu(CN)MgCl

Fe(acac)$_3$ (10 mol %), DMF, rt, 1 h




Ar		
3-$CF_3C_6H_4$	(90)	77
4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	(86)	
1-Np	(72)	






n-BuMgCl


1. Fe(acac)$_3$ (1 mol %), THF, 20°
2. 20 min (slow add.)
3. 15 min



(78) 58

TABLE 1B. REACTION OF CYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7				
	RMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, –30°	 R: *n*-Bu (53); 4-$MeOC_6H_4$ (62)	73
	R^2MgX	Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive (*y* eq), THF		

R^1	R^2	X	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	*y*	Temp (°)	Time (h)		Refs.
Piv	Me	Br	$FeCl_2$	1	LiCl	6	0	1	(<5)	68
Piv	Et	Br	$FeCl_2$	1	LiCl	6	0	1	(69)	68
Piv	*i*-Pr	Cl	$FeCl_2$	1	**3** (2 mol %)	—	0	1	(<5)	68
Tf	Ph	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	NMP	—	–30	—	(53)	73
Piv		Br	$FeCl_2$	1	LiCl	6	0	1	(72)	68
Piv	$Ph(CH_2)_2$	Br	$FeCl_2$	1	LiCl	6	0	1	(51)	68
Piv	$Ph(CH_2)_3$	Br	$FeCl_2$	1	LiCl	6	0	1	(85)	68
Piv	$CH_2{=}CH(CH_2)_9$	Br	$FeCl_2$	1	LiCl	6	0	1	(90)	68
Piv	*n*-$C_{12}H_{25}$	Br	$FeCl_2$	1	LiCl	6	0	1	(81)	68
Tf	*n*-$C_{14}H_{29}$	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	NMP	—	–30	—	(79)	76











	*n*-$C_6H_{13}MgX$	Catalyst (1 mol %), additive (2 mol %), THF, 0°, 1 h		X Cl Cl Cl Cl Cl Cl Br Br	Catalyst $FeCl_2$ $FeCl_2$ $FeCl_2$ $FeCl_2$ $FeCl_2$ $FeBr_2$ $FeCl_3$ $Fe(acac)_3$ $FeCl_2$ $FeCl_2$	Additive none (79) PPh_3 (77) $P(c\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3$ (83) bpy (75) TMEDA (<5) **3** (93) **3** (86) **3** (83) **3** (78) **3** (<5) LiCl (6 eq) (94)	68
		$FeCl_2$ (1 mol %), LiCl (6 eq), THF, 0°, 1 h		R Me MOM Bn THP	(73) (76) (74) (74)		68
	*n*-BuMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (6 mol %), NMP (9 eq), THF, –20°, 3 h		(88)			72
	RMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, –30°		R Me *n*-Bu $n\text{-}C_{14}H_{29}$	(73) (45) (17)		73

TABLE 1B. REACTION OF CYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7				
	*c*-$C_6H_{11}MgCl$ (5 eq)	$Fe(acac)_3$ (20 mol %), DMPU, –25°, 0.75 h		180a


R^1	R^2	
H	H	(71)
Br	*c*-C_6H_{11}	(75)

C_8




RMgBr

Catalyst (*x* mol %), NMP (*y* eq), THF




Y	R	Catalyst	*x*	*y*	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
Cl	*n*-Bu	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	9	–5 to 0	0.25	(79)	54
TfO	*n*-$C_{14}H_{29}$	**14**	5	—	–30	—	(67)	73




n-$C_6H_{13}MgCl$

$FeCl_2$ (1 mol %), LiCl (6 eq), THF, 0°, 1 h




(78) 68




RMgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (*x* mol %), THF/NMP, –30°




R	*x*		
Ph	5	(61)	73
4-ClC_6H_4	5	(66)	
n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	10	(60)	

C_9




c-$C_6H_{11}MgCl$

$Fe(acac)_3$ (20 mol %),
−25°, 0.75 h



I

+





II



180a

Solvent	**I**	**II**
Et_2O	(26)	(1)
THF	(81)	(6)
DME	(9)	(3)
NMP	(82)	(6)
DMPU	(88)	(1)
DMF	(50)	(5)
Et_3N	(5)	(4)
TMEDA	(0)	(0)
THF/NMP (1:3)	(88)	(6)
THF/NMP (1:1)	(79)	(5)
THF/NMP (3:1)	(78)	(12)
THF/DMPU (1:3)	(75)	(7)
THF/DMPU (1:1)	(66)	(8)
THF/DMPU (3:1)	(69)	(13)

TABLE 1B. REACTION OF CYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_9 	*c*-$C_6H_{11}MgCl$	Catalyst (20 mol %), –25°, 0.75 h	 **I** + **II**	180a


Catalyst	Solvent	**I**	**II**
$FeCl_3$	THF/NMP (1:3)	(79)	(8)
$FeCl_3$	DMPU	(85)	(4)
$Fe(dpm)_3$	THF/NMP (1:3)	(67)	(6)
$Fe(dpm)_3$	DMPU	(55)	(8)
$Fe(dbm)_3$	THF/NMP (1:3)	(72)	(6)
$Fe(dbm)_3$	DMPU	(68)	(7)
14	THF/NMP (1:3)	(63)	(4)
14	DMPU	(60)	(7)

	c-$C_6H_{11}MgX$ (*x* eq)	$Fe(acac)_3$ (20 mol %), THF/NMP (1:3), 0.75 h	 **I** +  **II**	180a

X	*x*	Temp (°)	**I**	**II**
Cl	5	–40	(78)	(6)
Cl	5	–25	(88)	(6)
Cl	5	0	(80)	(5)
Cl	5	25	(71)	(5)
Cl	5	50	(55)	(4)
Cl	10	–25	(61)	(11)
Cl	2.1	–25	(86)	(4)
Cl	1.1	–25	(93)	(1)
Br	5	–25	(80)	(8)
I	5	–25	(58)	(15)


RMgX (*x* mol %)

$Fe(acac)_3$ (20 mol %), –25°, 30–55 min



I


+



II


180a

R	X	*x*	Solvent	**I**	**II**
Me	Br	5	THF/NMP (1:3)	(80)	(—)
c-C_3H_5	Br	1.6	DMPU	(67)	(3)
i-Pr	Cl	1.6	DMPU	(87)	(3)
c-C_4H_7	Cl	1.6	DMPU	(62)	(13)
s-Bu	Cl	1.6	DMPU	(87)	(—)
c-C_5H_9	Cl	1.6	DMPU	(71)	(7)
Ph	Cl	1.6	THF/NMP (1:3)	(85)	(—)
3-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	1.6	THF/NMP (1:3)	(74)	(—)
3-$CF_3C_6H_4$	Br	1.6	THF/NMP (1:3)	(73)	(—)
c-C_7H_{13}	Cl	1.6	DMPU	(52)	(2)
Bn	Cl	2.1	THF/NMP (1:3)	(72)	(—)



n-$C_6H_{13}MgCl$


$FeCl_2$ (1 mol %), ligand **3** (2 mol %), THF, 0°, 1 h



(87)


68

C_{10}



MeMgBr



$Fe(acac)_3$ (1.0 eq), THF/NMP, –30°





(88)

73

TABLE 1B. REACTION OF CYCLIC ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{10} 	R^2MgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (*x* mol %), NMP (*y* eq), THF		

R^1	Y	R^2	*x*	*y*	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
H	$(PhO)_2PO_2$	*n*-Bu	6	9	–20	3	(94)	72
MeO	TfO	$n\text{-}C_{14}H_{29}$	5	—	–30	—	(64)	73

	RMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, –30°		R	Refs.
				Me (89)	73
				n-Bu (88)	
				Ph (66)	










C_{27} 	RMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, –30°		R	Refs.
				Me (91)	73
				Ph (76)	
				$MOMO(CH_2)_8$ (91)	
				$n\text{-}C_{14}H_{29}$ (86)	

TABLE 2. REACTION OF ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ALKYNES


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_5				
		$FeCl_3$ (15 mol %), 1,10-phenanthroline (30 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (3 eq), toluene, 110°, 48 h	 (25)[a]	64
C_{6-8}				
		$FeCl_3$ (15 mol %), 1,10-phenanthroline (30 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (3 eq), toluene, 110°		64

R^1	R^2	Time (h)	
n-Bu	Ph	60	(70[a], 52)
n-Bu	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	60	(70[a], 61)
Ph	*n*-C_6H_{13}	36	(90[a], 85)
Ph	4-ClC_6H_4	48	(98[a], 90)
Ph	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	48	(83[a], 76)
4-$MeOC_6H_4$	4-ClC_6H_4	64	(70[a], 58)
4-$MeOC_6H_4$	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	48	(80[a], 69)



C_8						
		Catalyst (15 mol %), 1,10-phenanthroline (30 mol %), additive (3 eq), toluene, 110°	 (0)			64

Catalyst	Additive	Time (h)
$FeCl_3$	NaOH	24
$FeCl_3$	Na_2CO_3	24
$FeCl_3$	Et_3N	24
$FeCl_2$	Cs_2CO_3	24
$Fe(acac)_3$	Cs_2CO_3	48

TABLE 2. REACTION OF ALKENYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ALKYNES (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_8				
		$FeCl_3$ (*x* mol %), additive (*y* mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (3 eq), 110°		64

x	Additive	*y*	Solvent	Time (h)	
15	DMEDA	30	toluene	48	(25)[a]
15	TMEDA	30	toluene	48	(56)[a]
15	aniline	30	toluene	24	(0)
10	1,10-phenanthroline	10	toluene	48	(10)[a]
15	1,10-phenanthroline	15	toluene	48	(35)[a]
10	1,10-phenanthroline	20	toluene	48	(50)[a]
15	1,10-phenanthroline	30	toluene	48	(82[a], 69)
15	1,10-phenanthroline	30	DMF	48	(0)
15	L-proline	30	toluene	24	(0)
15	$HO_2C(CH_2)_2NMe_2$•HCl	30	toluene	24	(0)
15	pentane-2,4-dione	30	toluene	24	(0)
15	2-acetylcyclohexanone	30	toluene	24	(0)
15	*N*, *N*′-dimethylcyclohexane-1,2-diamine	30	toluene	24	(6)[a]

		$FeCl_3$ (15 mol %), 1,10-phenanthroline (30 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (3 eq), toluene, 110°		64


Ar	Y	Time (h)	
Ph	MeN	60	(80[a], 57)
Ph	O	60	(60[a], 45)
4-$MeOC_6H_4$	MeN	72	(67[a], 56)

[a] The yield was determined by NMR spectroscopy.

TABLE 3. REACTION OF ACYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{2-11} 	R^2MgX	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF, rt		79












R^1	R^2	X	
Me	n-C_9H_{19}	Br	(82)
Me	n-$C_{10}H_{21}$	Br	(80)
n-Pr	n-Pr	Cl	(80)
n-Pr	t-Bu	Cl	(70)
n-Pr	Ph	Cl	(67)
i-Pr	i-Pr	Cl	(80)
i-Pr	Ph	Cl	(75)
n-C_5H_{11}	n-C_5H_{11}	Br	(82)
n-$C_{10}H_{21}$	Me	Cl	(84)

C_2 		$Fe(acac)_3$ (6 mol %), THF, rt	 (80)	81

C_{4-5} 	PhMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF, 0°		79

R	
n-Pr	(83)
i-Pr	(92)
t-Bu	(80)

TABLE 3. REACTION OF ACYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{4-5} 	R^2MgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF, –78°	 R^1 =  R^2 = n-C_6H_{13} (92) R^1 =  R^2 =  (88) R^1 = $MeO_2C(CH_2)_3$ R^2 = n-C_6H_{13} (95) R^1 = $MeO_2C(CH_2)_3$ R^2 =  (76) R^1 = $MeO_2C(CH_2)_3$ R^2 = $BnO(CH_2)_6$ (88) R^1 = $MeO_2C(CH_2)_3$ R^2 = Ph (65) R^1 = $MeO_2C(CH_2)_3$ R^2 = 4-$MeOC_6H_4$ (95)	
C_4 	MeMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF, –78°	 (80) er 99.5:0.5	73, 148
C_5 	RMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF, –78°	 R = n-C_6H_{13} (90) R =  (80)	73
		$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF, –78°	 (59) er 97:3	73




n-BuMgX

Fe(acac)$_3$ (3 mol %), THF, rt




R	X		
2-furyl	Br	(83[a], 75)	80
2-thienyl	Br	(80)[a]	
$MeO_2C(CH_2)_3$	Cl	(78)[a]	

$C_{5–6}$




RMgCl

Fe(acac)$_3$ (3 mol %), THF, rt




n	R		
1	Et	(85[a], 76)	80
2	Et	(90[a], 82)	80
2	*n*-C_6H_{13}	(95)	73

C_6



RMgX

Catalyst (3 mol %), THF, rt




82

R	X	Catalyst	
Me	Cl	Fe(+3) resin	(84)[a]
Et	Br	Fe(+3) resin	(91)[a]
n-Bu	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	(97)
n-Bu	Cl	Fe(+3) resin	(98[a], 96)
n-Bu	Cl	Fe(+3) resin recycled	(94)[a]
Ph	Cl	Fe(+3) resin	(71)[a]
3-$MeOC_6H_4$	Cl	Fe(+3) resin	(63[a], 60)
n-C_9H_{19}	Br	Fe(+3) resin	(65[a], 61)


TABLE 3. REACTION OF ACYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7 	R^1MR^2	Catalyst (x mol %), additive, THF		

R^1	M	R^2	Catalyst	x	Additive	Temp	Time (h)		
Me	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	none	rt	—	(80)	79
Me	Mg	Cl	Fe(+3) resin	3	none	rt	—	(86)[a]	82
Et	Mg	Br	Fe(+3) resin	3	none	rt	—	(67)[a]	82
i-Pr	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	none	rt	—	(84)	79
n-Bu	Mg	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	none	rt	—	(90)	79
n-Bu	Mg	Br	Fe(+3) resin	3	none	rt	—	(57)[a]	82
t-Bu	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	none	rt	—	(70)	79
Ph	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	none	0°	—	(75)	79
Ph	Mg	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	3	none	rt	—	(63)	79
Ph	Mg	Cl	Fe(+3) resin	3	none	rt	—	(79)[a]	82
3-$MeOC_6H_4$	Mg	Cl	Fe(+3) resin	3	none	rt	—	(52)[a]	82
t-$BuO_2C(CH_2)_5$	Zn	*t*-$BuO_2C(CH_2)_5$	$FeCl_3$	10	NMP	–10°	1	(82)	84
n-C_9H_{19}	Mg	Br	Fe(+3) resin	3	none	rt	—	(42)[a]	82
	Zn		$FeCl_3$	10	NMP	–10°	1	(80)	84




$Fe(acac)_3$ (6 mol %), THF, rt




(65) 81



C_{7-8}




R^2MgX

$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF




R^1	R^2	X	Temp		
Cl	Me	Cl	rt	(85[a], 78)	80
Cl	Me	Br	–78°	(81)	73
Cl	Et	Br	–78°	(87)	73
Cl	*n*-C_6H_{13}	Br	–78°	(97)	73
Cl	Ph	Br	rt	(82)[a]	80
Cl	4-$MeOC_6H_4(CH_2)_2$	Br	–78°	(96)	73
Cl		Br	–78°	(83)	73
Br	Me	Br	–78°	(86)	73
Br	Et	Br	–78°	(89)	73
Br		Br	–78°	(69)	73
Br	*n*-C_6H_{13}	Br	–78°	(84)	73
Br	4-$MeOC_6H_4(CH_2)_2$	Br	–78°	(90)	73
MeO	*n*-C_6H_{13}	Br	–78°	(99)	73
MeO	Ph	Cl	rt	(80)[a]	80
NC	Me	Cl	rt	(75)[a]	80

C_7



MeMgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF, –78°














(85) +  (7) 73

TABLE 3. REACTION OF ACYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7				
	ArMgX	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, 25 min		83
			Ar X Temp (°) Ph Cl 0 (79) 4-$MeOC_6H_4$ Br 0 (86) 4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$ Cl −10 (75)	
	*n*-BuMgCl	1. $SOCl_2$, 80°, 1 h 2. *n*-BuMgCl, $Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, 0°, 5 min	 **I** + **II** (72), **I**/**II** = 7:1	146
C_8				
		$FeCl_3$ (10 mol %), THF/NMP, −10°, 1 h	 (74)	84
		$Fe(acac)_3$ (6 mol %), THF, rt	 *n* (72) 1 (72) 5 (70) 7 (71)	81

C_{8-9}




R^2MgX


$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %),
THF, 25 min



83



R^1	R^2	X	Temp (°)	
MeO	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	0	(98)
MeO	4-NCC_6H_4	Cl	–10	(84)
MeO		Cl	–10	(78)
H	Ph	Cl	0	(84)
H	4-NCC_6H_4	Cl	–10	(78)
H	4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	Cl	–10	(80)
Cl	4-NCC_6H_4	Cl	0	(89)
Cl	4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	Cl	–10	(74)
EtO_2C	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	–10	(83)
EtO_2C	4-NCC_6H_4	Cl	–10	(71)
EtO_2C	2-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	Cl	–10	(66)
EtO_2C	4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	Cl	–10	(68)

C_8




RMgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %),
THF, –78°









 + 


I **II**











R	**I**	**I** er	**II**
n-C_6H_{13}	(43)	>99.5:0.5	(5)
	(78)	>99.5:0.5	(—)

73

TABLE 3. REACTION OF ACYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)




Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_9 	MeMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF, rt	 (95[a], 90)	80
	MeMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF, rt	 (85[a], 76)	80
C_{11} 	n-$C_6H_{13}MgBr$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF, –78°	 (95)	73
C_{13} 	RMgBr	Catalyst (5 mol %), additive, THF		146

R	Catalyst	Additive	Temp	Time (min)	
Me	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	rt	5	(17)
$TMSCH_2$	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	rt	5	(72)
n-Bu	$Fe(acac)_3$	none	rt	5	(22)
n-Bu	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	rt	5	(96)
n-Bu	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	–78°	5	(94)
n-Bu	$FeCl_3$	NMP	rt	5	(96)
t-Bu	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	rt	5	(27)
c-C_6H_{13}	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	rt	5	(93)
Ph	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	rt	30	(55)
	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	rt	5	(95)

			$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol%), THF/NMP, rt, 5 min		Y HN (82) O (71) S (86)	146
C_{14}						
		*n*-BuMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, –78°, 5 min		(70)	146
				+ 	(<5)	
		*n*-BuMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, –78°, 5 min		(89)	146
C_{15}						
		*n*-$C_6H_{13}MgBr$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (3 mol %), THF, –78°		(79)	73

[a] The yield was determined by GLC.


TABLE 4. REACTION OF ARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6				
	Me_3FeLi (5 eq)	Et_2O, –78°, 3 h	 (50)	106
	$Zn(R^2)_2$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), $BrCH_2CH_2Br$ (1 eq), THF, rt, 3 h		141
		1. Catalyst (3 mol %), SIPr•HCl (9 mol %), THF, additive (x mol %), 0° 2. rt, 4 h 3. 60°, 24 h		


R^1	R^2	
Me	*i*-Pr	(79)[a]
i-Pr	*i*-Pr	(58)
Ph	*i*-Pr	(96)
Me	*s*-Bu	(76)[a]
Me	*t*-Bu	(5)
Me	*n*-C_8H_{17}	(72)

R	Catalyst	Additive	x		Refs.
F	FeF_3•$3H_2O$	EtMgBr	18	(0)	93, 94
Cl	FeF_3	none	—	(72)	94
Cl	FeF_3	MeMgBr	18	(97)	94
Cl	FeF_3	MeMgBr	27	(97)	94
Cl	FeF_3	EtMgBr	9	(98)	93
Cl	FeF_3	EtMgBr	18	(96)	93
Cl	FeF_3•$3H_2O$	EtMgBr	18	(98)	93, 94
Cl	FeF_3•$3H_2O$	EtMgBr	27	(97)	93, 94
Br	FeF_3•$3H_2O$	EtMgBr	18	(28)	93, 94
I	FeF_3•$3H_2O$	EtMgBr	18	(23)	93, 94
TfO	FeF_3•$3H_2O$	EtMgBr	18	(27)	93







Catalyst (5 mol %),
additive 1 (*x* mol %),
additive 2 (*y* mol %),
THF, 60°, 24 h



Catalyst	Additive 1	*x*	Additive 2	*y*		
FeF_2	SIPr•HCl	15	none	—	(18)	93, 94
FeF_2•$4H_2O$	SIPr•HCl	15	none	—	(96)	93, 94
FeF_3	SIPr•HCl	15	none	—	(29)	93, 94
FeF_3	SIPr•HCl	15	H_2O	15	(40)	93, 94
$FeCl_3$	none	—	none	—	(17)	93, 94
$FeCl_3$	SIPr•HCl	15	none	—	(32)	93, 94
$FeCl_3$	SIPr•HCl	15	KF	20	(92)	93, 94
$FeCl_3$	SIPr•HCl	15	TBAF	20	(65)	93
$Fe(acac)_3$	SIPr•HCl	15	none	—	(26)	93, 94






FeF_3•$3H_2O$ (5 mol %),
additive (*x* mol %),
THF, 60°, 24 h





Additive	*x*		
none	—	(6)	93, 94
IPr•HCl	15	(25)	93, 94
SIPr•HCl	5	(61)	93, 94
SIPr•HCl	10	(93)	93, 94
SIPr•HCl	15	(98)	93, 94
SIPr•HBF_4	15	(39)	93, 94
3	15	(34)	93, 94
I-*t*-Bu•HCl	15	(51)	93, 94
I-Adm•HBF_4	15	(8)	93




TABLE 4. REACTION OF ARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6				
		$FeF_3 \cdot 3H_2O$ (5 mol %), additive (*x* mol %), THF, 60°, 24 h		
Continued from previous page.				


Additive	*x*		Refs.
I-MeBu•HBF_4	15	(2)	93
PPh_3	10	(2)	93
P(*c*-$C_6H_{11})_3$	10	(5)	93, 94
(*c*-$C_6H_{11})_2$P(MeO-biphenyl)	10	(2)	93
(*t*-Bu)$_2$P(biphenyl)	10	(2)	93
DPPE	10	(0)	93, 94
DPPF	10	(1)	93, 94
phenanthroline	5	(1)	93, 94
TMEDA	250	(1)	93, 94

		1. $FeF_3 \cdot 3H_2O$ (3 mol %), SIPr•HCl (9 mol %), EtMgBr (18 mol %), THF, 0° 2. rt, 4 h 3.Toluene, 120°, 24 h	 (93)	93, 94

C_{6-7}


		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), Mg (1.2 eq), THF, 0°, 3 h		145

R	X^1	X^2		
H	Cl	Br	(20)	
H	Br	Cl	(25)	
H	Br	Br	(77)	
F	Br	Br	(48)	
MeO	Br	Br	(65)	
CF_3	Br	Br	(68)[b]	
Me_2N	Br	Br	(72)	






$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %),
dppy (10 mol %),
KF/KOH (1:1),
THF, 100°, 48 h




R	Pressure (bar)		
H	15,000	(97)	98
Me	15,000	(67)	
Me	1	(<1)	

C_6






1. $FeCl_3$ (10 mol %),
TMEDA (1.9 eq), THF,
0°, 1 h (slow add.)
2. 0.5 h




R	X		
H	I	(—)	47
MeO	Br	(—)	

$C_{6–10}$





1. $FeF_3 \cdot 3H_2O$ (*x* mol %),
SIPr•HCl (*y* mol %),
EtMgBr (*z* mol %), THF, 0°
2. rt, 4 h
3. Temp, time



R^1	X	R^2	*x*	*y*	*z*	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
4-MeO	Cl	Me	3	9	18	60	24	(92)	93, 94
4-F	Cl	MeO	3	9	18	60	24	(91)	93, 94
3,4-F_2	Cl	MeO	5	15	30	60; then 80	24; 12	(81)	93, 94
3,5-F_2	Br	MeO	5	15	30	40	36	(12)	93, 94
4-*n*-Bu	Cl	F	3	9	18	60	24	(87)	93, 94
2-CH_2=$CH(CH_2)_2$	Br	Me	5	15	30	60	80	(18)	94

TABLE 4. REACTION OF ARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.


C_6



RMgBr

Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive (*y* mol %), THF




Isomer	Y	R	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	*y*	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
2-	Cl	*n*-C_8H_{17}	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	NMP	—	–78 to –40	1	(39)	73
3-	Cl	*n*-C_8H_{17}	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	NMP	—	–78 to –40	1	(77)	73
4-	Cl		$Fe(acac)_3$	5	none	—	–78	3	(0)	73
4-	Br	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	FeF_3•$3H_2O$	6	SIPr•HCl	18	60	24	(4)	94
4-	Cl	*n*-C_8H_{17}	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	NMP	—	–78 to –40	1	(58)	73
4-	TfO	*n*-C_8H_{17}	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	NMP	—	–78	1	(76)	73

C_{6-7}






ArCu(CN)MgX


$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), DME, THF, 80°




97


R^1	R^2	Ar	X	Time (h)	
Ac	H	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	4	(74)
CF_3CO	H	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	5	(55)
Tf	H	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	5	(68)
Ts	H	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	5	(84)
Bz	H	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	4	(85)
4-$MeOC_6H_4CO$	H	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	7	(92)
4-$MeOC_6H_4CO$	TIPSO	4-NCC_6H_4	Cl	2	(96)
4-$MeOC_6H_4CO$	MeO	3,4-$OCH_2OC_6H_3$	Br	3	(84)
4-$MeOC_6H_4CO$	MeO	4-NCC_6H_4	Br	4	(74)

4-$MeOC_6H_4CO$	CF_3	3-NCC_6H_4	Br	3	(70)
4-$MeOC_6H_4CO$	TIPSO		Br	3	(82)
4-$MeOC_6H_4CO$	EtO_2C		Br	4	(75)
4-$MeOC_6H_4CO$	NC	4-$Me_2NC_6H_4$	Br	3	(71)
4-$MeOC_6H_4CO$	NC		Br	3	(70)






Fe(acac)$_3$ (10 mol %),
DME, THF, 80°




R	Time (h)		
MeO	2	(92)	97
CF_3	3	(78)	

C_6




PhMgBr

1. FeF_3•$3H_2O$ (3 mol %),
SIPr•HCl (9 mol %),
EtMgBr (18 mol %), THF, 0°
2. rt, 4 h
3. 60°, 24 h




(94) 93, 94





(s-Bu)$_2$Zn

Fe(acac)$_3$ (10 mol %),
$BrCH_2CH_2Br$ (1 eq),
THF, rt, 3 h




(22)[a] 141

TABLE 4. REACTION OF ARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6 	ArMgBr	1. $FeF_3 \cdot 3H_2O$ (x mol %), SIPr•HCl (y mol %), EtMgBr (z mol %), THF, 0° 2. rt, 4 h 3. Temp, time		

Ar	x	y	z	Temp (°)	Time (h)		Refs.
2-MeC_6H_4	3	9	18	80	24	(90)	94
1-Np	5	15	30	70	48	(92)	93, 94
2-Np	3	9	18	70	48	(96)	93, 94


C_{6-7} 

R^2X*

$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), Mg (1.2 eq), THF, 0°, 3 h




R^1	R^2	X		Refs.
MeO	c-C_6H_{11}	Cl	(63)	
MeO	c-C_6H_{11}	Br	(66)	145
MeO	$Ph(CH_2)_3$	Br	(74)[a]	
EtO_2C	n-$C_{12}H_{25}$	Br	(51)	


C_6 

R^1ZnR^2

$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), $BrCH_2CH_2Br$ (1 eq), THF, rt




R^1	R^2	Time (h)		Refs.
allyl	allyl	3	(8)[a]	141
n-Pr	n-Pr	3	(69)	
i-Pr	i-Pr	3	(67)	
s-Bu	s-Bu	3	(68)	
3-pentyl	3-pentyl	3	(34)	
$EtO_2C(CH_2)_3$	Me	6	(66)	
c-C_6H_{11}	c-C_6H_{11}	3	(71)	
Bn	Bn	3	(6)	
2-octyl	2-octyl	3	(68)	




PhCu(CN)MgCl

Fe(acac)$_3$ (10 mol %), DME, THF, 80°, 12 h




(—)

96





n-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$

Fe(acac)$_3$ (5 mol %), THF, Et_2O, NMP, rt, 5 min




Y		
Cl	(0)	85, 43
TsO	(0)	85
TfO	(90)	85, 43






1. FeF_3•$3H_2O$ (6 mol %), SIPr•HCl (18 mol %), EtMgBr (36 mol %), THF, 0°
2. rt, 4 h
3. 60°, 24 h




(80)

93, 94




n-$C_6H_{13}MgBr$

Fe(acac)$_3$ (5 mol %), THF, Et_2O, NMP, rt, 5 min




R		
i-$PrSO_3$	(94)	85, 43
$(i\text{-Pr})_2NO_2S$	(94)	

$C_{7–10}$



R^2X*




$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), Mg (1.2 eq), THF




145


R^1	R^2	X	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
Me	s-Bu	Br	0	3	(62)
Me	CH_2=$CH(CH_2)_3$	Br	0	3	(50)
Me	t-$BuO_2C(CH_2)_4$	Br	0	3	(38)
Me	c-C_6H_{11}	Cl	0–20	4	(75)
Me	n-$C_{12}H_{25}$	Br	0	3	(80)
t-Bu	i-$PrCH_2$	Br	0	3	(67)
t-Bu	n-$C_{12}H_{25}$	Br	0	3	(81)

TABLE 4. REACTION OF ARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7				
		$FeCl_3$ (*x* mol %), additive (1.2 eq), Mg (1.2 eq)		145


x	Additive	Solvent	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
5	none	THF	0	1	(27)
5	TMEDA	THF	0	2	(69)
5	TMEDA	THF	0	3	(70)
5	TMEDA	THF	20	2	(62)
5	TMEDA	THF	45	2	(53)
7.5	TMEDA	THF	0	2	(72)
10	TMEDA	THF	0	2	(75)
5	TMEDA	Et_2O	20	2	(0)
5	TMEDA	*t*-BuOMe	20	2	(0)
5	TMEDA	1,4-dioxane	20	2	(10)
5	TMEDA	cyclohexane	20	2	(0)
5	TMEDA	2-MeTHF	20	2	(65)
5	pyridine	THF	0	1	(0)
5	1,10-phenanthroline	THF	0	1	(0)
5	$PhNMe_2$	THF	0	1	(31)
5	Et_3N	THF	0	1	(32)
5	*trans*-*N,N,N′,N′*-tetramethyl-1,2-diaminocyclohexane	THF	0	1	(38)
5	*trans*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane	THF	0	1	(0)
5	NMP	THF	0	1	(<5)

PhMgBr	$Cp(PMe_2Ph)_2FeBr$ (5 mol %), Et_2O, 24 h		(0)	95



R^2MgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, Et_2O, NMP, rt, 5 min



R^1	Y	R^2		
Me	Cl	n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	(0)	85, 43
Me	TfO	n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	(81)	85, 43
Me	TsO	n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	(0)	85, 43
CF_3	Cl	n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	(94)	85, 43
CF_3	TfO	n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	(72)	85, 43
CF_3	TsO	n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	(75)	85, 43
NC	Cl	n-C_6H_{13}	(91)	85, 43, 87
NC	TfO	n-C_6H_{13}	(80)	85, 43, 87
NC	TsO	n-C_6H_{13}	(80)	85, 43





$R^2Cu(CN)MgCl$


$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), DME, THF, 80°




96

R^1	R^2	Time (h)	
2-EtO_2C	Ph	2	(58)
2-EtO_2C	4-$TfOC_6H_4$	2	(62)
3-EtO_2C	Ph	2	(82)
4-EtO_2C	Ph	10	(70)
4-NC	4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	3	(72)
4-NC		6	(85)












$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), $BrCH_2CH_2Br$ (1 eq), THF, rt




141

R	Time (h)	
MeO	3	(74)
1-piperidyl	6	(68)


TABLE 4. REACTION OF ARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7				
		$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), DME, THF, rt, 2 h	 (58)	96
	PhMgBr	1. $FeF_3 \cdot 3H_2O$ (3 mol %), SIPr•HCl (9 mol %), EtMgBr (18 mol %), THF, 0° 2. rt, 4 h 3. 60°, 24 h; then 80°, 12 h	 (88)	93, 94
	See table.	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), NMP, THF, 5 min		


Nucleophile	Cosolvent	Temp	R		Refs.
$Et_3ZnMgBr$	Et_2O	rt	Et	(93)	85, 43
n-BuLi	Et_2O	rt	*n*-Bu	(0)	85
n-$C_{14}H_{29}MnCl$	none	0°	*n*-$C_{14}H_{29}$	(96)	43
(*n*-$C_{14}H_{29})_2Mn$	none	0°	*n*-$C_{14}H_{29}$	(>98)	43
(*n*-$C_{14}H_{29})_3MnMgCl$	none	0°	*n*-$C_{14}H_{29}$	(>98)	43



$[(Me_4Fe)(MeLi)][Li(OEt_2)]_2$ THF, –30°




Y		
Cl	(<20)	107, 41
I	(<20)	107, 41
TfO	(<20)	107




RMgBr

Catalyst (5 mol %), NMP, THF




R	Catalyst	Cosolvent	Temp	Time (min)		
CH_2=CH	$FeCl_2$	Et_2O	rt	5	(0)	85
Et	$FeCl_2$	Et_2O	rt	5	(95)	85, 43
CH=$CHCH_2$	$FeCl_2$	Et_2O	rt	5	(0)	85
i-Pr	$Fe(acac)_3$	Et_2O	rt	5	(59)	85, 43
n-C_6H_{13}	$FeCl_2$	Et_2O	rt	5	(>95)	85
n-C_6H_{13}	$Fe(acac)_3$	Et_2O	rt	5	(>95[a], 91)	85, 43
CH_2=$CH(CH_2)_4$	$FeCl_2$	Et_2O	rt	5	(91)	85, 43
Ph	$FeCl_2$	Et_2O	rt	5	(28)	85, 43
MOMO$(CH_2)_8$	$FeCl_2$	Et_2O	rt	5	(88)	85, 43
n-C_9H_{19}	$FeCl_2$	none	0° to rt	7	(79–84)	87, 41
—≡—$(CH_2)_8$	$Fe(acac)_3$	Et_2O	rt	5	(85)	85, 43





n-$C_6H_{13}MgBr$

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), NMP, THF, Et_2O, rt, 5 min




Y		
Br	(38)	85
I	(27)	85
TfO	(>95[a], 87)	85, 43
TsO	(>95[a], 83)	85, 43

TABLE 4. REACTION OF ARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7 	n-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$	Catalyst (5 mol %), additive, 5 min		

Catalyst	Additive	Solvent	Temp		
$FeCl_3$	none	THF	rt	(>95)	43
$FeCl_3$	none	THF	–60°	(>95)	43
$FeCl_2$	none	THF	rt	(>95)	43
$FeCl_2$	none	THF	–60°	(>95)	43
$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	THF/Et_2O	rt	(91)	85


$C_{8–13}$ 











$R^2Cu(CN)MX$

$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), DME, THF, rt












96



Y	R^1	R^2	MX	Time (h)	
I	Me	Ph	MgCl	1	(86)
I	n-Bu	4-$MeO_2CC_6H_4$	MgCl	4	(68)
Cl	Ph	Ph	MgCl	18	(—)
Br	Ph	Ph	MgCl	18	(—)
I	Ph	Ph	Li	0.5	(90)
I	Ph	Ph	MgCl	0.5	(93)
TsO	Ph	Ph	MgCl	0.5	(—)
TfO	Ph	Ph	MgCl	2	(—)
I	Ph	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	MgBr	2	(76)
I	Ph	2-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	MgCl	12	(75)
I	Ph	4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	MgCl	2	(86)
I	n-Bu		MgCl	22	(57)

C_{8-16}		n-$C_8H_{17}MgBr$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (15 mol %), NMP (8 eq), THF, rt	 R = $AcO(CH_2)_2$, Time 1 h, (84) R = 4-$MeC_6H_4(CH_2)_3$, Time 0.5 h, (90)	149 49
C_{10}		RBr*	$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), Mg (1.2 eq), THF, 0°, 3 h	 R = c-C_6H_{11} (67) R = n-$C_{12}H_{25}$ (75)	145
		n-$C_6H_{13}MgCl$	$FeCl_2$ (1 mol %), Ligand **3** (2 mol %), THF, 0°, 1 h	 R = Piv (40) R = Me_2NCO (80)	68
C_{12}		n-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, 0°, 5 min	 (81)	43
C_{13}		ArCu(CN)MgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), DME, THF, rt, 4 h	 Ar = Ph (80) Ar = 4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$ (50)	96


[a] The yield was determined by GLC.
[b] The yield was determined by NMR spectroscopy.

TABLE 5. REACTION OF HETEROARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_3				
	RMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), additive, THF	 R = Ph, Additive = none, Temp (°) = –30, Time (min) = 10, (63)	43, 87
			R = n-$C_{14}H_{29}$, Additive = NMP, Temp (°) = 0, Time (min) = 5, (84)	43
C_4				
		1. FeF_3 (4 mol %), SIPr•HCl (12 mol %), EtMgBr (12 mol %), THF, 0° 2. rt, 4 h 3. 50°, 15 h	 (4)	94
	$(n\text{-}C_8H_{17})_2Zn$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), $BrCH_2CH_2Br$ (1 eq), THF, rt, 3 h	 (72)	141
		1. FeF_3 (2 mol %), SIPr•HCl (6 mol %), EtMgBr (6 mol %), THF, 0° 2. rt, 4 h 3. 60°, 18 h	 (3)	94
	n-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, Et_2O, NMP, rt, 5 min	 (41)	94

$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), THF, toluene, 100°, 4 h




(58) 99




n-$C_{14}H_{29}Br$

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), NMP, THF, Et_2O, rt, 5 min





(93) 85, 43




R^2MgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), additive, THF




R^1	R^2	Additive	Temp (°)	Time (min)		
Cl	Me	none	–78	180	(51)	73
Cl		none	–78	180	(70)	73
Cl	n-C_6H_{13}	none	–78	180	(83)	73
Cl	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	none	–78	180	(71)	73
MeS	Ph	none	–30	10	(53)	43
MeS	n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	NMP	0	5	(89)	43, 87

$C_{4–6}$











$Na[BPh_4]$

$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), $(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$ (10 mol %), THF, toluene, reflux, 16 h




R	Y		
H	N	(69^a, 51)	99
H	CH	(76^a, 64)	
MeO_2C	CH	(94^a, 52)	

TABLE 5. REACTION OF HETEROARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_4				
	n-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, 0°, 5 min	 (60)	43
	n-$C_6H_{13}MgBr$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, –78°, 3 h	 (66)	73
C_5				
		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, –15 to –10°, 5 min	 (96)	89
	RMgBr	Catalyst (5 mol %), additive, THF, 5 min		

R	Catalyst	Additive	Cosolvent	Temp		
n-C_6H_{13}	$FeCl_2$	none	none	0°	(85)	43
n-C_6H_{13}	$FeCl_3$	NMP	Et_2O	rt	(88)	85, 43
n-C_6H_{13}	$Fe(acac)_2$	NMP	Et_2O	rt	(90)	85, 43
n-C_6H_{13}	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	Et_2O	rt	(91)	85, 43
n-C_6H_{13}	**14**	NMP	Et_2O	rt	(96)	85, 43
Ph	$Fe(acac)_3$	none	none	0°	(73)	43
n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	Et_2O	rt	(81)	85, 43





$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %),
TMEDA (1.2 eq),
Mg (1.2 eq), THF, 0°, 3 h



X		
Cl	(38)	145
Br	(58)	



Ar_2Zn

$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %),
THF, toluene, 100°, 4 h



X	Ar		
2-Cl	2-(4-MeC_6H_4)	(35)	99
3-Br	3-(4-MeC_6H_4)	(0)	
4-Br	4-(4-MeC_6H_4)	(0)	



RMgBr

1. Catalyst (*x* mol %),
SIPr•HCl (*y* mol %),
EtMgBr (*z* mol %), THF, 0°
2. rt, 4 h
3. Temp, time



R	Catalyst	*x*	*y*	*z*	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
2-thienyl	FeF_3•$3H_2O$	6	18	36	80	24	(74)	93, 94
4-MeC_6H_4	FeF_3	2	6	6	60	16	(66)	94


PhMgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %),
THF, –30°, 1 h




(60) 92





(*x* eq)






$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %),
100°, 4 h










99


X	*x*	Solvent	
Br	1.0	THF/toluene	(65)
Br	1.2	THF	(15)
Br	1.2	THF/toluene	(75[a], 53)
I	—	THF/toluene	(55)

TABLE 5. REACTION OF HETEROARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_5				
		1. FeF_3 (2 mol %), SIPr•HCl (6 mol %), EtMgBr (6 mol %), THE, 0° 2. rt, 4 h 3. 60°, 16 h	 (5)	94
	RMgBr	Catalyst (*x* mol %), THF, NMP, 0°		


Y	R	Catalyst	*x*	Time (min)		Refs.
Cl	n-C_6H_{13}	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	5	(73)	43
TfO	n-C_6H_{13}	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	5	(73)	43
TfO	CH_2=$CH(CH_2)_4$	**14**	10	20	(75)	65

	 **I** + n-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$ **II**	1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, 0° 2. **I**, 3 min 3. **II**, 5 min	 (71)	43, 87
	 +  **I** **II**	1. Catalyst **14** (10 mol %), THF, NMP, 0° 2. **I**, 20 min 3. **II**, 30 min	 **III** **III** + **IV** (80), **III**/**IV** = 4:1 +  **IV**	65





RMgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, 5 min




R	Cosolvent	Temp		
i-Pr	none	0°	(56)	43
n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	Et_2O	rt	(95)	85, 43







$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, Et_2O, NMP, rt, 5 min




(81) 85, 43, 87






$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), THF, toluene, 100°, 4 h




(56[a], 50) 99

$C_{5–6}$




$Na[BPh_4]$

$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), $(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$ (10 mol %), THF, toluene, reflux, 16 h




R		
Br	(49[a], 38)	99
CF_3	(77[a], 53)	

C_5



R^2MgBr







$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), additive, THF



43


R^1	R^2	Additive	Temp (°)	Time (min)	
H	*n*-$C_{14}H_{29}$	NMP	0	5	(85)
Me	Ph	none	–30	10	(60)
Me	*n*-$C_{14}H_{29}$	NMP	0	5	(90)

TABLE 5. REACTION OF HETEROARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_5 	MeMgCl (*x* eq)	$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), additive, THF, rt, 8 h		86
C_6 	n-$C_6H_{13}MgBr$	$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, –15 to –10°, 10 min	 (91)	89
	RMgBr (*x* eq)	Catalyst (*y* mol %)		89


x	Additive	R	
1	none	Cl	(68)
1	NMP	Cl	(72)
3	NMP	Me	(96)



Y	R	*x*	Catalyst	*y*	Solvent	Temp (°)	Time (min)	
TsO	CH_2=$CH(CH_2)_3$	—	$FeCl_3$	5	THF, NMP	–15 to –10	10	(92)
TsO	c-C_6H_{11}	—	$FeCl_3$	5	THF, NMP	–15 to –10	15	(77)
$(EtO)_2PO_2$	c-C_6H_{11}	—	**14**	5	THF, NMP	0	7	(83)
TsO	n-C_6H_{13}	1.8	none	—	THF, NMP	–15 to –10	240	not complete
TsO	n-C_6H_{13}	1.8	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	THF	–15 to –10	60	not complete
TsO	n-C_6H_{13}	1.8	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	DME	–15 to –10	45	(73)
TsO	n-C_6H_{13}	1.8	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	THF, NMP	–15 to –10	60	not complete
TsO	n-C_6H_{13}	1.8	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	THF, NMP	–15 to –10	15	(88)
TsO	n-C_6H_{13}	1.8	FeF_3	5	THF, NMP	–15 to –10	60	—
TsO	n-C_6H_{13}	1.8	$FeCl_2$	5	THF, NMP	–15 to –10	15	(94)
TsO	n-C_6H_{13}	1.8	$FeCl_3$	5	THF, NMP	–15 to –10	15	(98)
TsO	n-C_6H_{13}	1.5	$FeCl_3$	5	THF, NMP	–15 to –10	15	(96)
TsO	n-C_6H_{13}	1.3	$FeCl_3$	5	THF, NMP	–15 to –10	20	(90)
$(EtO)_2PO_2$	n-C_6H_{13}	—	$FeCl_3$	5	THF, NMP	–15 to –10	15	(88)




R^2MgBr

$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, –15 to –10°




R^1	R^2	Time (min)	
CF_3	*i*-$PrCH_2$	10	(83)
	CH_2=$CH(CH_2)_3$	15	(76)
	*n*-C_6H_{13}	10	(80)

89



n-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$


$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, 0°, 5 min



(67)


43

C_7




RMgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), additive, THF




R	Additive	Temp (°)	Time (min)	
Ph	none	–30	10	(64)
n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	NMP	0	5	(94)

43



n-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$



$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, Et_2O, NMP, rt, 5 min




(68)

85, 43

TABLE 5. REACTION OF HETEROARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_8 	R^2MgBr	Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive (*y* eq), THF 		

Y	R	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	*y*	Temp (°)	Time (min)		
Cl	2-thienyl	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	none	—	–30	10	(69)	43
TsO	CH_2=$CH(CH_2)_3$	$FeCl_3$	5	NMP	—	–15 to –10	9	(84)	89
Cl	2-pyridyl	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	NMP	—	0 to rt	7	(82)	43
Cl	3-pyridyl	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	none	—	–30	10	(82)	43
Cl	Ph	**14**	5	none	—	–30	10	(73)	43
Me_2NSO_3	Ph	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	TMEDA	2	–20	10	(81)	89
Cl	*n*-$C_{14}H_{29}$	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	NMP	—	0	5	(95)	43



RMgBr


$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, –15 to –10°



89


R	Time (min)	
CH_2=$CH(CH_2)_3$	20	(73)
n-C_6H_{13}	15	(85)

C_9




RMgBr


$Fe(acac)_3$ (*x* mol %), THF, –30°




R	*x*	Time (min)		
2-thienyl	5	10	(63)	43
3-pyridyl	5	10	(63)	43
Ph	5	10	(71)	43
Ph	10	60	(65)	92



1. FeF_3•$3H_2O$ (3 mol %), SIPr•HCl (9 mol %), EtMgBr (18 mol %), THE, 0°
2. rt, 4 h
3. toluene, 100°, 8 h




(82) 93, 94



PhMgBr

Catalyst (10 mol %), 1 h




92

Catalyst	Solvent	Temp	
$Fe(acac)_3$	THF	–78°	(41)
$Fe(acac)_3$	THF	–30°	(46[b], 45)
$Fe(acac)_3$	THF	–20°	(37)
$Fe(acac)_3$	THF	–10°	(32)
$Fe(acac)_3$	THF	0°	(32)
$Fe(acac)_3$	THF	rt	(34)
$Fe(acac)_3$	Et_2O	–30°	(8)
$Fe(acac)_3$	THF/toluene	–30°	(16)
$FeCl_2$	THF	–30°	(9)
$FeCl_3$	THF	–30°	(5)

PhMgBr



$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), additive (x mol %), 1 h







92

Additive	x	Solvent	Temp (°)	
none	—	THF/NMP	–10	(35)
none	—	THF/TMEDA	–30	(29)
none	—	THF/sulfolane	–30	(36)
none	—	THF/dioxane	–30	(29)
none	—	THF/DMSO	–30	(8)
none	—	THF/DMPU	–30	(13)

TABLE 5. REACTION OF HETEROARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_9 	PhMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), additive (*x* mol %), 1 h		92

Additive	*x*	Solvent	Temp (°)	
NMP	100	THF	–30	(29)
DMPU	100	THF	–30	(48)
MeCN	100	THF	–30	(16)
bpy	10	THF	–30	(40)
bpy	30	THF	–30	(4)
Me_2S	100	THF	–30	(47)
Ph_3P	50	THF	–30	(38)
$MnCl_2$	20	THF	–30	(9)
$ZnCl_2$	20	THF	–30	(8)
CuCN	20	THF	–30	(8)

		$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), THF, toluene, 100°, 4 h	 (0)	99
$C_{9\text{-}10}$ 	R^2MgBr	$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, –15 to –10°		89


R^1	R^2	R^3	Time (min)	
TsO	*i*-Bu	*i*-Bu	10	(76)
MeO_2C	$CH_2{=}CH(CH_2)_3$	MeO_2C	16	(77)
MeO_2C	*n*-C_6H_{13}	MeO_2C	10	(80)

C_9




RMgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), additive, THF




R	Additive	Temp (°)	Time (min)	
Ph	none	–30	10	(57)
n-$C_{14}H_{29}$	NMP	0	5	(95)

43



n-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$


$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, Et_2O, NMP, rt, 5 min



Y	
Cl	(92)
TfO	(74)
TsO	(82)


85, 43

C_{10}




n-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, 0°, 5 min




(68)

43



MeMgCl







$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), additive, THF, rt, 8 h



Additive	
none	(57)
NMP	(67)









86

TABLE 5. REACTION OF HETEROARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{10}				
	MeMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), additive, THF, rt, 8 h		86
	*n*-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, 0°, 5 min	 (72)	43, 87
C_{11}				
	$ArCu(CN)MgX$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), DME, THF, 80°		97

R^1	R^2	Additive	
H	4-MeC_6H_4	none	(50)
H	4-MeC_6H_4	NMP	(61)
BzO	Bz	none	(41)
BzO	Bz	NMP	(60)


R	Ar	X	Time (h)	
CF_3	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Cl	4	(74)
CF_3	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Cl	12	(84)
CF_3	4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	Cl	12	(88)
EtO_2C	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	3	(91)
EtO_2C	4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	Cl	3	(96)

	EtMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, 0°, 5 min	 (67)		43
	RMgX	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP, rt, 30 min		R X (%) Me I (52) Et Br (74) allyl Br trace *i*-Pr Br (86) *n*-Bu Br (64) Ph Cl (94) Bn Cl (60) $Ph(Me_2)C$ Cl (0)	88
C_{12} 	n-$C_{14}H_{29}MgBr$	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, Et_2O, NMP, rt, 5 min	 (81)		85, 43, 65
C_{14} 	RMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, NMP		R Temp (°) Time (min) (%) Ph –30 10 (66) n-$C_{14}H_{29}$ 0 5 (84)	43


[a] The yield was determined by NMR spectroscopy.

[b] The yield was determined by GLC.

TABLE 6. REACTION OF ARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ALKYNES

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6				
		Catalyst (x mol %), additive (y mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), toluene, 135°		

R	Catalyst	x	Additive	y	Time (h)		Refs.
TES	$FeCl_3$	15	DMEDA	30	72	(80)	108
c-C_6H_{11}	$FeCl_3$	15	DMEDA	30	72	(15)	108
4-ClC_6H_4	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	bpy	20	42	(85)	109
4-BrC_6H_4	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	bpy	20	42	(84)	109
3-MeC_6H_4	$FeCl_3$	15	DMEDA	30	72	(51)	108
4-MeC_6H_4	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	bpy	20	42	(89)	109
2-Np	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	bpy	20	42	(86)	109


		$FeCl_3$ (x mol %), DMEDA (y mol %), additive (2 eq), toluene, 135°, 72 h		108

Y	x	y	Additive	
Br	15	30	Cs_2CO_3	trace
I	15	30	Cs_2CO_3	(68)
TsO	15	30	Cs_2CO_3	(0)
I	10	20	K_3PO_4	(37)
I	10	20	t-BuONa	(20)
I	10	20	K_2CO_3	(43)






Catalyst (10 mol %), DMEDA (20 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), toluene, 135°



Catalyst	Time (h)		
$FeCl_3$	18	(31)	108
$FeCl_3$	120	(95)	
$Fe(acac)_3$	24	(0)	
$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$	24	trace	
$Fe(bzac)_3$	48	(6)	
$Fe(ClO_4)_2$	24	trace	




Catalyst (10 mol %), bpy (20 mol %), additive (2 eq), toluene, 135°











109

Catalyst	Additive	Time (h)	
$FeCl_3$	Cs_2CO_3	42	(13)
$Fe(O_2CCH{=}CH_2)_3$	Cs_2CO_3	42	(86)
$Fe(acac)_3$	Cs_2CO_3	28	(41)
$Fe(acac)_3$	Cs_2CO_3	42	(90)
$Fe(acac)_3$	Na_2CO_3	42	(<5)
$Fe(acac)_3$	K_3PO_4	42	(37)

TABLE 6. REACTION OF ARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ALKYNES (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6 		Catalyst (10 mol %), additive (20 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), 135°		

Catalyst	Additive	Solvent	Time (h)		
$FeCl_3$	TMEDA	toluene	120	(33)	108
$Fe(acac)_3$	bpy	toluene	42	(85)	109
$FeCl_3$		DMF	24	(0)	108
$FeCl_3$		toluene	24	(0)	108
$FeCl_3$		toluene	24	trace	108
$FeCl_3$		toluene	24	(6)	108
$Fe(acac)_3$	"	toluene	42	(10)	109
$Fe(acac)_3$		toluene	42	(< 5)	109
$Fe(acac)_3$		toluene	42	(15)	109
$Fe(acac)_3$		toluene	42	(< 5)	109





$FeCl_3$ (15 mol %), DMEDA (30 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), toluene, 135°, 72 h




R		
F	(69)	
O_2N	(74)	

108







$FeCl_3$ (15 mol %), DMEDA (30 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), toluene, 135°, 72 h




R^1	R^2	
Cl	TES	(73)
H_2N	Ph	(89)
BnHN	TES	(85)
BnHN	Ph	(86)
MeO	Ph	(60)
MeO	6-MeO-2-Np	(54)

108






$FeCl_3$ (15 mol %), DMEDA (30 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), toluene, 135°



R		
H	(51)	
Me	(50)	


108

C_{6-7}







$FeCl_3$ (15 mol %), DMEDA (30 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), toluene, 135°, 72 h



R^1	R^2	
Cl	Ph	(89)
Cl	3-MeC_6H_4	(77)
Me	TES	(90)

108

TABLE 6. REACTION OF ARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ALKYNES (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{6-7} 		$Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol %), bpy (20 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), toluene, 135°, 42 h		109

R	Ar	
Br	Ph	(86)
Br	4-BrC_6H_4	(81)
Br	2-Np	(80)
MeO	Ph	(94)
MeO	4-ClC_6H_4	(89)
MeO	4-BrC_6H_4	(86)
MeO	4-MeC_6H_4	(93)
MeO	2-Np	(90)
Me	Ph	(96)
Me	4-ClC_6H_4	(90)
Me	4-MeC_6H_4	(90)
Me	2-Np	(93)








C_8 		$FeCl_3$ (15 mol %), DMEDA (30 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), toluene, 135°, 72 h		(99.9)	108
C_{10} 		$FeCl_3$ (15 mol %), DMEDA (30 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), toluene, 135°, 72 h		(55)	108

TABLE 7. REACTION OF HETEROARYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ALKYNES

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_4 		Catalyst (x mol %), additive (y mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), toluene, 135°		108

R	Catalyst	x	Additive	y	Time (h)	
TES	$FeCl_3$	15	DMEDA	30	72	(40)
Ph	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	bpy	20	42	(87)
4-ClC_6H_4	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	bpy	20	42	(90)
4-MeC_6H_4	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	bpy	20	42	(89)
2-Np	$Fe(acac)_3$	10	bpy	20	42	(87)










C_5 		$FeCl_3$ (15 mol %), DMEDA (30 mol %), Cs_2CO_3 (2 eq), toluene, 135°, 72 h	 (58)		108


TABLE 8. REACTION OF ALLYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_3				
		$Fe(acac)_3$ (0.5 mol %), 1,4-dioxane, 0°, 3 h	 R: Me (20); TMS (22); TMSC≡C (43); Me_2C=CH (31)	110
	EtMgBr	$FeCl_3$ (0.025 mol %), additive (*x* eq), THF, rt, 5 min	 Additive (*x*): none (0) (72); styrene (7.8) (77)	36
	PhMgBr (*x* eq)	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, −20°, 5 min	 *x*: 2.0 (98); 2.2 (96)	114 41
	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, −20°, 5 min	 (97)	114, 41
C_{3-4}				
	R^2MgX	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, rt		113


R^1	R^2	X	Time (h)	
H	Ph	Cl	3	(78)
H	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	3	(91)
H	*n*-C_8H_{17}	Br	6	(95)
Me	2-thienyl	Br	4	(64)
Me	*c*-C_6H_{11}	Cl	6	(61)
Me	4-FC_6H_4	Br	4	(74)
Me	4-MeC_6H_4	Br	3	(79)
Me	4-$Me_2NC_6H_4$	Br	3	(76)
Me	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	3	(87)
Me	$Ph(CH_2)_2$	Cl	6	(56)

C_4






Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive




113

Catalyst	*x*	Additive	Solent	Temp	Time (h)	
$Fe(acac)_3$	5	none	THF	rt	3	(82)
$Fe(acac)_3$	5	none	THF	reflux	2	(73)
$Fe(acac)_3$	2	none	THF	rt	8	(61)
$Fe(acac)_3$	5	none	DME	rt	2	(75)
$Fe(acac)_3$	5	NMP	THF	rt	3	(78)
$FeCl_3$	5	none	THF	rt	3	(78)
FeF_3	5	none	THF	rt	4	(58)




ArMgX

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), DME, rt, 4 h



I


+



II

113


Ar	X	**I** + **II**	**I**/**II**	**I** (*E*)/(*Z*)
$2\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	Cl	(72)	88:12	10:1
$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	Cl	(77)	87:13	6:1
$4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4$	Br	(79)	84:16	8:1



(*E*)/(*Z*) = 3:1


ArMgX

Catalyst (5 mol %), rt, 4 h



I

+






II


113

Ar	X	Catalyst	Solvent	**I** + **II**	**I**/**II**	**I** (*E*)/(*Z*)
$2\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	THF	(78)	86:14	—
$2\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	toluene	(—)	85:15	—
$2\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	DME	(75)	90:10	10:1
$2\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	MeCN	(57)	88:12	—
$2\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	Cl	$Fe(OAc)_2$	THF	(—)	85:15	—

TABLE 8. REACTION OF ALLYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_4				
	ArMgX	Catalyst (5 mol %), rt, 4 h	 + 	113
(*E*)/(*Z*) = 3:1			**I** **II**	
Continued from previous page.				

Ar	X	Catalyst	Solvent	**I + II**	**I/II**	**I** (*E*)/(*Z*)
2-MeC_6H_4	Cl	$FeCl_3$	THF	(68)	90:10	—
2-MeC_6H_4	Cl	$FeCl_3$	DME	(71)	84:16	—
2-MeC_6H_4	Cl	$FeCl_2$	THF	(—)	87:13	—
2-MeC_6H_4	Cl	$Fe(ClO_4)_2$	THF	(—)	83:17	—
2-MeC_6H_4	Cl	FeF_3	THF	(—)	80:20	—
2-MeC_6H_4	Cl	$FeBr_3$	THF	(—)	90:10	—
2-MeC_6H_4	Cl	$FeBr_2$	THF	(—)	85:15	—
2-MeC_6H_4	Cl	Fe_2O_3	THF	trace	—	—
4-MeC_6H_4	Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	DME	(82)	88:12	6:1
4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	DME	(85)	85:15	8:1




PhMgBr

Catalyst (*x* mol %), THF




R	Catalyst	*x*	Temp (°)	Time (min)		Refs.
Me	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$	5	–30	<10	(94)	41
Me	$[Li(tmeda)][CpFe(C_2H_4)_2]$	5	–30	30	(45)	41
Me	$Cp^*Fe(C_2H_4)_2$	10	–30	30	(50)	41
Me	(cyclooctenyl)$CpFe(C_2H_4)$	10	–30	30	(46)	41
Me	(allyl)Cp^*FeCl	10	–30	30	(73)	41
Et	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$	5	–20	5	(94)	114




PhMgBr

$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min




(80), (*E*)/(*Z*) = 4.4:1 — 41




ArMgX

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF




Ar	X	
2-MeC_6H_4	Cl	(72)
4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	(67)

113



ArMgX


$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, rt, 6 h



Ar	X	
Ph	Cl	(75)
2-MeC_6H_4	Cl	(71)
4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	(82)


113

$C_{5–10}$



R^3MgX


$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF



I **II**

R^1	R^2	R^3	X	Temp (°)	Time (h)	**I + II**	**I/II**	
$TBSOCH_2$	Me	*n*-Bu	Cl	–70	1	(86)	>99:1	111
Ph	H	*n*-Bu	Cl	–70	2	(78)	>99:1	111
n-C_7H_{15}	H	Me	I	–78	1	(87)	97:3	112
n-C_7H_{15}	H	*n*-Bu	Cl	–70	3	(94)	99:1	111
n-C_7H_{15}	H	*n*-Bu	Cl	–78	1	(94)	99:1	112

C_6



Me_3FeLi (5 eq)

Et_2O, –20°, 1 h



(50)

106

PhMgBr



$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min







(95)

41





TABLE 8. REACTION OF ALLYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_8				
	PhMgBr	Catalyst (*x* mol %), THF, –30°		41

Catalyst	*x*	Time	
$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$	5	<20 min	(81)
$[Li(tmeda)][CpFe(C_2H_4)_2]$	5	18 h	(39)
(cyclooctenyl)$CpFe(C_2H_4)$	10	18 h	(33)

C_9				
	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	 (96)	114
C_{10}				
	*n*-BuMgCl	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF, –70°	 **I** + **II** +  **III**	


Time (h)	**I + II + III**	**I/II/III**	
0.5	(95)	97:3:0	111
1	(93)	92:2:6	112

	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	 (87)	114, 41
	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	 (84)	114, 41




PhMgBr

[Li(tmeda)]$_2$[Fe(C_2H_4)$_4$] (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min




(93)

114, 41



R^2MgX

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF



111

I **II**


R^1	R^2	X	Temp (°)	Time (h)	**I + II**	**I/II**
Ph	Me	I	–70	0.5	(90)	99:1
Ph	CH_2=CH	Br	–70	2	(54)	91:9
Ph	*i*-Pr	Br	–70	1.5	(93)	>99:1
Ph	*n*-Bu	Cl	–70	1.5	(77)	>99:1
Et	Ph	Br	–70	2	(80)	70:30
i-Pr	Ph	Br	–70	2	(68)	73:27
Ph	Ph	Br	–70	0.5	(93)	94:6
Ph	Bn	Br	–45	3	(95)	97:3
Ph	(Z)-1-octenyl	Br	–70	0.5	(94)	84:16
Ph	*n*-C_6H_{13}C	Cl	–70	2	(89)	89:11


TABLE 9. REACTION OF ALLYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ACTIVE METHYLENE COMPOUNDS

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.


*Please refer to the charts preceding the tables for structures indicated by the **bold** numbers.*

C_3







$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), PPh_3 (3 mol %), DMF, 80°, 12 h





(84) — 120






Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive, THF




I + 


II


R	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	Temp	Time (h)	**I** + **II**	**I**/**II**	Refs.
CHO	$Na[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	25	none	—	4	(88)	**I** only	115
Ac	$Na[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	25	none	—	6	(74)	**I** only	115
Ac	$Fe_2(CO)_9$	10	none	20°	72–120	(74[a], 61)	**I** only	116
EtO_2C	$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	25	CO	reflux	8	(76)	89:11	122





$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (25 mol %), THF, CO, reflux, 8 h




I + 

II


I + **II** (—), **I**/**II** = — — 122


C_4






THF, 20°




I + 


II

I + **II** (—), **I**/**II** = 17:83 — 116






THF, 20°




I **II**

R	Time (h)	**I + II**	**I/II**	
Me	>1	(—)	43:57	117
Et	—	(—)	52:48	116




THF, 20°, >1 h




I **II** 117

I + II (—), **I/II** = 42:58





THF, 20°, >1 h




I **II** 117

I + II (—), **I/II** = 21:79





$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), additive (*x* mol %), 80°




I **II**


R^1	R^2	R^3	Additive	*x*	Solvent	Time (h)	**I + II**	**I/II**	
H	Me	Me	PPh_3	3	DMF	24	(78)	98:2	120
H	Me	*i*-Bu	**7c**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(72[a], 67)	17:83	121
H	Me	*i*-Bu	**7b**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(78[a], 71)	91:9	121
Me	H	Me	PPh_3	3	DMF	24	(76)	4:96	120
Me	H	*i*-Bu	**7c**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(72[a], 63)	15:85	121
Me	H	*i*-Bu	**7b**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(66[a], 64)	12:88	121

TABLE 9. REACTION OF ALLYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ACTIVE METHYLENE COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.

C_4







Catalyst (*x* mol %), THF




I + **II**

R^1	R^2	Y	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	Temp	Time (h)	**I** + **II**	**I**/**II**	Refs.
H	Me	Cl	**15**	10	none	—	0.4	(84)	74:26	115
H	Me	Cl	$Na[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	20	none	—	48	(90)	82:28	115
H	Me	AcO	$Na[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	30	none	—	14	(79)	95:5	115
H	Me	AcO	$Fe_2(CO)_9$	10	none	66°	24	(83)	37:63	116
H	Me	EtO_2CO	$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	25	CO	reflux	10	(78)	93:7	122
Me	H	Cl	$Na[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	20	none	—	48	(79)	10:90	115
Me	H	AcO	$Na[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	10	none	—	14	(90)	9:91	115
Me	H	AcO	$Fe_2(CO)_9$	10	none	20°	48	(—)	58:42	116
Me	H	AcO	$Fe_2(CO)_9$	10	none	66°	24	(62)	64:36	116
Me	H	EtO_2CO	$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	25	CO	reflux	8	(76)	**II** only	122







$Fe_2(CO)_9$ (10 mol %), THF



I + **II**

Temp (°)	Time (h)	**I** + **II**	**I**/**II**	**II** (*E*)/(*Z*)	Refs.
20	96	(78)[a]	69:31	<10:90	116
66	24	(84)	41:59	(*E*) only	






THF, 20°



I + **II** (—), **I**/**II** = 49:51


116

C_5






$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (10 mol %), additive (10 mol %), THF, reflux, 12 h



I


+




II

120

Additive	**I + II**	**I/II**
none	(8)	—
pyridine	(6)	—
PPh_3	(41)	96:4
PBu_3	(26)	92:8
$P(OEt)_3$	(12)	—
dppe	(8)	—
dppp	(11)	—
dppf	(13)	—




$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (25 mol %), THF, CO, reflux, 14 h



I


+



II


122

I + II (78), **I/II** = 92:8






$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), additive (*x* mol %), 80°



I





+




II

R	Additive	x	Solvent	Time (h)	**I + II**	**I/II**	
NC	PPh_3	3	DMF	12	(74)	92:8	120
NC	**7b**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(85)	99:1	121
NC	**7c**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(76)	80:20	121
MeO_2C	PPh_3	3	DMF	12	(79)	96:4	120
i-BuO_2C	**7b**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(88)	95:5	121
i-BuO_2C	**7c**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(85)	74:26	121
PhO_2S	PPh_3	3	DMF	12	(92)	94:6	120
PhO_2S	**7b**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(87)	80:20	121
PhO_2S	**7c**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(86)	60:40	121

TABLE 9. REACTION OF ALLYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ACTIVE METHYLENE COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_5				
		$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), PPh_3 (3 mol %), DMF, 80°, 12 h	 **I** + **II** (81), **I/II** = 87:13	120
	MeO_2C CO_2Me	$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (*x* mol %), PPh_3 (*y* mol %)		120


x	*y*	Solvent	Temp	Time (h)	**I** + **II**	**I/II**
10	10	THF	reflux	12	(68)	96:4
10	10	DME	reflux	12	(43)	92:8
10	10	acetone	reflux	12	(12)	—
10	10	CH_2Cl_2	reflux	12	(0)	—
10	10	toluene	reflux	12	(39)	97:3
10	10	DMSO	reflux	12	(46)	96:4
10	10	MeCN	reflux	12	(60)	58:42
2.5	3	DMF	80°	24	(81)	98:2
10	10	DMF	reflux	12	(95)	98:2
10	10	NMP	reflux	12	(82)	97:3

		i-BuO_2C CO_2i-Bu	$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), base, additive (2.5 mol %), t-BuOMe, 80°, 5 h		121

Base	Additive	**I** + **II**	**I/II**
none	**7b**	(84)	91:9
none	**7c**	(79)	9:91
$Me_2C(Et)OK$	**7c**	(92)	10:90



$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), additive (2.5 mol %), base, *t*-BuOMe, 80°, 5 h




I **II**

121

Additive	Base	I + II	I/II
7a	$NaNH_2$	(68)	84:17
7b	$NaNH_2$	(74)	91:9
7d	$NaNH_2$	(66)	87:13
7a	$Me_2C(Et)OK$	(12)	63:37
7b	$Me_2C(Et)OK$	(98)	9:91
7d	$Me_2C(Et)OK$	(38)	33:67




$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), additive (*x* mol %), 80°



I **II**


R	Additive	*x*	Solvent	Time (h)	I + II	I/II	
Me	PPh_3	3	DMF	24	(71)	96:4	120
i-Bu	**7b**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(74)	94:6	121
i-Bu	**7c**	2.5	*t*-BuOMe	5	(76)	15:85	121



er 87:13



$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), additive (2.5 mol %), *t*-BuOMe, 80°














I **II**


121

Additive	I + II	I/II
7b	(78[d], 73)	85:15
7c	(72[d], 72)	13:87


TABLE 9. REACTION OF ALLYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ACTIVE METHYLENE COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_5				
 er 91.5:8.5		$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), additive (2.5 mol %), *t*-BuOMe, 80°	 Additive / er: **7b** (79) 88:12; **7c** (74) 56:44	121
		$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), additive (2.5 mol %), *t*-BuOMe, 80°	 Additive / % D: **7b** (72) 92; **7c** (65) 57	121
		$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), PPh_3 (3 mol %), DMF, 80°, 24 h	 **I** + **II** (65), **I/II** = 84:16	120
		$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), PPh_3 (3 mol %), DMF, 80°, 48 h	 **I** + **II** (61), **I/II** = 93:7	120
C_6				
		$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), PPh_3 (3 mol %), DMF, 80°, 48 h	 (69)	120


C_7



er >97.5:2.5




$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (25 mol %), THF, CO, reflux, 14 h




I er >97.5:2.5 **II**


I + **II** (76), **I/II** = 80:20 119



(*E*)/(*Z*) = 9:91





$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (25 mol %), THF, CO, reflux, 10 h




(83), (*E*)/(*Z*) = 13:87 122

C_{7-9}







Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive, THF




R^1	R^2	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	Temp	Time (h)		
n-Bu	EtO_2C	$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	25	CO	reflux	8	(85)	122
Ph	Ac	$Na[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	10	none	—	14	(91)	115
Ph	EtO_2C	$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	25	CO	reflux	10	(82)	122

C_7







$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (25 mol %), THF, CO, reflux, 12 h




I **II**

I + **II** (74), **I/II** = 64:36 122










$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (25 mol %), THF, CO, reflux, 8 h





(75) 122

TABLE 9. REACTION OF ALLYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ACTIVE METHYLENE COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_8				
 er >97.5:2.5		$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (25 mol %), THF, CO, reflux	 **I** er >97.5:2.5 **II** **I + II** (84), **I/II** = 95:5	119
C_9				
		$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (2.5 mol %), PPh_3 (3 mol %), DMF, 80°	 **I** **II**	120

R^1	R^2	Time (h)	**I + II**	**I/II**
H	Ph	24	(78)	98:2
Ph	H	48	(56)	92:8
Ph	Ph	48	(53)	—






Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive, THF




I **II**

R	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	Temp	Time (h)	**I + II**	**I/II**	Refs.
Ac	$Na[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	30	none	—	24	(83)	66:34	115
EtO_2C	$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$	25	CO	reflux	12	(76)	75:25	122


C_{10}



er 87.5:12.5




$Bu_4N[Fe(CO)_3NO]$ (25 mol %),
THF, CO, reflux, 12 h



I er 87.5:12.5

+







II er 87.5:12.5

119

I + **II** (78), **I**/**II** = 93:7

[a] The yield was determined by GLC.


TABLE 10. REACTION OF PROPARGYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMAGNESIUM COMPOUNDS

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_3				
	RMgBr	$FeCl_3$, THF, rt		127
			R Time (min) *n*-Bu 10 (85) *s*-Bu 60 (70)	
		Catalyst (*x* mol %), 1,4-dioxane, 0°, 3 h	 **I** **II**	110
			Y Catalyst *x* **I + II** **I/II** Cl $FeCl_3$ 0.5–1 (18) 50:50 Cl $Fe(acac)_3$ 0.5 (50) 60:40 Cl $Fe(acac)_3$ 0.5–1 (47) 58:42 Cl $Fe(dbm)_3$ 0.5–1 (18) 59:41 TsO $Fe(acac)_3$ 0.5 (47) 20:80	
		$Fe(acac)_3$ (0.5 mol %), 1,4-dioxane, 0°, 3 h	 **I** **II**	110
			Y R **I + II** **I/II** TsO H (47) 25:75 Cl CH_2=(Me)C (69) 36:64 Cl Me_2C=(Me)C (30) 40:60	
		1. $FeCl_3$ (10 mol %), TMEDA (1.9 eq), THF, 0°, 1 h (slow add.) 2. 0°, 0.5 h	 (80)	47



PhMgBr


$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min




(96)

114, 41






$Fe(acac)_3$ (0.5 mol %), 1,4-dioxane, 0°, 3 h




X	
Cl	(7)
Br	(20)

110



$Fe(acac)_3$ (0.5 mol %), 1,4-dioxane, 0°, 3 h



X	R	
Cl	H	(41)
Br	H	(17)
Cl	TMS	(32)
Cl	Me	(37)
Cl	$TMSC\equiv C$	(49)
Cl	$CH_2=(Me)C$	(68)
Cl	$Me_2C=CH$	(53)


110

C_4



n-BuMgBr


$FeCl_3$




Solvent	Temp	Time (min)	
THF	rt	10	(80)
THF/Et_2O	0°	15	(90)


127

126





$Fe(acac)_3$ (0.5 mol %), 1,4-dioxane, 0°, 3 h





I **II**



R	**I** + **II**	**I**/**II**
H	(27)	**I** only
TMS	(48)	**II** only




110

TABLE 10. REACTION OF PROPARGYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMAGNESIUM COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)




Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_5 	RMgX	See table		

R	X	Catalyst	Solvent	Temp	Time (min)		Refs.
Et	I	$FeCl_3$	THF	rt	10	(80)	127
n-Bu	Br	$FeCl_3$	THF	rt	10	(80–90)	127
n-Bu	—[a]	$FeCl_3$	THF/Et_2O	0°	15	(80)	126
n-Bu	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	THF	rt	30	(60)	127
s-Bu	Br	$FeCl_3$	THF	rt	60	(75)	127

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
		$Fe(acac)_3$ (0.5 mol %), 1,4-dioxane, 0°, 3 h	 (36)	110

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
$C_{5\text{-}6}$ 		$Fe(acac)_3$ (0.5 mol %), 1,4-dioxane, 0°, 3 h		110

R^1	R^2		Refs.
Me	H	(37)	110
Me	TMS	(40)	
Me	Me	(14)	
Me	TMSC≡C	(50)	
Me	Me_2C=CH	(54)	
Me	*t*-BuC≡C	(61)	
Et	H	(39)	

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_5 		$Fe(acac)_3$ (0.5 mol %), 1,4-dioxane, 0°, 3 h	 (3)	110

C_6



RMgX


See table



I **II**


R	X	Catalyst	Solvent	Temp	Time (min)	**I + II**	**I/II**	
Me	I	$FeCl_3$	THF	rt	10	(90)	50:50	127
Me	—[a]	$FeCl_3$	THF/Et_2O	0°	15	(40–43)	50:50	126
i-Pr	Br	$FeCl_3$	THF	rt	60	(88)	**II** only	127
i-Pr	—[a]	$FeCl_3$	THF/Et_2O	0°	15	(88)	—	126
n-Bu	Br	$FeCl_3$	THF	rt	20	(87)	**II** only	127
n-Bu	—[a]	$FeCl_3$	THF/Et_2O	0°	15	(87)	—	126
n-Bu	Br	$FeBr_2$	THF	—	20	(80)	**II** only	127
t-Bu	Br	$FeCl_3$	THF	rt	240	(0)	—	127

C_8




RMgX

See table




R	X	Catalyst	Solvent	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
Me	—[a]	$FeCl_3$	THF/Et_2O	0	0.25	(84)	126
	Br	$Fe(acac)_3$(0.5 mol %)	1,4-dioxane	0	3	(36)	110

C_9



PhMgBr



[Li(tmeda)]$_2$[Fe(C_2H_4)$_4$] (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min





(93)

114, 41

TABLE 10. REACTION OF PROPARGYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMAGNESIUM COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)






Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_9 	RMgX	$FeCl_3$	 **I** + **II**	

R	X	Solvent	Temp	Time (min)	**I** + **II**	**I**/**II**	
Me	I	THF	rt	10	(90)	50:50	127
Me	—[a]	THF/Et_2O	0°	15	(45)	50:50	126
n-Bu	—[a]	THF/Et_2O	0°	15	(70–80)	**I** only	126

C_{10} 	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	 (87)		114, 41

[a] X was not specified.



TABLE 11. REACTION OF BENZYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH MISCELLANEOUS COMPOUNDS

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7				
	EtMgBr	$FeCl_3$ (0.025 mol %), additive (x eq), THF, rt		36
		Catalyst (5 mol %), additive (x mol %)		


Additive	x	Time (min)		
none	—	10	(4)	
styrene	7.8	5	(1)	


Y	R	Catalyst	Additive	x	Solvent	Temp	Time (h)		Refs.
Cl	H	$Cp(PMe_2Ph)_2FeBr$	none	—	toluene	rt	24	(3)	95
Cl	H	$Cp(PMe_2Ph)_2FeBr$	none	—	Et_2O	rt	4	(3)	95
Cl	H	Cp(dmpe)FeBr	none	—	toluene	rt	3	(1)	95
Cl	H	Cp(dppe)FeBr	none	—	toluene	rt	100	(11)	95
Cl	H	$(dppe)FeBr_2$	none	—	Et_2O	rt	24	(12)	95
Cl	H	$Cp(PMe_2Ph)(CO)FeBr$	none	—	Et_2O	rt	24	(3)	95
Cl	H	$Cp(PPh_3)(CO)FeBr$	none	—	Et_2O	rt	24	(10)	95
Cl	H	$Cp(PMe_2Ph)_2FePh$	none	—	benzene	rt	4	(5)	95
Cl	H	Cp(dmpe)FePh	none	—	Et_2O	rt	4	(2)	95
Cl	H	$FeCl_3$	none	—	THF	rt	48	(21)	95
Cl	H	$FeCl_3$	none	—	toluene	rt	12	(12)	95
Cl	H	$FeCl_3$	PMe_2Ph	10	toluene	rt	24	(23)	95
Cl	H	$FeCl_2 \cdot H_2O$	PMe_2Ph	10	toluene	rt	12	(36)	95
Cl	H	$FeBr_2$	PMe_2Ph	10	toluene	rt	12	(29)	95
Br	H	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$	none	—	THF	–20°	5 min	(62)	41
ClO_2S	H	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	—	THF	80°	2[a]	(55)	61
ClO_2S	Me	$Fe(acac)_3$	NMP	—	THF	80°	2[a]	(58)	61

TABLE 11. REACTION OF BENZYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH MISCELLANEOUS COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7 	$Na[BAr_4]$	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), $(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$ (10 mol %), toluene, THF, 85°		99

R	Y	Ar	Time (h)	
H	Br	Ph	4	(91[b], 63)
H	$(EtO)_2PO_2$	Ph	24	(14)
H	Br	$4\text{-}ClC_6H_4$	4	trace
H	Br	$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	4	(96)
Br	Br	Ph	4	(95[b], 79)
O_2N	Br	Ph	4	(0)

C_{7-8} 



$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), toluene, 45°



129

R^1	Y	R^2	Time (h)	
H	Cl	Me	4	(78)
H	Br	Me	4	(>99[b], 76)
H	$(EtO)_2PO_2$	Me	24	(75)
H	Br	CF_3	4	(97[b], 58)
H	Br	MeO	4	(>99[b], 95)
Br	Br	Me	4	(>99[b], 80)
Br	$(EtO)_2PO_2$	Me	24	(73)
MeO	Br	MeO	4	(80[b], 64)
Me	Cl	Me	4	(82)
Me	Br	Me	4	(>99[b], 86)
Me	Br	CF_3	4	(95)
Me	Br	MeO	4	(>99)
CF_3	Br	Me	4	(98[b], 59)
MeO_2C	Br	Me	4	(95[b], 69)














		$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), toluene, 45°, 4 h		R Br (93[b], 81) CF_3 (85[b], 64) NC (90[b], 73)	129
C_7					
	$PhB(OH)_2$ or 	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), $(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$ (10 mol %), additive, toluene, THF, 85°, 4 h		Additive none (0) K_2CO_3 (0)	99
		$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), $(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$ (10 mol %), toluene, THF, 85°, 4 h		(0)	99
		$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), R_2Zn (*x* mol %), additive, toluene, THF, 85°, 4 h		R / *x* / Additive Et 5 none (17) $4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4$ 10 none (0) $4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4$ 10 K_2CO_3 (0)	99
	$Li[PhBBu_3]$	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), $(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$ (10 mol %), toluene, THF, 85°, 4 h		(49)	99
	$K[4\text{-}MeC_6H_4BF_3]$	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), $(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$ (10 mol %), toluene, THF, 85°, 4 h		(0)	99

TABLE 11. REACTION OF BENZYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH MISCELLANEOUS COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.

C_7




$Na[BPh_4]$

Catalyst (x mol %), additive (y mol %)




X	Catalyst	x	Additive	y	Solvent	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
Cl	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	5	$(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$	10	toluene/THF	85	4	(75)
Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	$(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$	10	toluene/THF	85	4	(24)
Br	$FeCl_2py_4$	5	$(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$	10	toluene/THF	85	4	(59)
Br	$FeCl_2$dppp	5	$(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$	10	toluene/THF	85	4	(44)
Br	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	5	none	—	toluene/THF	85	4	(0)
Br	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	5	$ZnCl_2$	10	toluene/THF	85	4	(48)
Br	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	5	Et_2Zn	10	toluene/THF	85	4	(29)
Br	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	1	$(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$	10	toluene/THF	reflux	16	(71)
Br	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	2.5	$(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$	10	toluene/THF	85	4	(93)
Br	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	5	$(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$	5	toluene/THF	85	4	(96)
Br	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	5	$(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$	10	THF	85	4	(0)
Br	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	5	$(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$	10	toluene/THF	85	4	(96[b], 88)
Br	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	5	$(4\text{-}MeC_6H_4)_2Zn$	10	toluene/THF	85	4	(95)

99



(x eq)

Catalyst (5 mol %), toluene



X	R	x	Catalyst	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
Cl	$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	—	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	45	4	(83)
Br	$TMSCH_2$	—	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	45	24	(74)
Br	$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	0.5	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	45	4	(49)
Br	$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	0.5	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	45	16	(50)
Br	$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	2	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	45	24	(50)
Br	$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	—	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	rt	4	(71)
Br	$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	—	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	45	4	(94[b], 92)
Br	$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	—	$FeCl_2$(dppbz)	45	4	(80)
Br	$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	—	$FeCl_2$(dppbz)	45	16	(86)
Br	$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	—	$FeCl_2$(dppp)	45	4	(71)
Br	$4\text{-}MeC_6H_4$	—	$FeCl_2$(dppp)	45	16	(90)

129























		$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), toluene, 45°, 24 h		(89)	129
		$FeCl_3•6H_2O$ (5 mol %), $MeNO_2$, 50°, 4 h		R H (44) Ac (66)	128
C_8					
	$Na[BPh_4]$	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), $(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_2Zn$ (10 mol %), toluene, THF, 85°, 4 h		R 4-Me (90[b], 61) 3-CF_3 (>99) 4-CF_3 (>99) 2-NC (85[b], 73) 4-MeO_2C (98[b], 80)	99
		$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (5 mol %), toluene, 45°, 4 h		(0)	129
		$FeCl_3•6H_2O$ (5 mol %), $MeNO_2$, 50°, 4 h		R Me (99) Ph (99)	128
		$FeCl_3•6H_2O$ (5 mol %), $MeNO_2$, 50°, 4 h		(77)	128


TABLE 11. REACTION OF BENZYLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH MISCELLANEOUS COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_8  **I**	 **II**	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (*x* mol %)		128

R^1	R^2	**I/II**	*x*	Solvent	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
H	Me	—	10	none	100	20	(99)
H	Me	1:20	10	$MeNO_2$	50	20	(99)
H	Me	1:20	10	$MeNO_2$	rt	20	(48)
H	Me	1:20	10	$MeNO_2$	50	6	(99)
H	Me	1:20	10	$MeNO_2$	50	2	(95)
H	Me	1:20	10	$MeNO_2$	50	1	(66)
H	Me	1:10	10	$MeNO_2$	50	20	(95)
H	Me	1:4	10	$MeNO_2$	50	20	(90)
H	Me	1:1	10	$MeNO_2$	50	20	(61)
H	Me	4:1	10	$MeNO_2$	50	20	(79)
H	Me	10:1	10	$MeNO_2$	50	20	(51)
H	Me	20:1	10	$MeNO_2$	50	20	(28)
H	Me	1:10	5	CH_2Cl_2	50	2	(47)
H	Me	1:10	5	$MeNO_2$	50	2	(99)
H	Me	1:4	5	$MeNO_2$	50	4	(98)
H	Me	1:20	1	$MeNO_2$	50	4	(12)
Ac	Me	—	5	$MeNO_2$	50	4	(99)
H	Et	—	5	$MeNO_2$	50	4	(97)


		$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (10 mol %), $MeNO_2$, 50°, 20 h	 (38)	128

C_{8-13}






$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (*x* mol %), $MeNO_2$, 50°




128


R^1	R^2	R^3	R^4	*x*	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
H	Me	Et	Me	5	50	4	(95)
H	Me	Ph	Et	10	50	20	(99)
Cl	Me	Me	Me	5	50	4	(82)
H	Et	Me	Me	5	50	4	(51)
H	Et	Me	Me	10	80	20	(60)
H	Ph	Me	Me	5	50	4	(99)

C_{11}





bmim-$FeCl_4$ (5 mol %), Et_2O, 0°, 10 min



(60)

133

[a] The addition was carried out at 2mL/h.

[b] The yield was determined by NMR spectroscopy.


TABLE 12A. REACTION OF ALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
*Please refer to the charts preceding the tables for structures indicated by the **bold** numbers.*				
C_1				
MeBr	*n*-PrMgBr	$FeCl_3$ (0.025 mol %), THF, 2°, 20 min	*n*-C_4H_{10} (0)[a]	36
C_2				
EtBr	MeMgBr	$Fe(dbm)_3$ (0.33 mol %), THF	*n*-C_3H_8 (16)[a] + *n*-C_4H_{10} (8)[a]	52
	RMgBr	Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive (*y* eq)		

R	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	*y*	Solvent	Temp	Time		Refs.
Et	$FeCl_3$	0.08	none	—	none	2°	—	(<0.1)	5
Et	$FeCl_3$	0.025	none	—	THF	2°	31 min	(—)	36
n-Pr	$FeCl_3$	0.025	none	—	THF	2°	45 min	(0)[a]	36
i-Pr	$FeCl_3$	0.00625	none	—	THF	2°	4 h	(0)[a]	36
(*E*)-MeCH=CH	$Fe(dbm)_3$	0.3–0.4	none	—	THF	rt	1.5–8 h	(19–33)[a]	36
t-$BuCH_2$	$FeCl_3$	5	none	—	THF	rt	10 min	(7)[a]	36
t-$BuCH_2$	$FeCl_3$	0.025	none	—	THF	rt	4 h	(1)[a]	36
Ph	$FeCl_3$	5	none	—	THF	rt	5 min	(21)[a]	36
Ph	$FeCl_3$	0.025	none	—	THF	rt	24 h	(1)[a]	36
Bn	$FeCl_3$	5	none	—	THF	rt	1 h	(4)[a]	36
Bn	$FeCl_3$	0.025	none	—	THF	rt	30 min	(3)[a]	36
Bn	$FeCl_3$	0.025	styrene	7.8	THF	rt	30 min	(5)[a]	36

C_{2-3}				
+ 	MeMgBr	$Fe(dbm)_3$ (0.33 mol %), THF	C_3H_8 (3)[a] +  (52)[a] + *n*-C_4H_{10} (0.4)[a]	36

C_{2-12}






1. $FeCl_3$ (x mol %), TMEDA (1.9 eq), THF, 0°, 1 h (slow add.)
2. 0°, 30 min



47


R^1	X	R^2	x	
$(EtO)_2CH$	Br	Me	5	(50)
t-BuO_2C	Br	Me	5	(—)
EtO_2CCH_2	Br	Me	10	(—)
$TBDPSOCH_2(Me)CH$	I	TMS	5	(29)
$TBDPSOCH_2(Me)CH$	I	Me	10	(87)
$EtO_2C(CH_2)_3$	Br	TMS	10	(40)
$EtO_2C(CH_2)_3$	Br	Me	10	(62)
n-C_5H_{11}	Cl	Me	10	(—)
$CH_2{=}CH(CH_2)_3$	I	TMS	10	(77)
$CH_2{=}CH(CH_2)_3$	I	Me	10	(35)
n-$C_{11}H_{23}$	Br	TMS	10	(52)
n-$C_{11}H_{23}$	Br	Me	10	(82)

C_2



ArMgBr

$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min



Ar		
Ph	(87)	114, 41
4-PhC_6H_4	(85)	114

C_3



RMgBr

$FeCl_3$ (x mol %), THF





36

R	x	Temp	Time	
Me	5	2°	7 h	(5)
Me	0.025	rt	7 h	(11)
Et	5	2°	2.5 min	(0)[a]
Et	0.025	2°	27 min	(0)[a]
Et	0.0025	2°	3 h	(0)[a]
n-Pr	0.025	2°	55 min	(—)[a]

TABLE 12A. REACTION OF ALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_3				
	RMgBr	Catalyst (x mol %)		


Y	R	Catalyst	x	Solvent	Temp	Time		
Br	Me	$FeCl_3$	5	THF	rt	15 min	(10)[a]	36
Br	Me	$FeCl_3$	0.025	THF	rt	3 h	(15)[a]	36
Br	Et	$FeCl_3$	5	THF	2°	2.5 min	(0)[a]	36
Br	Et	$FeCl_3$	0.125	THF	2°	10 min	(0)[a]	36
Br	Et	$FeCl_3$	0.00625	THF	2°	1 h	(0)[a]	36
Br	Et	$FeCl_3$	0.00625	THF	rt	1 h	(0)[a]	36
ClO_2S	Ph	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	THF/NMP	80°	2 h[b]	(24)	61
ClO_2S	4-MeC_6H_4	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	THF/NMP	80°	2 h[b]	(29)	61

	ZnI_2 + PhMgBr (2 eq)	$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), THF, 40°, 12 h	 (87)[c]		137

C_{3-4}

	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min		R		114, 41
				$ClCH_2$	(86)	
				OCN	(90)	

C_4




PhMgBr

1. Catalyst (5 mol %), additives, THF, rt, 45 min (slow add.)
2. rt, 30 min



48

Catalyst	Additives	
$(FeCl_3)_2(tmeda)_3$	none	(75)
$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %)	(75)



PhMgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, 80°, 2 h[b]



(61)

61




RMgBr

Catalyst (x mol %)



R	Catalyst	x	Solvent	Temp	Time (min)		
Et	$FeCl_3$	0.5	THF	2°	10	(0)[a]	5, 36
4-FC_6H_4	bmim•$FeCl_2$	0.1	Et_2O	—	10	(—)	133
4-MeC_6H_4	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	Et_2O	reflux	30	(—)	49



n-$C_6H_{13}MgBr$

$Fe(OAc)_2$ (3 mol %), XantPhos (6 mol %), Et_2O, rt, 15 min



(46)[a]

135




RMgBr

1. $FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.9 eq), THF, 0°, 1 h (slow add.)
2. 0°, 30 min




R	
CH_2=(TMS)C	(58)
CH_2=(Me)C	(80)
Me_2C=CH	(91)

47



ArMgBr

Catalyst (5 mol %)









R	Ar	Catalyst	Solvent	Temp	Time (min)		
Ph	4-MeC_6H_4	$Fe(acac)_3$	Et_2O	reflux	30	(65)	49
2-BrC_6H_4	Ph	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$	THF	–20°	5	(68)	41





TABLE 12A. REACTION OF ALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_4	ArZnR	$FeCl_3$ (*x* mol %), additive, THF		

X	Ar	R	*x*	Additive	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
Br	3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	3	dppbz (6 mol %)	80	12	(79)	138
I	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	5	TMEDA (1.5 eq)	50	0.5	(86)	138
I	4-$EtO_2CC_6H_4$	$TMSCH_2$	5	TMEDA (1.5 eq)	30	6	(72)	136

		1. $FeCl_3$ (10 mol %), TMEDA (1.9 eq), THF, 0°, 1 h (slow add.) 2. 0°, 30 min	 (—)	47
		$Fe(OAc)_2$ (3 mol %), XantPhos (6 mol %), Et_2O, rt, 15 min	 (46)[a] +  (2)[a]	135
	PhMgBr	Catalyst (5 mol %), ligand (*x* mol %)		

X	Catalyst	Ligand	*x*	Solvent	Temp (°)	Time (min)		
Br	$FeCl_3$	Et_3N	10	Et_2O/THF	45	30	(43)[a]	131
Br	$FeCl_3$	P(*c*-C_6H_{11})$_3$	10	Et_2O/THF	45	30	(54)[a]	46
Br	$FeCl_3$	P[O-2,4-(*t*-Bu)$_2C_6H_3$]$_3$	10	Et_2O/THF	45	30	(61)[a]	46
Br	$FeCl_3$	PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	Et_2O	45	30	(62)	134
I	[Li(tmeda)]$_2$[Fe(C_2H_4)$_4$]	none	—	THF	–20	5	(100)[a]	41

	 (*E*)/(*Z*) = 93:7	$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (3.5 eq), THF, 30°, 6 h	 **I** **II** **I** + **II** (86), **I**/**II** = 90:10	56
		$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (3 mol %), THF, 60°, 6 h	 (77)	138





PhMgBr

1. Catalyst (5 mol %), additive, THF, time 1 (slow add.)
2. Time 2




X	Catalyst	Additive	Temp (°)	Time 1 (min)	Time 2 (min)		
Cl	$FeCl_3$	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	40	20	10	(84)[a]	66
Cl	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %)	0	45	30	(—)	48
Br	$FeCl_3$	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	rt	20	10	(94)[a]	66
Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	none	0	45	30	(45)	48
Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA (0.1 eq)	0	45	30	(60)	48
Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA (0.5 eq)	0	45	30	(90)	48
Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA (1.3 eq)	0	45	30	(90)	48
Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	HMTA (2.5 mol %)	0	45	30	(55)	48
Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	HMTA (5 mol %)	0	45	30	(80)	48
Br	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %)	0	45	30	(93)	48
Br	$(FeCl)_2(tmeda)_3$	none	0	45	30	(81)	48
I	$FeCl_3$	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	0	20	10	(95)[a]	66
I	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %)	0	45	30	(94)	48







$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, 80°, 2 h[b]




R	
H	(57)
Me	(75)

61






$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %), THF, 0°, 45 min (slow add.)



I + **II** (68), **I**/**II** = 76:24


55

$(E)/(Z)$ = 78:22



PhMgBr












$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, −20°, 5 min





(87)


114, 41

TABLE 12A. REACTION OF ALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_4				
	ZnI_2 + PhMgBr (2 eq)	$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), TMEDA (2.4 eq), THF, rt, 6 h	 (83)	137
	 (*E*)/(*Z*) = 70:30	$Fe(acac)_3$ (40 mol %), THF/NMP, 0° to rt, 2 h	 **I** **I** + **II** (—), **I**/**II** = — +  **II**	47
	 (*E*)/(*Z*) = 70:30	$FeCl_3$ (10 mol %), TMEDA (1.9 eq), THF, 0°, 10 min; then rt, 30 min	 **I** **I** + **II** (70), **I**/**II** = — +  **II**	47
C_{4-12}				
		1. $FeCl_3$ (*x* mol %), TMEDA (1.9 eq), THF, 0°, 1 h (slow add.) 2. 0°, 30 min		47


R	X	*x*	
$TBDPSOCH_2(Me)CH$	I	5	(80)
$EtO_2C(CH_2)_3$	Br	10	(98)
n-$C_{11}H_{23}$	Br	10	(88)

C_5				
	PhMgBr	1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %), THF, 0°, 45 min (slow add.) 2. 0°, 30 min	 (70)	48





PhMgBr

[Li(tmeda)]$_2$[Fe(C_2H_4)$_4$] (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min




(92) 41







$FeCl_3$ (x mol %), TMEDA (1.5 eq), THF




Y	R^1	R^2	x	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
I	H	Ph	5	50	0.5	(93)	136
I	EtO_2C	$TMSCH_2$	5	30	6	(91)	136
TsO	EtO_2C	$TMSCH_2$	1	rt	12	(75)	137







$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.5 eq), THF, 50°, 0.5 h



(83) 136




$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (3.5 eq), THF, 30°, 3 h




(97) 56

$C_{5–7}$






PhMgBr

[Li(tmeda)]$_2$[Fe(C_2H_4)$_4$] (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min




R	X		
EtO_2C	Br	(88)	114
$TBSO(CH_2)_2$	I	(84)	41
$NC(CH_2)_2$	I	(83)	114, 41

TABLE 12A. REACTION OF ALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_5				
		1. Catalyst (5 mol %), additive, THF, 45 min (slow add.) 2. 30 min		48

R	Catalyst	Additive	Temp	
Me_2N	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %)	0°	(88)
MeO	$(FeCl_3)_2(tmeda)_3$	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	rt	(92)
MeO	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %)	0°	(93)





Catalyst (*x* mol %), ligand (*y* mol %), Et_2O, reflux, 30 min



Catalyst	*x*	Ligand	*y*		Refs.
$FeCl_3$	5	Et_3N	10	(66)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	TMEDA	5	(49)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	DABCO	5	(40)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	P(*c*-$C_6H_{11})_3$	10	(41)[c]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	P[O-2,4-(*t*-Bu)$_2C_6H_3]_3$	10	(47)[c]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	$Ph_2P(CH_2)_6PPh_2$	5	(38)[c]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	(81)[c]	134
9	2.5	none	—	(56)[c]	132




RMgBr

Catalyst (*x* mol %), THF



X	R	Catalyst	*x*	Temp	Time (min)		Refs.
Br	Et	$FeCl_3$	5	rt	20	(0)	36
Br	Et	$FeCl_3$	0.025	rt	45	(0)	36
I	Ph	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$	5	–20°	5	(74)	114, 41



PhM


$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), additive (x mol %), THF, 50°, 12 h



M	Additive	x	
Li	$MgBr_2$	20	(5)[c]
MgBr	none	—	(19)


137

C_6




RMgBr


Catalyst (x mol %), ligand (y mol %)




I + **II**

R	Catalyst	x	Ligand	y	Solvent	Temp	Time (h)	**I**	**II**	
Ph	$FeCl_3$	5	Et_3N	10	Et_2O/THF	45°	0.5	(30)[c]	(22)[c]	131
Ph	$FeCl_3$	5	$P(c\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3$	10	Et_2O/THF	45°	0.5	(40)[c]	(23)[c]	46
Ph	$FeCl_3$	5	$P[O\text{-}2,4\text{-}(t\text{-}Bu)_2C_6H_3]_3$	10	Et_2O/THF	45°	0.5	(42)[c]	(25)[c]	46
Ph	$FeCl_3$	5	PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	Et_2O	45°	0.5	(56)	(6)	134
$PhO(CH_2)_4$	$Fe(OAc)_2$	3	XantPhos	6	Et_2O	rt	0.25	(3)[a]	(48)[a]	135







$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %), THF, 0°, 45 min (slow add.)




(73) 55






1. $FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), THF, 0°, 20 min (slow add.)
2. 0°, 10 min




(88) 66



ArZnR

$FeCl_3$ (x mol %), TMEDA (y eq), THF
















Y	Ar	R	x	y	Temp	Time (h)		
Br	Ph	Ph	5	1.5	50°	0.5	(91)	136
I	Ph	Ph	5	1.5	50°	0.5	(99)	136
TsO	Ph	Ph	1	1.2	rt	3	(95)	137
I		$TMSCH_2$	5	1.5	30°	6	(89)	136

TABLE 12A. REACTION OF ALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6 		1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %), THF, 0°, 45 min (slow add.) 2. 0°, 30 min	 R: Me_2N (67); MeO (88)	48
C_{6-7} 	ArMgBr	1. Catalyst (5 mol %), additive (*x* mol %), THF, 45 min (slow add.) 2. 30 min		48


R	Ar	Catalyst	Additive	*x*	Temp	
n-C_3H_7	Ph	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA, HMTA	10, 5	0°	(71)
n-C_3H_7	4-$Me_2NC_6H_4$	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA, HMTA	10, 5	0°	(85)
n-C_3H_7	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	$(FeCl_3)_2(tmeda)_3$	none	—	rt	(83)
n-C_4H_9	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA, HMTA	10, 5	0°	(74)

C_6 		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (2.0 eq), THF, 50°, 0.5 h		(90)	136
C_7 		1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %), THF, 0°, 45 min (slow add.) 2. 0°, 30 min		(67)	55
		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (3.5 eq), THF, 30°, 3 h; then 40°, 6 h		(95)	56




(*Z*)/(*E*) = 96:4

$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (3.5 eq), THF, 30°, 3 h; then 40°, 6 h




I




II

I + **II** (87), **I/II** = 96:4


56






(*Z*)/(*E*) = 96:4

$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (5 eq), THF, 30°, 6 h




I




II


I + **II** (87), **I/II** = 96:4

56






$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (3.5 eq), THF, 30°, 18 h




(91)[c]

56




ArZnR

$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), additive, THF



I






+



II

Ar	R	Additive	Temp (°)	Time (h)	**I** + **II**	**I/II**	
Ph	Ph	TMEDA (1.5 eq)	50	0.5	(76)	63:37	136
3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	$TMSCH_2$	dppbz (6 mol %)	60	15	(85)	61:39	138
3,4-$OCH_2OC_6H_3$	3,4-$OCH_2OC_6H_3$	TMEDA (1.5 eq)	50	0.5	(86)	64:36	136
4-NCC_6H_4	$TMSCH_2$	TMEDA (1.5 eq)	30	24	(73)	63:37	136


TABLE 12A. REACTION OF ALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7				
		bmim-$FeCl_4$ (10 mol %), Et_2O, 0°, 10 min	 (64)	133
	ZnI_2 + PhM (2 eq)	$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), additive (*x* mol %), THF, rt		137

M	Additive	*x*	Time (h)	
Li	$MgBr_2$	20	12	(86)[c]
MgBr	none	—	24	(95)

$C_{8–10}$






R^1	R^2	R^3		
				55
n-C_7H_{15}	H	Me	(69)	
n-C_6H_{13}	Me	H	(55)	
n-C_6H_{13}	Me	Me	(72)	
n-C_9H_{19}	H	H	(48)	


C_8

n-$C_8H_{17}X$	PhMgBr	$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), THF, 20 min (slow add.); then 10 min	*n*-$C_8H_{17}Ph$	X	Temp	66
				Cl	40°	(45)[a]
				Br	rt	(91)[a]
				I	0°	(97)[a]

n-$C_8H_{17}SO_2Cl$	RMgX	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, 80°, 2 h[b]	*n*-$C_8H_{17}R$	R	X	61
				Ph	Br	(72)
				4-$MeOC_6H_4$	Br	(82)
				$Ph(CH_2)_2$	Cl	(6)
				4-MeC_6H_4	Br	(68)
				1-Np	Br	(—)


n-$C_8H_{17}Y$	RMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), Et_2O, reflux, 0.5 h	n-$C_8H_{17}R$	Y	R		49
				Br	4-FC_6H_4	(60)	
				Br	2-MeC_6H_4	(62)	
				Br	4-$MeOC_6H_4$	(73)	
				Br	2,4,6-$Me_3C_6H_2$	(60)	
				Cl	4-MeC_6H_4	(32)	
				Br	4-MeC_6H_4	(70)	
				I	4-MeC_6H_4	(60)	
				TsO	4-MeC_6H_4	(50)	





n-$C_8H_{17}Br$ — Catalyst (x mol %), ligand (y mol %), Et_2O, 0.5 h





Catalyst	x	Ligand	y	Temp		
$FeCl_3$	5	Et_3N	10	reflux	(70)[c]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	DABCO	5	reflux	(62)[c]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	TMEDA	5	reflux	(63)[c]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	P(c-$C_6H_{11})_3$	10	reflux	(71)[c]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	$Ph_2P(CH_2)_6PPh_2$	5	reflux	(48)[c]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	P[O-2,4-(t-Bu)$_2C_6H_3]_3$	10	reflux	(58)[c]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	45°	(85)[c]	134
9	2.5	none	—	45°	(89)[c]	132
1	5	none	—	reflux	(71)	46





n-$C_8H_{17}OTs$ — $FeCl_3$ (x mol %), TMEDA (y eq), THF — n-$C_8H_{17}R$ — 137

R	x	y	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
2-thienyl	3	3	40	12	(72)
3-thienyl	5	3	40	12	(72)
2-NCC_6H_4	5	3	40	14	(60)
3-NCC_6H_4	3	3	40	6	(93)
4-NCC_6H_4	1	1.5	rt	10	(93)


TABLE 12A. REACTION OF ALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_8 *n*-$C_8H_{17}OTs$	ZnX_2 + PhM (2 eq)	$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), additive (*x* mol %), THF, rt, 3 h	*n*-$C_8H_{17}Ph$ X M Additive *x* Cl MgBr none — (12)[a] I MgBr none — (88)[a] I MgBr $TMSCH_2MgCl$ — (87)[a] I Li none — (0)[a] I Li $MgBr_2$ 20 (99)[a]	137
C_{8-10} 	 **I** + **II**	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %), THF, 0°, 45 min (slow add.)	 **III** + **IV** R^1 R^2 R^3 **I/II** **III + IV** **III/IV** *n*-C_6H_{13} Me H 86:14 (67) 85:15 *n*-C_6H_{13} Me H 97:3 (69) 97:3 *n*-C_9H_{19} H H 86:14 (67) 85:15 *n*-C_6H_{13} Me Me 87:13 (84) 85:15 *n*-C_9H_{19} H Me 86:14 (80) 86:14	55
C_8  er 99:1	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$, (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	 (93) er 50:50	114, 41






Catalyst (5 mol %), Et_2O




Catalyst	Temp	Time (min)		
$Fe(acac)_3$	reflux	30	(73)	49
bmim-$FeCl_4$	0°	10	(84)	133




ZnI_2 + PhM (2 eq)

$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq) additive (*x* mol %), THF, rt




137


M	Additive	*x*	Time (h)	
Li	$MgBr_2$	20	1	(86)[c]
MgBr	none	—	6	(90)



$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), TMEDA (1.5 eq), THF, rt, 24 h




(71) 137




PhMgBr

$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$, (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min




(66) 41






PhMgBr

$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$, (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min





(95) 114




TABLE 12A. REACTION OF ALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_8 		Catalyst (*x* mol %), ligand (*y* mol %), Et_2O		


Catalyst	*x*	Ligand	*y*	Temp	Time (h)		
$FeCl_3$	5	Et_3N	10	reflux	0.5	(74)[c]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	DABCO	5	reflux	0.5	(44)[c]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	TMEDA	5	reflux	0.5	(61)[c]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	$P(c\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3$	10	reflux	–	(64)[c]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	$Ph_2P(CH_2)_6PPh_2$	5	reflux	–	(64)[c]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	$P[O\text{-}2,4\text{-}(t\text{-}Bu)_2C_6H_3]_3$	10	reflux	–	(65)[c]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	reflux	0.5	(91)[c]	134
9	2.5	none	—	45°	0.5	(69)[c]	132


$C_{8\text{–}10}$  $FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (3.5 eq), THF  56

R	X	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
$4\text{-}BrC_6H_4$	Br	30	48	(73)
$n\text{-}C_8H_{17}$	Cl	50	24	(80)
$n\text{-}C_8H_{17}$	Br	40	18	(89)
$n\text{-}C_8H_{17}$	I	30	15	(98)


C_8   $FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$ (3 mol %), THF, 60°, 15 h  (90)[c] 138

C_9





$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), Et_2O, reflux, 0.5 h






(69) 49

C_{10}







n-$C_{10}H_{21}Br$ RMgBr Catalyst (x mol %), ligand (y mol %), Et_2O, rt, 15 min n-$C_{10}H_{21}R$ 135



R	Catalyst	x	Ligand	y	
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	—	1	(3)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	PPh_3	1	(15)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	P(c-$C_6H_{11})_3$	1	(2)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	2-(di-t-butylphosphino)biphenyl	1	(4)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	2-(dicyclohexylphosphino)-2',6'-dimethoxybiphenyl	1	(8)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	1,2-bis(dipentafluorophenylphosphino)ethane	1	(5)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	dppe	1	(5)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	BINAP	1	(18)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	XantPhos	1	(51)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	bis(2-diphenylphosphinophenyl)ether	1	(5)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	9,9-dimethyl-4,5-bis(di-t-butylphosphino)xanthene	1	(11)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	P(n-Bu)$_3$	1	(14)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	1	$P(OCH_2CF_3)_3$	1	(2)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(acac)_3$	1	XantPhos	1	(9)[a]
n-Bu	$FeCl_2$	1	XantPhos	1	(3)[a]
n-Bu	$FeCl_3$	1	XantPhos	1	(2)[a]
n-Bu	FeF_3	1	XantPhos	1	(15)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(CF_3COCH{=}COMe)_3$	1	XantPhos	1	(3)[a]
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	3	XantPhos	6	(64)[a]
n-C_6H_{13}	$Fe(OAc)_2$	3	XantPhos	6	(62)[a]

TABLE 12A. REACTION OF ALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{10-12} 		1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %), THF, 0°, 45 min (slow add.) 2. 0°, 30 min	 *n* R 3 H (76) 3 MeO (72) 5 MeO (39) 5 Me (50)	48
C_{10}				
n-$C_{10}H_{21}SO_2Cl$	PhMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, 80°, 2 h[b]	*n*-$C_{10}H_{21}Ph$ (54)	61
n-$C_{10}H_{21}X$	ArZnR	$FeCl_3$ (*x* mol %), additive, THF	*n*-$C_{10}H_{21}Ar$	

X	Ar	R	*x*	Additive	Temp (°)	Time (h)		
I	2-pyridyl	$TMSCH_2$	5	TMEDA (1.5 eq)	30	6	(98)	136
Cl	3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	3	dppbz (6 mol %)	80	12	(—)	138
Br	3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	3	dppbz (6 mol %)	80	12	(84)	138
I	3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	3	dppbz (6 mol %)	80	6	(84)	138
I	2,3-F_2-4-$MeOC_6H_2$	2,3-F_2-4-$MeOC_6H_2$	3	dppbz (9 mol %)	60	24	(84)	138



	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$, (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	 (56)	41
	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$, (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	 (89)	114, 41
	ArMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, 80°, 2 h[b]	 Ar Ph (34) 4-$MeOC_6H_4$ (42) 4-MeC_6H_4 (32)	61

	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$, (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min		(91)	114, 41

C_{11}

				X	Ar		
n-$C_{11}H_{23}X$	ArMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$, (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	n-$C_{11}H_{23}Ar$	Br	Ph	(61)	114
				I	Ph	(96)	
				I	2,4-$Me_2C_6H_3$	(94)	
				I	3,4-$(MeO)_2C_6H_3$	(94)	

C_{11-12}

				R^1	R^2	x		
		bmim-$FeCl_4$ (x mol %), Et_2O, 0°, 10 min		1-Np	F	5	(60)	133
				n-$C_{11}H_{23}$	F	0.1	(—)[a]	
				n-$C_{11}H_{23}$	F	0.5	(79)[a]	
				n-$C_{11}H_{23}$	F	1	(80)[a]	
				n-$C_{11}H_{23}$	F	5	(90)[a]	
				n-$C_{11}H_{23}$	F	10	(88)[a]	
				n-$C_{11}H_{23}$	Me	5	(73)	
				n-$C_{11}H_{23}$	Ph	5	(60)	

C_{11}











		1. $FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), THF, 0°, 20 min (slow add.) 2. 0°, 10 min		(87)	66
		$FeCl_3$ (3 mol %), dppbz (6 mol %), THF, 60°, 3 h		(83)	138

TABLE 12A. REACTION OF ALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{12}				
n-$C_{12}H_{25}Br$	*n*-BuMgBr	$Fe(OAc)_2$ (3 mol %), XantPhos (6 mol %), Et_2O, rt, 15 min	*n*-$C_{16}H_{34}$ (63)[a]	135
	PhMgBr	$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, 80°, 2 h[b]	 (78)	61
C_{14}				
n-$C_{12}H_{23}$–OTs		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (3.5 eq), THF, 30°, 48 h	 (83)	56
n-$C_{14}H_{29}Br$	*n*-C_4H_9MgBr	$Fe(OAc)_2$ (3 mol %), XantPhos (6 mol %), Et_2O, rt, 15 min	*n*-$C_{18}H_{38}$ (63)[b]	135
C_{16}				
n-$C_{16}H_{33}Y$	RMgBr	Catalyst (*x* mol %), ligand (*y* mol %)	*n*-$C_{16}H_{33}R$	

Y	R	Catalyst	*x*	Ligand	*y*	Solvent	Temp	Time (h)		
Br	*n*-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	3	XantPhos	6	Et_2O	rt	0.25	(64)[a]	135
ClO_2S	Ph	$Fe(acac)_3$	5	none	—	THF/NMP	80°	2[b]	(47)	61

n-$C_{14}H_{29}$–Br		1. $FeCl_3$ (10 mol %), TMEDA (1.9 eq), THF, 0°, 1 h (slow add.) 2. 0°, 30 min	 (52)	47

[a] The yield was determined by GLC.

[b] The addition was carried out at 2 mL/h.

[c] The yield was determined by NMR spectroscopy.

TABLE 12B. REACTION OF CYCLOALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS























Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
*Please refer to the charts preceding the tables for structures indicated by the **bold** numbers.*				
C_5				
		1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (10 mol%), HMTA (5 mol %), THF, 0°, 45 min (slow add.) 2. 0°, 30 min		R: H (91), MeO (88)	48
		$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, 80°, 2 h[c]		R: H (63), Me (71)	61
	ZnI_2 + PhLi (2 eq)	$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), $MgBr_2$ (20 mol %), THF, rt, 1 h	 (91)[a]	137
		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), PEG (M_w = 14,000; 5 mol %), Et_2O, 45°, 0.5 h	 (78)[a]	134
C_6				
		1. $FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.9 eq), THF, 0°, 1 h (slow add.) 2. 0°, 0.5 h	 (—)	47
		$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, 80°, 2 h[c]	 (48)	61
	*n*-BuMgBr	$Fe(OAc)_2$ (3 mol %), XantPhos (6 mol %), Et_2O, rt, 15 min	 (34)[b]	135

TABLE 12B. REACTION OF CYCLOALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6				
		$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %), THF, 0°, 45 min (slow add.)	 X: Cl (15); I (74)	55
		1. Catalyst (5 mol %), THF, 0°, (slow add.) 2. 0°, 0.5 h		
		Catalyst: $FeCl_3$; Additive: TMEDA (1.9 eq); Time (h): 1; (64)[a]		47
		Catalyst: $Fe(acac)_3$; Additive: TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %); Time (h): 0.75; (75)		55
		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (3.5 eq), THF		56
		X: Cl; Temp (°): 40; Time (h): 2; (91)[a]		
		X: Br; Temp (°): 30; Time (h): 24; (99)[a]		
		X: I; Temp (°): 30; Time (h): 18; (95)[a]		
		$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$, (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	 (77)	41
	PhLi	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$, (5 mol %), THF, –20°	 Time (min): 5 (92); —: (61)	114 41




PhMgBr

1. $FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), THF, 20 min (slow add.)
2. 10 min



X	Temp	
Cl	rt	(99)[a,b]
Br	0°	(99)[a,b]
I	0°	(99)[a,b]

66



PhMgBr

$Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), THF/NMP, 80°, 2 h[c]



(68)

61



PhMgBr

1. Catalyst (5 mol %), additive, THF, time 1 (slow add.)
2. Time 2



Catalyst	Additive	Temp	Time 1 (min)	Time 2 (min)		
$FeCl_3$	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	0°	20	10	(99)[a,b]	66
$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$	none	–20°	—	5	(94)	114, 41
$[Li(dme)]_2[Fe(cod)_2]$	none	0° to rt	—	—	(87)	41
$[Li(tmeda)][CpFe(C_2H_4)_2]$	none	0° to rt	—	—	(81)	41
$Na_2Fe(CO)_4$	none	rt	—	—	(0)	41
$(FeCl_3)_2(tmeda)_3$	none	rt	45	30	(91)	48
$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %)	0°	45	30	(90)[a]	48




$ZnPh_2$

$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.5 eq), THF, 50°



X	Time (h)	
Cl	3	(88)
Br	0.5	(97)
I	0.5	(98)

136



ZnI_2 + PhM (2 eq)



$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), additive (*x* mol %), THF, rt




M	Additive	*x*	Time (h)	
Li	$MgBr_2$	20	12	(15)[a]
MgBr	none	—	24	(13)[a]

137


TABLE 12B. REACTION OF CYCLOALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6				
		bmim-$FeCl_4$ (x mol %), Et_2O, 0°, 10 min		133

X	R	x		
Br	H	0.1	(20)[b]	
Cl	Me	0.1	(75)	
Br	Me	0.5	(89)	
I	Me	1	(79)	




Catalyst (x mol %), ligand (y mol %)




X	Catalyst	x	Ligand	y	Solvent	Temp	Time (min)		Refs.
Cl	$FeCl_3$	5	Et_3N	10	Et_2O	reflux	30	(84)	131
Cl	$FeCl_3$	5	TMEDA	5	Et_2O	reflux	30	(59)	131
Cl	$FeCl_3$	5	DABCO	5	Et_2O	reflux	30	(62)	131
Br	$FeCl_3$	5	$P(c\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3$	10	Et_2O	reflux	30	(76)[a]	46
Br	$FeCl_3$	5	$Ph_2P(CH_2)_6PPh_2$	5	Et_2O	reflux	30	(70)[a]	46
Br	$FeCl_3$	5	$P[O\text{-}2,4\text{-}(t\text{-}Bu)_2C_6H_3]_3$	10	Et_2O	reflux	30	(88)[a]	46
Br	$FeCl_3$	5	PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	Et_2O	45°	30	(78)[a]	134
Br	$FeCl_3$	5	PEG (M_w = 14,000), Hg (100–150 eq)	5	Et_2O	45°	30	(72)[a]	134
Br	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$	5	none	—	THF	–20°	5	(95)	114, 41
Br	**9**	2.5	none	—	Et_2O	45°	30	(81)[b]	132







1. $FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), ligand, THF, time 1 (slow add.)
2. Time 2




Ligand	Temp	Time 1 (min)	Time 2 (min)		Refs.
TMEDA (1.2 eq)	rt	20	10	(99)	66
TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %)	0°	45	30	(89)	48




Catalyst (*x* mol %), ligand (*y* mol %),




Catalyst	*x*	Ligand	*y*	Temp	Time (min)		
$FeCl_3$	5	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	—	rt	20[c]	(98)	66
$FeCl_3$	5	Et_3N	10	reflux	30	(75)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	TMEDA	5	reflux	30	(62)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	DABCO	5	reflux	30	(70)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	$P(c\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3$	10	reflux	30	(44)[a]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	$P[O\text{-}2,4\text{-}(t\text{-}Bu)_2C_6H_3]_3$	10	reflux	30	(60)[a]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	$Ph_2P(CH_2)_6PPh_2$	5	reflux	30	(31)[a]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	45°	30	(30)[a]	134
9	2.5	none	—	45°	30	(61)[b]	132







Catalyst (*x* mol %), ligand (*y* mol %), Et_2O, 0.5 h




Catalyst	*x*	Ligand	*y*	Temp		
$FeCl_3$	5	Et_3N	10	reflux	(69)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	TMEDA	5	reflux	(60)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	DABCO	5	reflux	(74)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	$P(c\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3$	10	reflux	(63)[a]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	$P[O\text{-}2,4\text{-}(t\text{-}Bu)_2C_6H_3]_3$	10	reflux	(66)[a]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	$Ph_2P(CH_2)_6PPh_2$	5	reflux	(58)[a]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	**2**	—	reflux	(73)	46
$FeCl_3$	5	PEG (M_w = 14,000)	12.5	45°	(77)[a]	134
9	2.5	none	—	reflux	(80)[a]	132


TABLE 12B. REACTION OF CYCLOALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6				
		Catalyst (5 mol %), ligand (*x* eq)		

Catalyst	Ligand	*x*	Solvent	Temp	Time (min)		
$Fe(acac)_3$	none	—	Et_2O	reflux	30	(69)	49
$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$	none	—	THF	–20°	5	(81)	41
$FeCl_3$	TMEDA	1.2	THF	rt	20 (slow add.)	(96)	66




$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), ligand (*x* mol %), Et_2O, reflux, 0.5 h




Ligand	*x*		Refs.
Et_3N	10	(79)[a]	131
TMEDA	5	(79)[a]	131
DABCO	5	(88)[a]	131
PPh_3	20	(72)[b]	46
$P(c\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3$	10	(85)[b]	46
$P(c\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3$	20	(87)[b]	46
$P(2\text{-}MeC_6H_4)_3$	20	(53)[b]	46
$P(t\text{-}Bu)_2(o\text{-biphenyl})$	20	(35)[b]	46
$P(c\text{-}C_6H_{11})_2(o\text{-biphenyl})$	20	(27)[b]	46
$P(OMe)_3$	20	(83)[b]	46
$P(OEt)_3$	20	(69)[b]	46
$P(Oi\text{-}Pr)_3$	20	(83)[b]	46
$P(OPh)_3$	20	(67)[b]	46
$P[O\text{-}2,4\text{-}(t\text{-}Bu)_2C_6H_3]_3$	10	(88)[b]	46
$P[O\text{-}2,4\text{-}(t\text{-}Bu)_2C_6H_3]_3$	20	(82)[b]	46
$AsPh_3$	20	(82)[b]	46
dppm	10	(60)[b]	46


dppe	10	(66)[b]	46
dppp	10	(88)[b]	46
dppb	10	(75)[b]	46
$Ph_2P(CH_2)_5PPh_2$	10	(87)[b]	46
$Ph_2P(CH_2)_6PPh_2$	5	(85)[b]	46
$Ph_2P(CH_2)_6PPh_2$	10	(82)[b]	46
cis-dppen	10	(82)[b]	46
trans-dppen	10	(30)[b]	46
dppf	10	(60)[b]	46
$Ph_2AsCH_2AsPh_2$	10	(83)[b]	46
1	20	(87)[b]	46
2	20	(97)[b]	46
3	20	(45)[b]	46
4	20	(67)[b]	46
5	20	(94)[b]	46




$FeCl_2$ (5 mol %), ligand (20 mol %), Et_2O, reflux, 0.5 h



Ligand		
PPh_3	(81)[b]	46
$P(c\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3$	(68)[b]	





$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), ligand (*x* mol %), Et_2O, 0.5 h







134

Ligand	*x*	Temp	
PEG (M_w = 14,000)	0.5	reflux	(67)[a]
PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	rt	(79)[a]
PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	reflux	(94)[a]

TABLE 12B. REACTION OF CYCLOALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6				
 *Continued from previous page.*		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), ligand (x mol %), Et_2O, 0.5 h		134


Ligand	x	Temp	
PEG (M_w = 14,000), Hg (100–150 eq)	5	reflux	(91)[a]
PEG (M_w = 14,000)	12.5	reflux	(80)[a]
PEG (M_w = 14,000)	50	reflux	(0)[a]
PEG (M_w = 14,000)	500	reflux	(0)[a]
PEG (M_w = 35,000)	5	reflux	(79)[a]
PEG (M_w = 2,000)	5	reflux	(63)[a]

		Catalyst **1** (5 mol %), Et_2O, reflux, 0.5 h	 (94)[b]	46
		Catalyst (x mol %), 45°, 0.5 h		132


Catalyst	x	Solvent	
2	0.1	Et_2O	(—)
2	0.5	Et_2O	(24)[b]
2	1	Et_2O	(52)[b]
2	2	Et_2O	(68)[b]
3	1	Et_2O	(11)[b]
4	1	Et_2O	(1)[b]
5	1	Et_2O	(9)[b]
6	1	Et_2O	(2)[b]

7	1	Et_2O	(—)
8	1	Et_2O	(51)[b]
9	1.5	Et_2O	(72)[b]
9	2.5	Et_2O	(90)[b]
9	2.5	THF/Et_2O	(84)[b]
10	1	Et_2O	(60)[b]






Catalyst (x mol %), ligand (y mol %), Et_2O, 0.5 h




Catalyst	x	Ligand	y	Temp		
$FeCl_3$	5	Et_3N	10	reflux	(75)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	DABCO	5	reflux	(100)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	TMEDA	10	reflux	(90)[a]	131
$FeCl_3$	5	$P(c\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3$	10	reflux	(75)[a]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	$Ph_2P(CH_2)_6PPh_2$	5	reflux	(85)[a]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	$P[O\text{-}2,4\text{-}(t\text{-}Bu)_2C_6H_3]_3$	10	reflux	(81)[a]	46
$FeCl_3$	5	PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	45°	(82)[a]	134
9	2.5	none	—	45°	(76)[a]	132











$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$ (5 mol %), THF, 5 min



R	Temp (°)		
Cl	0	(67)	114, 41
$(TMS)_2N$	0	(88)	114
Me_2N	–20	(86)	41
Ph	0	(93)	114, 41

TABLE 12B. REACTION OF CYCLOALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_6				
	ArMgBr	1. $FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), THF, 20 min (slow add.) 2. 10 min	 Ar: 4-$CF_3C_6H_4$, 0° (67); 1-Np, rt (97)[a]; 2-Np, rt (96)[a]	66
		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.5 eq), THF	 Ar: 4-NCC_6H_4, 30°, 6 h, (90); , reflux, 120 h, (78)	136
		Catalyst (x mol %), ligand (y mol %), Et_2O, 0.5 h	 (—)	

Catalyst	x	Ligand	y	Temp	Refs.
$FeCl_3$	5	Et_3N	10	reflux	131
$FeCl_3$	5	DABCO	5	reflux	131
$FeCl_3$	5	TMEDA	10	reflux	131
$FeCl_3$	5	P(*c*-$C_6H_{11})_3$	10	reflux	46
$FeCl_3$	5	$Ph_2P(CH_2)_6PPh_2$	5	reflux	46
$FeCl_3$	5	P[O-2,4-(*t*-Bu)$_2C_6H_3]_3$	10	reflux	46
$FeCl_3$	5	PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	45°	134
9	2.5	none	—	45°	132













		$Fe(OAc)_2$ (3 mol %), XantPhos (6 mol %), Et_2O, rt, 15 min	 (43)[b]	135
 *cis*/*trans* = 67:33		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.5 eq), THF, 30°, 6 h	 **I**  **II** **I** + **II** (78), **I**/**II** = 53:47	136
		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.5 eq), THF, 50°, 0.5 h	 **I**  **II** **I** + **II** (98), **I**/**II** = 55:45	136

TABLE 12B. REACTION OF CYCLOALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.

C_7






Catalyst (*x* mol %), ligand (*y* mol %), Et_2O, 0.5 h




I **II**

trans/*cis*	Catalyst	*x*	Ligand	*y*	Temp	**I + II**	**I/II**	
—	none	—	**2**	20	45°	(81)	69:31	46
76:24	$FeCl_3$	5	Et_3N	10	reflux	(85)[a]	60:40	131
76:24	$FeCl_3$	5	DABCO	5	reflux	(93)[a]	66:34	131
76:24	$FeCl_3$	5	TMEDA	10	reflux	(75)[a]	64:36	131
—	$FeCl_3$	5	$P(C\text{-}C_6H_{11})_3$	10	reflux	(72)[a]	68:32	46
—	$FeCl_3$	5	$P[O\text{-}2,4\text{-}(t\text{-}Bu)_2C_6H_3]_3$	10	reflux	(70)[a]	67:33	46
—	$FeCl_3$	5	$Ph_2P(CH_2)_6PPh_2$	5	reflux	(70)[a]	67:33	46
—	$FeCl_3$	5	**6a**	5	reflux	(73)	71:29	134
—	$FeCl_3$	5	**6b**	5	reflux	(84)	70:30	134
—	$FeCl_3$	5	PEG (M_w = 14,000)	5	45°	(91)[a]	70:30	134
76:24	**9**	2.5	none	—	45°	(83)[a]	64:36	132
—	**1**	5	none	—	reflux	(89)	61:39	46
—	**11**	5	none	—	reflux	(55)	78:22	134
—	**12**	5	none	—	reflux	(44)	74:26	134




RMgBr

Catalyst (*x* mol %), additive (*y* mol %)




I **II**

R	Catalyst	*x*	Additive	*y*	Solvent	Temp	Time (min)	**I + II**	**I/II**	
n-Bu	$Fe(OAc)_2$	3	XantPhos	6	Et_2O	rt	15	(8)[b]	**I** only	135
Ph	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$	5	none	—	THF	–20°	5	(91)	94:6	41




1. $FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), THF, 0°, 20 min (slow add.)
2. 0°, 10 min



I Ar = 4-$MeOC_6H_4$ **II**


I + **II** (91)[a], **I**/**II** = 95:5

66







1. $FeCl_3$ (10 mol %), TMEDA (1.9 eq), THF, 0°, 1 h (slow add.)
2. 0°, 0.5 h




(94)[a]

47






$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (3.5 eq), THF




R^1	R^2	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
H	TMS	40	24	(79)
Me	H	30	18	(96)[a]

56



PhMgBr


Catalyst (5 mol %), ligand, THF, –78 to 0°, 0.5 h



Catalyst	Ligand	
$FeCl_3$	none	(5)[b]
$FeCl_3$	*N*-methylmorpholine (1.2 eq)	(8)[b]
$FeCl_3$	Et_3N (1.2 eq)	(3)[b]

66

TABLE 12B. REACTION OF CYCLOALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7				
	PhMgBr	Catalyst (5 mol %), ligand, THF, –78 to 0°, 0.5 h		66


Continued from previous page.

Catalyst	Ligand	
$FeCl_3$	NMP (1.2 eq)	(15)[b]
$FeCl_3$	DABCO (1.2 eq)	(20)[b]
$FeCl_3$	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	(71)[b]
$FeCl_3$	TEEDA (1.2 eq)	(23)[b]
$FeCl_3$	PPh_3 (10 mol %)	(6)[b]
$FeCl_3$	dppe (5 mol %)	(4)[b]
FeF_3	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	(—)[b]
$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	(14)[b]
$FeCl_2$	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	(32)[b]
$Fe(CO)_5$	TMEDA (1.2 eq)	(—)[b]

	PhMgBr	1. $FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), THF, 0°, 20 min (slow add.) 2. 0°, 10 min	 (96)[b]	66
	See table.	$FeCl_3$ (*x* mol %), TMEDA (*y* eq), additive		


R	Nucleophile	*x*	*y*	Additive	Solvent	Temp	Time (h)		
Br	PhZnBr	5	1.5	none	THF	50°	0.5	(—)[b]	136
Br	$ZnCl_2$/PhLi	5	1.5	none	THF/Bu_2O	50°	0.5	(—)[b]	136
Br	$ZnCl_2$/PhLi/$TMSCH_2MgCl$	5	1.5	none	THF/pentane/Bu_2O	50°	0.5	(92)[b]	136
Br	$ZnCl_2$/(2 eq) PhLi	5	1.5	none	THF/Bu_2O	50°	0.5	(—)[b]	136

Br	$ZnCl_2$/PhMgBr	5	1.5	none	THF	50°	0.5	(—)[b]	136
Br	$ZnCl_2$/(2 eq) PhMgBr	5	1.5	none	THF	50°	0.5	(96)[b]	136
Br	$ZnCl_2$/PhMgBr/$TMSCH_2MgCl$	5	1.5	none	THF/Et_2O/Bu_2O	50°	0	(95)[b]	136
TsO	ZnI_2/(2 eq) PhLi	1	1.2	MgBr (20 mol %)	THF	rt	1	(81)	137
TsO	ZnI_2/(2 eq) PhMgBr	1	1.2	none	THF	rt	1	(88)	137




RZnAr


Catalyst (3 mol %), additive
(*x* mol %), THF




138

X	R	Ar	Catalyst	Additive	*x*	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
Br	4-FC_6H_4	4-FC_6H_4	$FeCl_3$	dppbz	6	60	3	(92)
Br	2,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	2,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	$FeCl_3$	dppbz	9	60	12	(79)
Cl	$TMSCH_2$	3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	$FeCl_3$	dppbz	9	80	24	(83)
Br	3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	$FeCl_3$	dppbz	6	60	3	(91)
Br	3,5-$F_2C_6H_3$	3,5-$F_2C_6H_3$	$FeCl_3$	dppbz	6	60	3	(84)[a]
Br	Cl	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	$FeCl_3$	dppbz	6	60	3	(18)[b]
Br	Cl	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	$FeCl_3$	dppbz	9	60	24	(86)[b]
Br	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	$FeCl_3$	none	—	60	3	(3)[b]
Br	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	$FeCl_3$	TMEDA	120	60	3	(—)[b]
Br	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	$FeCl_3$	dppbz	3	60	3	(72)[b]
Br	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	$FeCl_3$	dppbz	6	60	3	(91)[b]
Br	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	$FeCl_2(dppbz)_2$	none	—	60	3	(93)[b]








$FeCl_3$ (3 mol %), ligand (*x* mol %),
THF, 60°







Ligand	*x*	
TMEDA	120	(1)[b]
dppbz	6	(7)[b]

138

TABLE 12B. REACTION OF CYCLOALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)


Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_7				
		Catalyst (5 mol %), additive (x mol %)		

Catalyst	Additive	x	Solvent	Temp (°)	Time (min)		
$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$	none	—	THF	–20	5	(95)	114
$FeCl_3$	PEG (M_W = 14,000)	5	Et_2O	45	30	(79)	134


	1. $Fe(acac)_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (10 mol %), HMTA (5 mol %), THF, 0°, 0.75 h (slow add.) 2. 0°, 0.5 h		(83)	48
	Catalyst (5 mol %), additive (x eq), THF			56

R	Catalyst	Additive	x	Temp (°)	Time (h)	
Br	$FeCl_3$	none	—	30	3	(8)[b]
$TMSCH_2$	$FeCl_3$	none	—	30	3	(25)[b]
$TMSCH_2$	$FeCl_3$	TMEDA	1.5	30	3	(56)[b]
$TMSCH_2$	$FeCl_3$	TMEDA	3.0	30	3	(91)[b]
$TMSCH_2$	$FeCl_3$	TMEDA	3.5	30	3	(95)[b]
$TMSCH_2$	$FeCl_3$	TMEDA	3.5	0	0.5[c]	(35)[b]
$TMSCH_2$	$FeCl_2$	TMEDA	3.5	30	3	(97)[b]
$TMSCH_2$	$Fe(acac)_3$	TMEDA	3.5	30	3	(85)[b]


C_{10}



I




1. $FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), THF, 0°, 20 min (slow add.)
2. 0°, 10 min



II


+



III


I *cis*/*trans*	**II** + **III**	**II**/**III**
trans only	(96)	96:4
cis only	(98)[a]	4:96

66



ZnI_2 + PhMgBr (2 eq)


$FeCl_3$ (1 mol %), TMEDA (1.2 eq), THF, 40°, 12 h; then 60°, 6 h




(57)

137


C_{17}



I



$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.5 eq), THF, rt, 48 h; then 40°, 12 h





II + **III**

I *cis*/*trans*	**II** + **III**	**II**/**III**
trans only	(60)	61:39
cis only	(—)	—



Ar = 4-FC_6H_4

137

TABLE 12B. REACTION OF CYCLOALKYL ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{17} 	Ar_2Zn	$FeCl_3$ (3 mol %), dppbz (9 mol %), THF, 60°, 24 h	 **I** + **II**	137

Ar	**I** + **II**	**I**/**II**
3,4-$F_2C_6H_3$	(85)	56:44
3,4,5-$F_3C_6H_2$	(69)	56:44

C_{27} 	Ph_2Zn	$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.5 eq), THF, 50°, 12 h	 **I** + **II** **I** + **II** (89), **I**/**II** = 86:14	136

[a] The yield was determined by NMR spectroscopy.

[b] The yield was determined by GLC.

[c] The addition was carried out at 2 mL/h.

TABLE 13. REACTION OF HETEROCYCLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS














Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_5				
		bmim-$FeCl_4$ (5 mol %), Et_2O, 0°, 10 min	 (79)	133
		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (1.9 eq), THF, 0°, 1 h (slow add.); then 0°, 0.5 h	 (49)	61
		$FeCl_3$ (5 mol %), TMEDA (*x* eq), THF, 30°	 R:  *x* 3.5, Time (h) 3, (96); 56 R: 4-NCC_6H_4 *x* 1.5, Time (h) 24, (79); 136	
C_8				
	PhMgBr	[Li(tmeda)]$_2$[Fe(C_2H_4)$_4$], (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	 (85) dr 10:1	114, 41

TABLE 13. REACTION OF HETEROCYCLIC ELECTROPHILES WITH ORGANOMETALLIC COMPOUNDS (*Continued*)

Electrophile	Nucleophile	Conditions	Product(s) and Yield(s) (%)	Refs.
C_{10} 	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$, (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	 (77)	114, 41
C_{14} 	PhMgBr	$[Li(tmeda)]_2[Fe(C_2H_4)_4]$, (5 mol %), THF, –20°, 5 min	 (73) dr 10:1	41

REFERENCES

[1] *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*, 2nd ed.; de Meijere, A., Diederich, F., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2004.
[2] *Cross-Coupling Reactions: A Practical Guide*; Miyaura, N., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, 2002.
[3] *J. Organomet. Chem.* [Spec. Publ. Tamao, K., Hiyama, T., Negishi, E., Eds.] **2002**, *653* (1–2).
[4] The Official Web Site of the Nobel Prize. http://nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/chemistry/laureates/2010/.
[5] Tamura, M.; Kochi, J. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1971**, *93*, 1487.
[6] Nakamura, E.; Sato, K. *Nat. Mater.* **2011**, *10*, 158.
[7] Norinder, J.; Matsumoto, A.; Yoshikai, N.; Nakamura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 5858.
[8] Yoshikai, N.; Matsumoto, A.; Norinder, J.; Nakamura, E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 2925.
[9] Yoshikai, N.; Matsumoto, A.; Norinder, J.; Nakamura, E. *Synlett* **2010**, 313.
[10] Yoshikai, N.; Mieczkowski, A.; Matsumoto, A.; Ilies, L.; Nakamura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 428.
[11] Matsumoto, A.; Ilies, L.; Nakamura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133,* 6557.
[12] Ilies, L.; Asako, S.; Nakamura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 7672.
[13] Emsley, J. *The Elements*, 3rd ed.; Oxford University Press: New York, 1998.
[14] Kochi, J. K. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2002**, *653*, 11.
[15] Shinokubo, H.; Oshima, K. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, 2081.
[16] Bolm, C.; Legros, J.; Le Paih, J.; Zani, L. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 6217.
[17] Enthaler, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3317.
[18] Sherry, B. D.; Fürstner, A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 1500.
[19] *Iron Catalysis in Organic Chemistry*; Plietker, B., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008.
[20] Nakamura, M.; Ito, S. In *Modern Arylation Methods*; Ackermann, L., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2009; p 151.
[21] Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; Cvengros, J.; von Wangelin, A. J. *ChemSusChem* **2009**, *2*, 396.
[22] Bolm, C. *Nat. Chem.* **2009**, *1*, 420.
[23] Nakamura, E.; Yoshikai, N. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 6061.
[24] Serhan, A. A. O.; Bolm, C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 2730.
[25] Kulkarni, A. A.; Daugulis, O. *Synthesis* **2009**, 4087.
[26] Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1293.
[27] Correa, A.; Mancheno, O. G.; Bolm, C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 1108.
[28] Hojo, M.; Murakami, Y.; Aihara, H.; Sakuragi, R.; Baba, Y.; Hosomi, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 621.
[29] Shirakawa, E.; Ikeda, D.; Ozawa, T.; Watanabe, S.; Hayashi, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 1885.
[30] Shirakawa, E.; Yamagami, T.; Kimura, T.; Yamaguchi, S.; Hayashi, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2005**, *127*, 17164.
[31] Zhang, D.; Ready, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2006**, *128*, 15050.
[32] Yamagami, T.; Shintani, R.; Shirakawa, E.; Hayashi, T. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 1045.
[33] Nakamura, M.; Hirai, A.; Nakamura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 978.
[34] Sherry, B. D.; Fürstner, A. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 7116.
[35] Smith, R. S.; Kochi, J. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 502.
[36] Tamura, M.; Kochi, J. K. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1971**, *31*, 289.
[37] Kleimark, J.; Hedström, A.; Larsson, P.-F.; Johansson, C.; Norrby, P.-O. *ChemCatChem* **2009**, *1*, 152.
[38] Kauffmann, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 386.
[39] Kauffmann, T.; Neiteler, C.; Neiteler, G. *Chem. Ber.* **1994**, *127*, 659.
[40] Hill, D. H.; Parvez, M. A.; Sen, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 2889.
[41] Fürstner, A.; Martin, R.; Krause, H.; Seidel, G.; Goddard, R.; Lehmann, C. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2008**, *130*, 8773.
[42] Bogdanovíc, B.; Schwickardi, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 4610.
[43] Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A.; Méndez, M.; Krause, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2002**, *124*, 13856.
[44] Noda, D.; Sunada, Y.; Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M.; Nagashima, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6078.

[45] Hölzer, B.; Hoffmann, R. W. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 732.
[45a] Hoffmann, R. W.; Hölzer, B.; Knopff, O.; Harms, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **2000**, *39*, 3072.
[46] Bedford, R. B.; Betham, M.; Bruce, D. W.; Danopoulos, A. A.; Frost, R. M.; Hird, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 1104.
[47] Guérinot, A.; Reymond, S.; Cossy, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **2007**, *46*, 6521.
[48] Cahiez, G.; Habiak, V.; Duplais, C.; Moyeux, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 4364.
[49] Nagano, T.; Hayashi, T. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 1297.
[49a] Bedford, R. B.; Nakamura, M.; Gower, N. J.; Haddow, M. F.; Hall, M. A.; Huwe, M.; Hashimoto, T.; Okopie, R. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 6110.
[50] Buchwald, S. J.; Bolm, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5586.
[51] Tamura, M.; Kochi, J. K. *Synthesis* **1971**, *93*, 303.
[52] Neuman, S.; Kochi, J. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 599.
[53] Molander, G.; Rahn, B.; Shubert, D. C.; Bonde, S. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24,* 5449.
[54] Cahiez, G.; Avedissian, H. *Synthesis* **1998**, 1199.
[55] Cahiez, G.; Habiak, V.; Duplais, C.; Moyeux, A. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3253.
[56] Hatakeyama, T.; Nakagawa, N.; Nakamura, M. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 4496.
[57] Cahiez, G.; Moyeux, A.; Buendia, J.; Duplais, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2007**, *129*, 13788.
[58] Cahiez, G.; Habiak, V.; Gager, O. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 2389.
[59] Alvarez, E.; Cuvigny, T.; Hervé du Penhoat, C.; Julia, M. *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44,* 111.
[60] Seck, M.; Franck, X.; Hocquemiller, R.; Figadére, B.; Peyrat, J-F.; Provot, O.; Brion, J.-D.; Alami, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45,* 1881.
[61] Volla, C. M. R.; Vogel, P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **2008**, *47*, 1305.
[62] Cahiez, G.; Marquais, S. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1996**, *68,* 53.
[63] Cahiez, G.; Marquais, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37,* 1773.
[64] Xie, X.; Xu, X.; Li, H.; Xu, X.; Yang, J.; Li, Y. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2009**, *351*, 1263.
[65] Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **2003**, *42*, 308.
[66] Nakamura, M.; Matsuo, K.; Ito, S.; Nakamura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 3686.
[67] Hatakeyama, T.; Yoshimoto, Y.; Gabriel, T.; Nakamura, M. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 5341.
[68] Li, B.-J.; Wu, Z.-H.; Guan, B.-T.; Sun, C.-L.; Wang, B.-Q.; Shi, Z.-J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 14656.
[69] Fakhakh, M. A.; Franck, X.; Hocquemiller, R.; Figadére, B. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, *624*, 131.
[70] Dohle, W.; Kopp, F.; Cahiez, G.; Knochel, P. *Synlett* **2001**, 1901.
[71] Dubbaka, S. R.; Vogel, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47,* 3345.
[72] Cahiez, G.; Gager, O.; Habiak, V. *Synthesis* **2008**, 2636.
[73] Scheiper, B.; Bonnekessel, M.; Krause, H.; Fürstner, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 3943.
[74] Itami, K.; Higashi, S.; Mineno, M.; Yoshida, J. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 1219.
[75] Dubbaka, S. R.; Vogel, P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 7674 and references cited therein.
[76] Fiandanese, V.; Miccoli, G.; Naso, F.; Ronzini, L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, *312*, 343.
[77] Knochel, P.; Dunet, G. *Synlett* **2006**, 407.
[78] Chinchilla, R.; Nájera, C. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 874 and references cited therein.
[79] Fiandanese, V.; Marchese, G.; Martina, V.; Ronzini, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 4805.
[80] Cardellicchio, C.; Fiandanese, V.; Marchese, G.; Ronzini, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 2053.
[81] Babudri, F.; D'Ettole, A.; Fiandanese, V.; Marchese, G.; Naso, F. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *405*, 53.
[82] Dell'Anna, M. M.; Mastrorilli, P.; Nobile, C. F.; Marchese, G.; Taurino, M. R. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2000**, *161*, 239.
[83] Duplais, C.; Bures, F.; Sapountzis, I.; Korn, T. J.; Cahiez, G.; Knochel, P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **2004**, *43*, 2968.
[84] Reddy, C. K.; Knochel, P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 1700.
[85] Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **2002**, *41*, 609.
[86] Hocek, M.; Dvoráková, H. *J. Org. Chem*. **2003**, *68*, 5773.
[87] Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A.; Seidel, G. *Org. Synth.* **2004**, *81*, 33.
[88] Colacino, E.; Benakki, H.; Guenoun, F.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. *Synth. Commun.* **2009**, *39*, 1583.
[89] Gøgsig, T. M.; Lindhardt, A. T.; Skrydstrup, T. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 4886.

[90] Kumada, M. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1980**, *52*, 669 and references cited therein.
[91] Hayashi, T.; Konishi, M.; Kobori, Y.; Kumada, M.; Higuchi, T.; Hirotsu, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1984**, *106*, 158.
[92] Quintin, J.; Franck, X.; Hocquemiller, R.; Figadére, B. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **2002**, *43*, 3547.
[93] Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2007**, *129*, 9844.
[94] Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, S.; Ishizuka, K.; Nakamura, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 11949.
[95] Felkin, H.; Meunier, B. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1978**, *146*, 169.
[96] Sapountzis, I.; Lin, W.; Kofink, C. C.; Despotopoulou, C.; Knochel, P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 1654.
[97] Kofink, C. C.; Blank, B.; Pagano, S.; Goetz, N.; Knochel, P. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 1954.
[98] Guo, Y.; Young, D. J.; Hor, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 5620.
[99] Bedford, R. B.; Hall, M. A.; Hodges, G. R.; Huwe, M.; Wilkinson, M. C. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 6430.
[100] Jimeno, C.; Sayaleno, S.; Fjermstad, T.; Colet, G.; Maseras, F.; Pericás, M. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **2008**, *47*, 1098.
[101] Kylmälä, T.; Valkonen, A.; Rissanen, K.; Xu, Y.; Franzén, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 6679.
[102] Bézier, D.; Darcel, C. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2009**, *351*, 1732.
[103] Kylmälä, T.; Valkonen, A.; Rissanen, K.; Xu, Y.; Franzén, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 5692.
[104] Bézier, D.; Darcel, C. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2010**, *352*, 1081.
[105] See reference 49a.
[106] Corey, E. J.; Posner, G. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1970**, *11*, 315.
[107] Fürstner, A.; Krause, H.; Lehmann, C. W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 440.
[108] Carril, M.; Correa, A.; Bolm, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4862.
[109] Pan, C.; Luo, F.; Wang, W.; Ye, Z.; Liu, M. *J. Chem. Res.* **2009**, 478.
[109a] Figadère, B.; Franck, X. In *Handbook of Grignard Reagents*; Silverman, G. S., Rakita, P. E., Eds.; CRC Press: New York, 1996; pp 457–458.
[110] Stephen, A.; Hashami, K.; Szeimies, G. *Chem. Ber*. **1994**, *127*, 1075.
[111] Yanagisawa, A.; Nomura, N.; Yamamoto, H. *Synlett* **1991**, 513.
[112] Yanagisawa, A.; Nomura, N.; Yamamoto, H. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 6017.
[113] Volla, C. M. R.; Markovíc, D.; Dubbaka, S. R.; Vogel, P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 6281.
[114] Martin, R.; Fürstner, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **2004**, *43*, 3955.
[115] Roustan, J. L.; Mérour, J. Y.; Houlihan, F. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1979**, *39*, 3721.
[116] Ladoulis, S. J.; Nicholas, K. M. *J. Organomet. Chem*. **1985**, *285*, C13.
[117] Silverman, G. S.; Strickland, S.; Nicholas, K. M. *Organometallics* **1986**, *5*, 2117.
[118] Kazmaier, U.; Pohlman, M. In *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*, 2nd ed.; de Meijere, A., Diederich, F., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2004; pp 531–583.
[119] Zhou, B.; Xu, Y. *J. Org. Chem*. **1988**, *53*, 4419.
[120] Plietker, B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **2006**, *45*, 1469.
[121] Plietker, B.; Dieskau, A.; Möws, K.; Jatsch, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **2008**, *47*, 198.
[122] Xu, Y.; Zhou, B. *J. Org. Chem*. **1987**, *52*, 974.
[123] Trost, B. M.; Verhoeven, T. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 4730.
[124] Westmijze, H.; Vermeer, P. *Synthesis* **1979**, 390.
[125] Mae, M.; Hong, J. A.; Xu, B.; Hammond, G. B. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 479.
[126] Pasto, D. J.; Hennion, G. F.; Shults, R. H.; Waterhouse, A.; Chou, S.-K. *J. Org. Chem*. **1976**, *41*, 3496.
[127] Pasto, D. J.; Chou, S.-K.; Waterhouse, A.; Shults, R. H.; Hennion, G. F. *J. Org. Chem*. **1978**, *43*, 1385.
[128] Kischel, J.; Mertins, K.; Michalik, D.; Zapf, A.; Beller, M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 865.
[129] Bedford, R. B.; Huwe, M.; Wilkinson, M. C. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 600.
[130] Negishi, E.; King, A. O.; Okukado, N. *J. Org. Chem*. **1977**, *42*, 1821.
[131] Bedford, R. B.; Bruce, D. W.; Frost, R. M.; Hird, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4161.
[132] Bedford, R. B.; Bruce, D. W.; Frost, R. M.; Goodby, J. W.; Hird, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 2822.
[133] Bica, K.; Gaertner, P. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 733.

[134] Bedford, R. B.; Betham, M.; Bruce, D. W.; Davis, S. A.; Frost, R. M.; Hird, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 1398.
[135] Dongol, K. G.; Koh, H.; Sau, M.; Chai, C. L. L. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 1015.
[136] Nakamura, M.; Ito, S.; Matsuo, K.; Nakamura, E. *Synlett* **2005**, 1794.
[137] Ito, S.; Fujiwara, Y.-i.; Nakamura, E.; Nakamura, M. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 4306.
[138] Hatakeyama, T.; Kondo, Y.; Fujiwara, Y.-i.; Takaya, H.; Ito, S.; Nakamura, E.; Nakamura, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 1216.
[139] Nagano, T.; Hayashi, T. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 491.
[140] Cahiez, G.; Chaboche, C.; Mahuteau-Betzer, F.; Ahr, M. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 1943.
[141] Cahiez, G.; Foulgoc, L.; Moyeux, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 2969.
[142] Xu, X.; Cheng, D.; Pei, W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 6637.
[143] Hassan, J.; Sévignon, M.; Gozzi, C.; Schulz, E.; Lemaire, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 1359.
[144] Nelson, T. D.; Crouch, R. D. *Org. React.* **2004**, *63*, 265.
[145] Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; von Wangelin, A. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 607.
[146] Ottesen, L. K.; Ek, F.; Olsson, R. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 1771.
[147] Kashman, Y.; Groweiss, A.; Shmueli, U. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 3629.
[148] Fürstner, A.; De Souza, D.; Parra-Rapado, L.; Jensen, J. T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 5358.
[149] Fürstner, A.; Laurich, D.; Seidel, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 3950.
[150] Alam, N.; Hong, J.; Lee, C. O.; Im, K. S.; Son, B. W.; Choi, J. S.; Choi, W. C.; Jung, J. H. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2001**, *64*, 956.
[151] Knochel, P.; Thaler, T.; Diene, C. *Isr. J. Chem.* **2010**, *50*, 547.
[152] *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*, 2nd ed.; de Meijere, A., Diederich, F., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2004.
[153] Yasuda, S.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2008**, *81*, 287.
[154] Someya, H.; Ohmiya, H.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 969.
[155] Someya, H.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 3270.
[156] Amii, H.; Uneyama, K. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 2119.
[157] Richmond, T. G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 3241.
[158] Kiplinger, J. L.; Richmond, T. G.; Osterberg, C. E. *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 373.
[159] Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 3009.
[160] Cabri, W.; Candiani, I. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1995**, *28*, 2.
[161] Loska, R.; Volla, C. M. R.; Vogel, P. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2008**, *350*, 2859.
[162] Donkervoort, J. G.; Vicario, J. L.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Gossage, R. A.; Cahiez, G.; van Koten, G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, *558*, 61.
[163] Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *248*, 2337 and references cited therein.
[164] Burns, D. H.; Miller, J. D.; Chan, H.-K.; Delaney, M. O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 2125.
[165] Cahiez, G.; Chaboche, C.; Jézéquel, M. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 2733.
[166] Terao, J.; Ikumi, A.; Kuniyasu, H.; Kambe, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 5646.
[167] Terao, J.; Todo, H.; Begum, S. A.; Kuniyasu, H.; Kambe, N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 2086.
[168] Tsuji, T.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 4137.
[169] Ohmiya, H.; Tsuji, T.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **2004**, *10*, 5640.
[170] Someya, H.; Ohmiya, H.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 1565.
[171] Cahiez, G.; Chaboche, C.; Duplais, C.; Giulliani, A.; Moyeux, A. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2008**, *350*, 1484.
[172] Terao, J.; Watanabe, H.; Ikumi, A.; Kuniyasu, H.; Kambe, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 4222.
[173] Terao, J.; Naitoh, Y.; Kuniyasu, H.; Kambe, N. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 825.
[174] Phapale, V. B.; Buñuel, E.; García-Iglesias, M.; Cárdenas, D. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 8790.
[175] Vechorkin, O.; Hu, X. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 2937.
[176] Terao, J.; Naitoh, Y.; Kuniyasu, H.; Kambe, N. *Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *32*, 890.
[177] Hadei, N.; Kantchev, E. A. B.; O'Brien, C. J.; Organ, M. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 8503.

[177a] Yin, J.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 12051.
[178] Glorius, F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 8347 and references cited therein.
[179] Owston, N. A.; Fu, G. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 11908.
[179a] Fürstner, A.; Brunner, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 7009.
[180] Oestergaard, N.; Pedersen, B. T.; Skjaerbaek, N.; Vedsoe, P.; Begtrup, M. *Synlett* **2002**, 1889.
[180a] Le Marquand, P.; Tsui, G. C.; Whitney, J. C. C.; Tam, W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 7829.
[181] Armarego, W. L. F.; Perrin, D. D. *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*, 4th ed.; Butterworth-Heineman: Oxford, 1996.
[182] Pray, A. R. *Inorg. Synth.* **1990**, *28*, 321.
[183] Chaudhuri, M. K.; Ghosh, S. K. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1983**, 839.
[184] Isobe, M.; Kondo, S.; Nagasawa, N.; Goto, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1977**, 679.
[184a] Fairlamb, I. J. S.; Kapdi, A. R.; Lee, A. F. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 4435.
[185] Alvarez, E.; Cuvigny, T.; Hervé du Penhoat, C.; Julia, M. *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 119.